



University of Southern Denmark

## Systemic and Ocular Determinants of Peripapillary Retinal Nerve Fiber Layer Thickness Measurements in the European Eye Epidemiology (E3) Population

Mauschitz, Matthias M; Bonnemaier, Pieter W M; Diers, Kersten; Rauscher, Franziska G; Elze, Tobias; Engel, Christoph; Loeffler, Markus; Colijn, Johanna Maria; Ikram, M Arfan; Vingerling, Johannes R; Williams, Katie M; Hammond, Christopher J; Creuzot-Garcher, Catherine; Bron, Alain M; Silva, Rufino; Nunes, Sandrina; Delcourt, Cécile; Cougnard-Grégoire, Audrey; Holz, Frank G; Klaver, Caroline C W; Breteler, Monique M B; Finger, Robert P; European Eye Epidemiology (E3) Consortium

*Published in:*  
Ophthalmology

*DOI:*  
[10.1016/j.ophtha.2018.03.026](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2018.03.026)

*Publication date:*  
2018

*Document version*  
Accepted manuscript

*Document license*  
CC BY-NC-ND

*Citation for pulished version (APA):*

Mauschitz, M. M., Bonnemaier, P. W. M., Diers, K., Rauscher, F. G., Elze, T., Engel, C., Loeffler, M., Colijn, J. M., Ikram, M. A., Vingerling, J. R., Williams, K. M., Hammond, C. J., Creuzot-Garcher, C., Bron, A. M., Silva, R., Nunes, S., Delcourt, C., Cougnard-Grégoire, A., Holz, F. G., ... European Eye Epidemiology (E3) Consortium (2018). Systemic and Ocular Determinants of Peripapillary Retinal Nerve Fiber Layer Thickness Measurements in the European Eye Epidemiology (E3) Population. *Ophthalmology*, 125(10), 1526–1536. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2018.03.026>

### Terms of use

This work is brought to you by the University of Southern Denmark through the SDU Research Portal. Unless otherwise specified it has been shared according to the terms for self-archiving. If no other license is stated, these terms apply:

- You may download this work for personal use only.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying this open access version

**Systemic and ocular determinants of peripapillary retinal nerve fiber layer thickness measurements in the E3 population**

Matthias M. Mauschitz, MD<sup>1,2</sup>, Pieter W. M. Bonnemaier, MD<sup>3,4</sup>, Kersten Diers, MSc<sup>1</sup>, Franziska G. Rauscher, PhD<sup>5,7</sup>, Tobias Elze, PhD<sup>5,6</sup>, Christoph Engel, MD, PhD<sup>5,7</sup>, Markus Loeffler, MD, PhD<sup>5,7</sup>, Johanna Maria Colijn, MD, MSc<sup>3,4</sup>, M. Arfan Ikram, MD, PhD<sup>4</sup>, Johannes R. Vingerling, MD, PhD<sup>3</sup>, Katie M. Williams, MD, PhD<sup>8</sup>, Christopher J. Hammond, MD, PhD<sup>8</sup>, Catherine Creuzot-Garcher, MD, PhD<sup>9,10</sup>, Alain M. Bron, MD, PhD<sup>9,10</sup>, Rufino Silva, MD, PhD<sup>11,12,13</sup>, Sandrina Nunes, PhD<sup>13</sup>, Cécile Delcourt, PhD<sup>14</sup>, Audrey Cougnard-Grégoire, PhD<sup>14</sup>, Frank G. Holz, MD<sup>2</sup>, Caroline C. W. Klaver, MD, PhD<sup>3,4</sup>, Monique M. B. Breteler, MD, PhD<sup>1,15</sup>, Robert P. Finger, MD, PhD<sup>2</sup>

On behalf of the European Eye Epidemiology (E3) Consortium

<sup>1</sup>Population Health Sciences, German Centre for Neurodegenerative Diseases (DZNE), Bonn, Germany

<sup>2</sup>Department of Ophthalmology, University of Bonn, Germany

<sup>3</sup>Department of Ophthalmology, Erasmus MC, Rotterdam, the Netherlands

<sup>4</sup>Department of Epidemiology, Erasmus MC, Rotterdam, the Netherlands

<sup>5</sup>Leipzig Research Centre for Civilization Diseases, Leipzig University, Leipzig, Germany

<sup>6</sup>Schepens Eye Research Institute, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

<sup>7</sup>Institute for Medical Informatics, Statistics and Epidemiology, Leipzig University, Leipzig, Germany

<sup>8</sup>Section of Academic Ophthalmology, School of Life Course Sciences, FoLSM, King's College London, London, UK

<sup>9</sup>Department of Ophthalmology, University Hospital Dijon

<sup>10</sup>Eye and nutrition research group, University of Bourgogne Franche Comté, France

<sup>11</sup>Department of Ophthalmology, Centro Hospitalar e Universitário de Coimbra (CHUC), Portugal

<sup>12</sup>Faculty of Medicine, University of Coimbra, Institute for Biomedical Imaging and Life Sciences (FMUC-IBILI), Portugal

<sup>13</sup>Association for Innovation and Biomedical Research on Light and Image (AIBILI), Coimbra, Portugal

<sup>14</sup>University of Bordeaux, Inserm, Bordeaux Population Health Research Center, Team LEHA, UMR 1219, F-33000 Bordeaux, France.

Mauschitz et al., Systemic and ocular determinants of peripapillary retinal nerve fiber layer thickness measurements in the E3 population

34 <sup>15</sup>Institute for Medical Biometry, Informatics and Epidemiology, University of Bonn, Faculty of  
35 Medicine, Germany

36

37 **Running head:** Determinants of pRNFLT in the E3 - population

38

39 **Corresponding author:**

40 Robert Patrick Finger

41 Department of Ophthalmology

42 University of Bonn, Germany

43 Ernst-Abbe-Straße 2, 53127 Bonn, Germany

44 Email: robert.finger@ukbonn.de

45 +49 228 287 11764

46 **Funding:**

47 The Alienor Study was supported by Laboratoires Théa (Clermont-Ferrand, France);  
48 University of Bordeaux (Bordeaux, France); Fondation Voir et Entendre (Paris, France);  
49 Caisse Nationale de Solidarité pour l'Autonomie CNSA (CNSA).

50 The Coimbra Study is an Investigator Initiated Study financially supported by Novartis  
51 Pharma AG.

52 This publication is supported by the Leipzig Research Centre for Civilization Diseases (LIFE),  
53 an organizational unit affiliated to the Medical Faculty of Leipzig University. LIFE is funded by  
54 means of the European Union, by the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF) and by  
55 funds of the Free State of Saxony within the framework of the excellence initiative (project  
56 numbers: 713-241202, 713-241202, 14505/2470, 14575/2470). Dr. Tobias Elze is supported  
57 by the following organizations and grants: BrightFocus Foundation, Lions Foundation,  
58 Grimshaw-Gudewicz Foundation, Research to Prevent Blindness, and NEI Core Grant  
59 P30EYE003790.

60 Montrachet Study: Funding was provided by an Inter-regional grant (PHRC) and the  
61 Regional Council of Burgundy. This study was also funded by INRA, CNRS, Université de  
62 Bourgogne, Regional Council of Burgundy France (PARI Agrale 1), FEDER (European  
63 Funding for Regional Economic Development) and French Government grant managed by  
64 the French National Research Agency (ANR) as part of the "Investissements d'Avenir"  
65 program (reference ANR-11-LABX-0021-01-LipSTIC Labex).

66 The Rotterdam Study is funded by Erasmus MC and Erasmus University, Rotterdam,  
67 Netherlands Organization for the Health Research and Development (ZonMw), the Research  
68 Institute for Diseases in the Elderly (RIDE), the Ministry of Education, Culture and Science,  
69 the Ministry for Health, Welfare and Sports, the European Commission (DG XII), and the  
70 Municipality of Rotterdam. SENSE-COG consortium has received funding from the European  
71 Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under grant agreement No 668648.  
72 Stichting Lijf en Leven, Krimpen aan de Lek; MD Fonds, Utrecht; Rotterdamse Vereniging  
73 Blindenbelangen, Rotterdam; Stichting Oogfonds Nederland, Utrecht; Blindenpenning,  
74 Amsterdam; Blindenhulp, The Hague; Algemene Nederlandse Vereniging ter Voorkoming  
75 van Blindheid (ANVVB), Doorn; Landelijke Stichting voor Blinden en Slechtzienden, Utrecht;  
76 Swart van Essen, Rotterdam; Stichting Winckel-Sweep, Utrecht; Henkes Stichting,  
77 Rotterdam; Laméris Ootech BV, Nieuwegein; Medical Workshop, de Meern; Topcon Europe  
78 BV, Capelle aan de IJssel, all in The Netherlands, and Heidelberg Engineering, Dossenheim,  
79 Germany. Also supported by the NWO Graduate Programme 2010 BOO ([022.002.023](#); HS),

80 the National Institute of Health (Bethesda, MD, USA) Grants R01 EY019112 and R01  
81 EY018853, Veterans Administration Grant I01 CX000119, and the Arnold and Mabel  
82 Beckman Initiative for Macular Research.

83 TwinsUK phenotyping was funded by the International Glaucoma Association (2013 research  
84 award) and the Wellcome Trust. The study also receives support from the National Institute  
85 for Health Research (NIHR)-funded BioResource, Clinical Research Facility and Biomedical  
86 Research Centre based at Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust in partnership with  
87 King's College London.

88 Dr. Robert Finger's research group receives funding from the Else Kröner-Fresenius-Stiftung  
89 (GSO/EKFS 16) and the Jackstädt Stiftung.

90  
91 **Conflict of interest:** Alain M. Bron reports personal fees from Allergan, personal fees from  
92 Bausch Lomb, grants from Horus, personal fees from Théa, personal fees from Carl Zeiss  
93 Meditec, outside the submitted work. Catherine Creuzot-Garcher reports grants and personal  
94 fees from Allergan, personal fees from Bayer, personal fees and other from Novartis, grants  
95 from Horus, grants and personal fees from Thea, outside the submitted work. Cécile Delcourt  
96 is consultant for Allergan, Bausch+Lomb, Laboratoires Théa, Novartis and Roche, outside  
97 the submitted work. Frank G. Holz reports fees from Heidelberg Engineering, Optos, Zeiss,  
98 Genentech, Acucela, Bayer Healthcare and Novartis, outside the submitted work. Robert P.  
99 Finger reports fees from Novartis, Bayer, Abbvie, Opthea, Novelion, RetinalImplant and  
100 Santen, outside the submitted work. Rufino Silva is member of Advisory Board for Novartis,  
101 Bayer, Allergan, Alimera, Alcon, THEA.

102 **Abstract**

103 **Objective:** To investigate systemic and ocular determinants of peripapillary retinal nerve  
104 fiber layer thickness (pRNFLT) in the European population.

105 **Design:** Cross-sectional meta-analysis.

106 **Participants:** 16,084 European adults from eight cohort studies (mean age range from 56.9  
107  $\pm$  12.3 to 82.1  $\pm$  4.2 years) of the European Eye Epidemiology (E3) consortium.

108 **Methods:** We examined associations with pRNFLT measured by spectral – domain optical  
109 coherence tomography in each study using multivariable linear regression and pooled results  
110 using random effects meta-analysis.

111 **Main Outcome Measures:** Determinants of pRNFLT.

112 **Results:** Mean pRNFLT ranged from 86.8  $\pm$  21.4 in the Rotterdam Study I to 104.7  $\pm$  12.5  
113  $\mu$ m in the Rotterdam Study III. We found the following factors to be associated with reduced  
114 pRNFLT: Older age ( $\beta$ =-0.38  $\mu$ m/year, 95% confidence interval (CI)=-0.57, -0.18), higher  
115 intraocular pressure (IOP;  $\beta$ = -0.36 $\mu$ m/mmHg, 95% CI=-0.56, -0.15), visual impairment  
116 ( $\beta$ =-5.50 $\mu$ m, 95% CI=-9.37, -1.64) and history of systemic hypertension ( $\beta$ =-0.54 $\mu$ m, 95%  
117 CI=-1.01, -0.07) and stroke ( $\beta$ =-1.94 $\mu$ m, 95% CI=-3.17, -0.72). A suggestive, albeit non-  
118 significant, association was observed for dementia ( $\beta$ =-3.11 $\mu$ m, 95% CI=-6.22, 0.01). Higher  
119 pRNFLT was associated with more hyperopic spherical equivalent (SE;  $\beta$ =1.39 $\mu$ m/diopter,  
120 95% CI=1.19, 1.59) and smoking ( $\beta$ =1.53 $\mu$ m, 95% CI=1.00, 2.06 for current smokers  
121 compared to never-smokers).

122 **Conclusions:** In addition to previously described determinants such as age and refraction,  
123 we found that systemic vascular and neurovascular diseases were associated with reduced  
124 pRNFLT. These may be of clinical relevance, especially in glaucoma monitoring of patients  
125 with newly occurring vascular co-morbidities.

## 126 **INTRODUCTION**

127 The assessment of peripapillary retinal nerve fiber layer thickness (pRNFLT) with Spectral –  
128 Domain Optical Coherence Tomography (SD-OCT) has become of increasing importance in  
129 the evaluation of glaucoma and its progression<sup>1,2</sup>. Although debated, pRNFLT measurements  
130 hold promise as a biomarker for neurodegenerative diseases such as Alzheimer’s disease  
131 (AD) and multiple sclerosis (MS)<sup>3,4</sup>.

132 While pRNFLT measurements have increased insight into the development of diseases, it  
133 has been difficult to evaluate which changes fall within the physiological range. Most OCT  
134 devices compare pRNFLT measurements against reference databases that are built into the  
135 machine analysis software. These data are mostly derived from relatively small sample  
136 populations. Whether these databases adequately capture normal anatomical variation  
137 across a wide age range remains unclear.

138 Only few studies investigated ocular and systemic determinants of pRNFLT in the general  
139 population<sup>5</sup>. They reported inconsistent results for many ocular and systemic parameters  
140 including sex or body-mass-index (BMI)<sup>5,6</sup>. To date, only age<sup>7,8</sup>, refraction<sup>9</sup> or axial length  
141 (AL)<sup>10</sup> have been consistently associated with measured pRNFLT across studies. In addition,  
142 the majority of large-scale studies assessing these associations were performed in (young)  
143 Asian populations<sup>6,11-14</sup>. It is unclear whether or not these results can be applied to  
144 European, i.e. mostly Caucasian, populations.

145 The purpose of this study was to assess systemic and ocular determinants of pRNFLT using  
146 pooled data from eight European population-based studies.

147

## 148 **METHODS**

149 Included studies

150 The European Eye Epidemiology (E3) consortium is a collaborative network of population-  
151 based studies across Europe with the overarching aim of developing and analyzing large  
152 pooled datasets to increase understanding of eye disease and vision loss<sup>15</sup>. For this study,  
153 we analyzed data on pRNFLT from eight different studies. The included data were cross-

154 sectional and the right eye was chosen to be the study eye. All studies adhered to the tenets  
155 of the Declaration of Helsinki and had local ethical committee approval. All participants gave  
156 written informed consent.

157

158 Assessments and data analyses

159 Retinal nerve fiber layer thickness was measured as global pRNFLT with different OCT  
160 devices, scan modalities (mostly circular scans) and automated segmentation algorithms in  
161 the respective studies (see Table 1). pRNFLT outliers were excluded prior to analyses  
162 according to Chauvenet's criterion. Briefly, depending on sample size we excluded  
163 participants with pRNFLT above or below a certain range of standard deviations from the  
164 mean<sup>16</sup>. To investigate determinants of pRNFLT, multivariable linear regression models  
165 including the variables of interest were conducted. Factors to be tested for association with  
166 pRNFLT were considered in multiple steps. As first and most important step, variables were  
167 chosen a priori based on literature and availability in the individual studies. Subsequently, we  
168 performed univariable linear regression models of potential factors at study level to assess  
169 possible impact on pRNFLT. In the last step the factors of the multivariable models were  
170 decided on as a trade-off between priority of the respective factors and the maximum  
171 possible population size of the model.

172 The independent variables of the multivariable linear regression model were age, sex, body-  
173 mass-index (BMI), visual impairment as defined by the World Health Organization (WHO)  
174 (best corrected visual acuity (BCVA) <0.3 decimal), intraocular pressure (IOP), spherical  
175 equivalent (SE), smoking status and history of systemic hypertension, diabetes, stroke and  
176 dementia. The multivariable regression model was conducted for each individual study and  
177 residuals were then plotted and normal distribution assessed. Since OCT devices were  
178 changed within the course of the Rotterdam Study (From 3D-OCT 1000 to 3D-OCT 2000,  
179 Topcon Medical Systems, Oakland, NJ, USA), we controlled for the OCT device in the  
180 multivariable regression models of the Rotterdam Study II and III. In the TwinsUK Study, we

181 performed a hierarchical multivariable regression model to control for family dependencies  
182 between twins.

183 Subsequently, random-effects meta-analysis was used to combine effect estimates (beta  
184 coefficients) of each individual predictor from the multivariable regression model among  
185 studies. A random-effects approach was chosen a priori based on the heterogeneity in the  
186 data caused by the different OCT devices<sup>17</sup> and the set-up of the studies. Our analyses were  
187 conducted twice, with and without known glaucoma patients.

188 Not all independent variables of the multivariable regression model were available in every  
189 participating study. The multivariable regression models in the respective studies were  
190 therefore performed without the missing variables and the study was excluded from the  
191 meta-analysis of that respective missing covariate. All analyses were performed with the  
192 statistical software RStudio (R version 3.4.1, RStudio Inc., Boston, MA,  
193 <https://www.rstudio.com/>), statistical significance was set at  $p < 0.05$ .

194

## 195 **RESULTS**

196 A total of 16,084 participants from eight population-based studies were included, about one  
197 percent pRNFLT outliers per study were excluded (supplemental Table 1b). The mean age of  
198 participants ranged from  $56.9 \pm 12.3$  years in the LIFE Study to  $82.1 \pm 4.2$  years in the  
199 Alienor Study. Mean global pRNFLT ranged from  $86.8 \pm 21.4$  microns in the Rotterdam  
200 Study I to  $104.7 \pm 12.5$  microns in the Rotterdam Study III (Table 1). Further participant  
201 characteristics for each study are presented in supplemental Table 1b. The results of the  
202 multivariable regression models for each individual study are reported in Table 2. Data on  
203 dementia were only available in the Rotterdam Study cohorts and the Alienor Study.  
204 Furthermore, in the TwinsUK Study no sufficient data were available on visual impairment,  
205 glaucoma, hypertension and smoking status; in the LIFE Study, no data were available on  
206 visual impairment, SE and IOP.

207 In the meta-analyzed multivariable regression model (Table 3 and Figures 1a and 1b), age  
208 and IOP were negatively associated with pRNFLT, even after excluding glaucoma patients. A



209 history of stroke and hypertension were both associated with a reduced pRNFLT. When  
210 substituting hypertension with mean systolic blood pressure (in mmHg), no association was  
211 found.

212 A suggestive, but non-significant association with reduced pRNFLT was observed for  
213 dementia. Visual impairment as defined by the WHO was associated with reduced pRNFLT  
214 in the meta-analysis. We found this association in the Alienor and Rotterdam Study I-III,  
215 while there was no association in the Montrachet and Coimbra Study.

216 Women had a thicker pRNFLT than men in the meta-analysis. However, when correcting for  
217 AL rather than SE in the five studies with data on AL, this association disappeared. SE was  
218 positively associated with pRNFLT, even after excluding highly myopic (< -6 diopters) and  
219 highly hyperopic eyes (> +4 diopters) as well as eyes with pseudophakia (supplemental  
220 Figures A and B). Longer AL was associated with reduced pRNFLT in our sensitivity  
221 analyses (beta=-3.48 $\mu$ m per mm longer AL, 95% CI=-4.18, -2.77) (supplemental Figure C).  
222 Both, former and current smoking were associated with thicker pRNFLT, but prevalence and  
223 associations differed considerably between studies. To assess the influence of education on  
224 smoking, we corrected for education and the associations persisted. After excluding data  
225 from the LIFE Study, which is the largest study with the highest proportion of smokers (data  
226 weighted >60% in the meta-analysis), the association remained significant for current but not  
227 for former smoking (supplemental Figures D-G). For BMI, we found a small but significant  
228 association with increased pRNFLT after excluding glaucoma patients. All associations  
229 except for former smoking held true after excluding the 619 known glaucoma patients (Table  
230 3). Furthermore, we detected no relevant changes of associations when performing the  
231 multivariable regression analyses stratified by sex or when excluding the LIFE study cohort  
232 being the largest single study (results not reported).

233  
234 **DISCUSSION**

235 Our study confirms the previously reported associations of age and SE with pRNFLT and  
236 identifies several additional factors associated with pRNFLT, namely IOP (even in individuals

237 without a history of glaucoma), stroke, hypertension and smoking. Furthermore, we found a  
238 trend of reduced pRNFLT in participants with dementia. Our results suggest that a number of  
239 ocular as well as systemic factors need to be considered when assessing pRNFLT. To date,  
240 none of this has for example been implemented as potentially influencing factors in reference  
241 databases for OCT devices or any algorithms assessing pRNFLT change.

242 First publications on determinants of OCT – based pRNFLT measurements reported older  
243 age and greater AL to be associated with thinner pRNFLT<sup>18,19</sup>. Budenz and coworkers  
244 investigated determinants of pRNFLT in 328 normal subjects aged 18 to 85 years using time  
245 domain – optical coherence tomography (TD–OCT) and described a decrease of 2.0 microns  
246 pRNFLT per decade and a decrease of 2.2 microns per millimeter AL<sup>19</sup>. These estimates are  
247 smaller but still compare to our results (decrease of 3.8 microns pRNFLT on average per  
248 decade and 3.48 microns per millimeter AL). A subsequent study evaluated determinants of  
249 pRNFLT in 542 healthy adults aged 40 to 80 years using SD – OCT (Cirrus HD-OCT; Carl  
250 Zeiss Meditec, Inc., Dublin, CA) and confirmed the associations of pRNFLT with age and  
251 AL<sup>11</sup>.

252 Subsequently, larger population studies mostly from Asia were conducted to investigate  
253 further determinants of pRNFLT. We have affirmed results from the Beijing Eye Study in  
254 2548 participants considering the influence of age and refractive error. That study also  
255 showed a higher pRNFLT of 2.9 microns in women<sup>14</sup>, in keeping with our results of women  
256 having a higher pRNFLT of 2.2 microns. Similar to our models, the Beijing Eye Study  
257 corrected for refractive error instead of actual AL. Interestingly, after correcting for AL in our  
258 analyses, sex was no longer associated with pRNFLT. Based on this, we hypothesize that  
259 AL, which is on average shorter in women, confounds the effect of sex on pRNFLT. In  
260 general, SE is a good proxy for AL and we found a strong association of higher SE with  
261 thicker pRNFLT, even in both our sensitivity analyses, which eliminated subjects with high  
262 refractive errors. The underlying mechanisms of the association of longer AL and thinner  
263 pRNFLT are arguable<sup>20</sup>. Frequently suggested mechanisms are either a stretching due to a  
264 longer eye bulb or artificially decreased measurements due to magnification<sup>21,22</sup>. However,

265 irrespective of the causal mechanism, the clinical relevance of adjusting for refraction or AL  
266 in OCT – imaging seems obvious.

267 Higher IOP was associated with reduced pRNFLT in our analyses even after excluding  
268 known glaucoma patients. However, since glaucoma was self-reported in some of the  
269 participating studies, not all actual glaucoma patients might have been excluded in our  
270 analyses. Visual impairment (BCVA < 0.3 decimal) as a proxy for any ocular pathology was  
271 associated with thinner pRNFLT in the Alienor Study and all of the Rotterdam Studies. The  
272 Coimbra and Montrachet Study were likely underpowered to find an effect, because of very  
273 few cases with reduced BCVA in these studies.

274 Previous studies reported contradictory results on the impact of hypertension and blood  
275 pressure on pRNFLT<sup>9,23,24</sup>. Our results show reduced pRNFLT in hypertensive patients, but  
276 no association of pRNFLT with actual systolic blood pressure. Blood pressure  
277 measurements, however, are known to vary with method and associations with systolic blood  
278 pressure may have been masked by any use of antihypertensive medication. In contrast to  
279 hypertension, most studies investigating the effect of diabetes on pRNFLT report diabetic  
280 patients to have thinner pRNFLT<sup>25,26</sup>. This is in not agreement with our results that do not  
281 show an association of reduced pRNFLT in diabetic patients. Nether the less, we  
282 hypothesize that microvascular pathology and ischemia due to hypertension and/or diabetes  
283 may be a cause for reduced pRNFLT, as it has been suggested previously<sup>25</sup>.

284 Both, former and current smoking were associated with thicker pRNFLT in our meta-analysis,  
285 even in several sensitivity analyses including correction for educational level. This  
286 association does not seem biologically plausible given the observed pRNFLT decrease in  
287 metabolic diseases. Potential biologic explanations could be reduced axonal flow or axonal  
288 swelling in the course of axonal degeneration due to intake of neurotoxins and cytotoxins  
289 from cigarette smoke. However, our results are in contrast with findings of earlier studies<sup>27,28</sup>,  
290 which reported reduced pRNFLT in smokers. Suggested mechanisms leading to decreased  
291 pRNFLT were toxic damage through free radicals, increased IOP and reduced perfusion<sup>27-29</sup>.  
292 We controlled for IOP as well as hypertension and diabetes, which all may influence

293 perfusion. It is therefore unclear what might explain this association. Current smokers were  
294 on average younger in our participating studies compared to never and former smokers.  
295 Hence, even though we controlled for age in our models, we cannot entirely rule out residual  
296 confounding. Additionally, the E3 studies are not representative studies of European  
297 populations and smoking percentages therefore do not reflect actual percentages. There was  
298 heterogeneity between studies considering smoking prevalence and oppositional effects of  
299 former smoking in some studies. After excluding the LIFE Study, which was dominantly  
300 weighted in the smoking meta-analysis, the Rotterdam Study III showed to be weighted  
301 strongest for current smoking. When excluding also the Rotterdam Study III, the impact of  
302 smoking is weakened but holds true. Still, the associations seem to be particularly driven by  
303 the large studies. This is also underlined by increasing heterogeneity for former and current  
304 smoking in the meta-analysis after excluding the LIFE Study. Moreover, there is no  
305 information on the time interval between cessation of smoking and OCT – imaging for the  
306 former smokers, which may have an impact, as well. Further studies are needed to confirm  
307 or refute our observation, which may well be a chance finding.

308 Past studies have reported stroke patients to have thinner pRNFLT, which was hypothesized  
309 to be caused by transneuronal retrograde degeneration<sup>30,31</sup>. Our data confirm the association  
310 of stroke and decreased pRNFLT. Additionally, in dementia patients we found a trend of  
311 reduced pRNFLT. Again, this is in accordance to various previous studies, which report  
312 dementia patients to have reduced pRNFLT<sup>4,32</sup>. Thus far, the underlying mechanisms remain  
313 unclear. Loss of peripapillary RNFL is a hallmark of glaucoma and longitudinal pRNFLT  
314 evaluation is a crucial part of glaucoma management. In our meta-analysis, all associations  
315 persisted after excluding known glaucoma patients except for former smoking. This indicates  
316 that the detected determinants are independent of the presence of glaucoma.

317 As described previously, structural decline of pRNFLT occurs before functional loss in  
318 perimetry in glaucoma patients. An earlier study reported the difference in pRNFLT between  
319 glaucomatous and healthy eyes eight years before the onset of visual field impairment to be  
320 around 5  $\mu\text{m}$ <sup>33</sup>. This is in the range of some associations found in our study and underlines

321 the potential impact on the interpretation of pRNFLT. Our results have two main clinical  
322 implications. Firstly, the normative databases built into the devices should reflect our results,  
323 when presenting normal values for pRNFLT. Also, presence of vascular disease including a  
324 history of stroke should be considered when defining normative datasets or when clinically  
325 evaluating pRNFLT. As discussed above, the magnitude of impact of the respective  
326 determinants may have clinical relevance, especially in the presence of more than one factor  
327 reducing pRNFLT. Secondly, in glaucoma or other patients followed up with pRNFLT  
328 measurements, an incident stroke or dementia may cause a decrease in pRNFLT, which  
329 would not primarily be due to glaucoma or other ocular disease progression. For example,  
330 this may simulate an aggravation of glaucoma and needs to be considered by the clinician  
331 when tailoring the glaucoma management.

332 The strengths of this study consist of the large pooled sample combining data of eight  
333 studies from five European countries. To our knowledge, this study represents the largest  
334 European study on determinants of pRNFLT thus far. As mentioned, previous population  
335 studies reporting data on associations with pRNFLT were conducted in mostly Asian  
336 populations and results cannot directly be transferred to European individuals. The  
337 associations of this study were assessed in meta-analyses of all participating populations,  
338 thus they are not limited to one single population only. This reduces the possibility that an  
339 association was solely due to chance within one population and increases generalizability.  
340 However, several limitations of our study need to be considered. The use of different OCT–  
341 devices between studies may have increased variability and prohibited direct pooling of  
342 pRNFLT data. To overcome this lack of direct comparability we performed the analysis  
343 separately within studies and then pooled studies' effect estimates using random-effect  
344 meta-analysis. Furthermore, we found no interactions between type of device and any  
345 predictor variable in additional sensitivity analyses in the Rotterdam Study II and III, which  
346 had a device upgrade within course of the study. However, residual influence of different  
347 OCT devices cannot be entirely excluded. As expected when combining different large- scale  
348 population studies, we observed between study heterogeneity for the independent variables

349 and their influence on pRNFLT. The degree of heterogeneity of the respective covariates  
350 was assessed using the I<sup>2</sup> – statistics and ranged from 0% to 97% (see Table 3). As  
351 described, this heterogeneity between studies was addressed by using random effect meta-  
352 analysis<sup>17</sup>. In accordance with previous literature, the relationship between pRNFLT and age  
353 was linear in our sample. Having no data for children and young adults, we do not know  
354 whether the relationship between pRNFLT and age is strictly linear throughout life but would  
355 assume so based on our data. Thus, we investigated associations using multivariable linear  
356 regression modeling. Based on this, any non-linear relationships may have been  
357 underrepresented. Quality control was performed within each study differently (supplemental  
358 Table 2). Some studies performed manual (re)-segmentation, excluded OCT images below a  
359 certain scan quality and scans with artifacts, while others included all scans with sufficient  
360 quality as evaluated by the performing technician. As sensitivity analysis we excluded  
361 participants with an image quality value below 45 (as recommended by the manufacturer) in  
362 the Rotterdam Studies I-III. We found no relevant changes of direction in any association, but  
363 the confidence intervals became broader due to a reduced sample size (supplemental Table  
364 3). Hence, even though the lack of centralized quality control is a limitation to our analyses,  
365 the impact of poor quality scans seems to be low as indicated by our supplemental sensitivity  
366 analyses. Within each study, the number of participants in which OCT imaging could not be  
367 performed or in which the images were of low quality and thus unusable is a small proportion  
368 only (supplemental Table 2). For example, in the Rotterdam Study I-III the number of  
369 participants with no or insufficient OCT data was 10%, 6% and 15%, respectively. These  
370 subjects were older and more likely to have stroke (RS I), dementia (RS II and III) and  
371 hypertension (RS III) than the included participants. This indicates that our associations may  
372 be underestimations of the true effect. Several independent variables were not available in  
373 some studies. Therefore not all multivariable models could be corrected for all variables.  
374 However, no relevant differences of associations were detectable, when comparing studies  
375 with and studies without any missing data. Hence, the absence of certain variables in some  
376 studies did not relevantly alter the associations of the available data. Methods of

377 assessments varied between our studies. This concerns e.g. the best-corrected visual acuity,  
378 which was sometimes measured subjectively and sometimes by autorefractor. In addition,  
379 information on diseases was assessed differently. While glaucoma was defined based on  
380 optic disc evaluation and perimetry in the Alienor Study and Rotterdam Study I-III, it was self-  
381 reported in the LIFE Study. Furthermore, we did not distinguish between the various types of  
382 dementia, which may have different impact on pRNFLT. These differences contribute again  
383 to larger heterogeneity and the relation between self-reported diseases and pRNFLT may  
384 have been estimated with less precision. Lastly, our data were cross-sectional only, thus  
385 causal deductions from the detected associations are limited and further longitudinal studies  
386 are needed.

387 In conclusion, the current analyses identified important additional determinants of pRNFLT,  
388 which should be considered when assessing pRNFLT both clinically and in epidemiological  
389 research. The magnitude of changes in pRNFLT by determinant is likely clinically relevant  
390 and the biology of pRNFLT thinning is complex, with mechanical pressure, microvascular  
391 ischemia and neuronal degeneration being implied. This is reflected in the complexity of  
392 factors, which influence pRNFLT and hence need to be considered. In particular, the  
393 associations with systemic vascular and neurovascular diseases merit further research.

394 **Acknowledgments**

395 The authors would like to gratefully acknowledge the contribution of the following persons:

396 Alberta A. H. J. Thiadens (Rotterdam), Nomdo M. Jansonius (Groningen/Rotterdam) and

397 Paulus de Jong (Rotterdam). The authors would additionally like to thank Dr Matthias

398 Nuechter (LIFE) for his enthusiastic assistance to this collaborative research, Dr. Kerstin

399 Wirkner (LIFE) and her team for data acquisition, and Dr. Toralf Kirsten (LIFE) for IT

400 structure and overall support. Furthermore, the authors express sincere thanks to Verena

401 Brendler (LIFE) and Yvonne Dietz (LIFE) for data management.

402 Members of the European Eye Epidemiology (E3) Consortium:

<b>Last name</b>	<b>First name</b>	<b>Institution</b>	<b>City</b>	<b>Country</b>
Acar	Niyazi	Inra-University of Burgundy	Dijon	France
Anastosopoulos	Eleftherios	University of Thessaloniki	Thessaloniki	Greece
Azuara-Blanco	Augusto	Queen's University	Belfast	UK
Berendschot	Tos	University Eye Clinic Maastricht	Maastricht	Netherlands
Berendschot	Tos	University of Maastricht	Maastricht	Netherlands
Bergen	Arthur	Netherlands Institute for Neurosciences-KNAW	Amsterdam	Netherlands
Bertelsen	Geir	University of Tromso	Tromso	Norway
Binguet	Christine	University Hospital of Dijon	Dijon	France
Bird	Alan	Moorfield's Eye Hospital	London	UK
Bobak	Martin	Lithuanian University of health sciences	Kaunas	Lithuania
Bøgelund Larsen	Morten	University of Southern Denmark / Odense University Hospital	Odense	Denmark
Boon	Camiel	Leiden University Medical Center	Leiden	Netherlands
Bourne	Rupert	University of Ruskin	Cambridge	England
Brétilon	Lionel	Inra-University of Burgundy	Dijon	France
Broe	Rebecca	University of Southern Denmark	Odense	Denmark
Bron	Alain	University Hospital of Dijon	Dijon	France
Buitendijk	Gabrielle	Erasmus Medical Center	Rotterdam	Netherlands
Cachulo	Maria Luz	AIBILI/CHUC	Coimbra	Portugal
Capuano	Vittorio	University Hospital of Créteil	Créteil	France
Carrière	Isabelle	Inserm U1061	Montpellier	France
Chakravarthy	Usha	Queen's University	Belfast	UK
Chan	Michelle	UCL Institute of Ophthalmology	London	UK
Chang	Petrus	University of Bonn	Bonn	Germany
Colijn	Johanna	Erasmus Medical Center	Rotterdam	Netherlands
Cougnard-Grégoire	Audrey	Bordeaux Population Health Research Center UMR1219	Bordeaux	France
Cree	Angela	University of Southampton	Southampton	UK
Creuzot-Garcher	Catherine	University Hospital of Dijon	Dijon	France
Cumberland	Phillippa	UCL Institute of Child Health	London	UK
Cunha-Vaz	José	AIBILI/CHUC	Coimbra	Portugal
Daïen	Vincent	Inserm U1061	Montpellier	France



Mauschitz et al., Systemic and ocular determinants of peripapillary retinal nerve fiber layer thickness measurements in the E3 population

De Jong	Eiko	Radboud University	Nijmegen	Netherlands
Deak	Gabor	Medical University of Vienna	Vienna	Austria
Delcourt	Cécile	Bordeaux Population Health Research Center UMR1219	Bordeaux	France
Delyfer	Marie-Noëlle	Bordeaux Population Health Research Center UMR1219	Bordeaux	France
den Hollander	Anneke	Radboud University	Nijmegen	Netherlands
Dietzel	Martha	University of Muenster	Muenster	Germany
Erke	Maja Gran	University of Tromso	Tromso	Norway
Faria	Pedro	AIBILI/CHUC	Coimbra	Portugal
Farinha	Claudia	AIBILI/CHUC	Coimbra	Portugal
Fauser	Sascha	University Eye Hospital	Cologne	Germany
Finger	Robert	University of Bonn	Bonn	Germany
Fletcher	Astrid	London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine	London	UK
Foster	Paul	UCL Institute of Ophthalmology	London	UK
Founti	Panayiota	University of Thessaloniki	Thessaloniki	Greece
Gorgels	Theo	Netherlands Institute for Neurosciences-KNAW	Amsterdam	Netherlands
Grauslund	Jakob	University of Southern Denmark	Odense	Denmark
Grus	Franz	University Medical Center Mainz	Mainz	Germany
Hammond	Christopher	King's College	London	UK
Hense	Hans-Werner	University of Muenster	Muenster	Germany
Hermann	Manuel	University Eye Hospital	Cologne	Germany
Hoehn	René	University Medical Center	Mainz	Germany
Hogg	Ruth	Queen's University	Belfast	UK
Holz	Frank	University of Bonn	Bonn	Germany
Hoyng	Carel	Radboud University	Nijmegen	Netherlands
Jansonius	Nomdo	Erasmus Medical Center	Rotterdam	Netherlands
Janssen	Sarah	Netherlands Institute for Neurosciences-KNAW	Amsterdam	Netherlands
Kersten	Eveline	Radboud University	Nijmegen	Netherlands
Khawaja	Anthony	NIHR Biomedical Research Centre, Moorfields Eye Hospital NHS Foundation Trust and UCL Institute of Ophthalmology	London	UK
Klaver	Caroline	Erasmus Medical Center	Rotterdam	Netherlands
Korobelnik	Jean-François	Bordeaux Population Health Research Center UMR1219	Bordeaux	France
Lamparter	Julia	University Medical Center Mainz	Mainz	Germany
Le Goff	Mélanie	Bordeaux Population Health Research Center UMR1219	Bordeaux	France
Lechanteur	Yara	Radboud University	Nijmegen	Netherlands
Lehtimäki	Terho	Fimlab Laboratories and School of Medicine, University of Tampere	Tampere	Finland
Leung	Irene	Moorfield's Eye Hospital	London	UK
Lotery	Andrew	University of Southampton	Southampton	UK
Mauschitz	Matthias	University of Bonn	Bonn	Germany
Meester	Magda	Erasmus Medical Center	Rotterdam	Netherlands
Merle	Bénédicte	Bordeaux Population Health Research Center UMR1219	Bordeaux	France
Meyer zu Westrup	Verena	University of Muenster	Muenster	Germany
Midena	Edoardo	University of Padova	Padova	Italy
Miotto	Stefania	University of Padova	Padova	Italy

Mauschitz et al., Systemic and ocular determinants of peripapillary retinal nerve fiber layer thickness measurements in the E3 population

Mirshahi	Alireza	Dardenne Eye Hospital	Bonn	Germany
Mohan-Saïd	Sadek	Institut de la Vision	Paris	France
Mueller	Michael	Pirkanmaa Hospital District	Tampere	Finland
Muldrew	Alyson	Queen's University	Belfast	UK
Murta	Joaquim	AIBILI/CHUC	Coimbra	Portugal
Nickels	Stefan	University Medical Center	Mainz	Germany
Nunes	Sandrina	AIBILI/CHUC	Coimbra	Portugal
Owen	Christopher	University of London	London	UK
Peto	Tunde	Queen's University	Belfast	UK
Pfeiffer	Norbert	University Medical Center	Mainz	Germany
Piermarocchi	Stefano	University of Padova	Padova	Italy
Prokofyeva	Elena	Scientific Institute of Public Health (WIV-ISP)	Brussels	Belgium
Rahi	Jugnoo	UCL Institute of Ophthalmology	London	UK
Raitakari	Olli	Turku University Hospital, University of Turku	Turku	Finland
Rauscher	Franziska	Leipzig University Hospital	Leipzig	Germany
Ribeiro	Luisa	AIBILI/CHUC	Coimbra	Portugal
Rougier	Marie-Bénédicte	Bordeaux Population Health Research Center UMR1219	Bordeaux	France
Rudnicka	Alicja	University of London	London	UK
Sahel	José	Institut de la Vision	Paris	France
Salonikiou	Aggeliki	University of Thessaloniki	Thessaloniki	Greece
Sanchez	Clarisa	Radboud University	Nijmegen	Netherlands
Schmitz-Valckenberg	Steffen	University of Bonn	Bonn	Germany
Schuster	Alexander	University Medical Center	Mainz	Germany
Schweitzer	Cédric	Bordeaux Population Health Research Center UMR1219	Bordeaux	France
Segato	Tatiana	University of Padova	Padova	Italy
Shehata	Jasmin	Medical University of Vienna	Vienna	Austria
Silva	Rufino	AIBILI/CHUC	Coimbra	Portugal
Silvestri	Giuliana	Queen's University	Belfast	UK
Simader	Christian	Medical University of Vienna	Vienna	Austria
Souied	Eric	University Hospital of Créteil	Créteil	France
Speckauskas	Martynas	Lithuanian University of health sciences	Kaunas	Lithuania
Springelkamp	Henriet	Erasmus Medical Center	Rotterdam	Netherlands
Tapp	Robyn	Pirkanmaa Hospital District	Tampere	Finland
Topouzis	Fotis	University of Thessaloniki	Thessaloniki	Greece
van Leeuwen	Elisa	Erasmus Medical Center	Rotterdam	Netherlands
Verhoeven	Virginie	Erasmus Medical Center	Rotterdam	Netherlands
Verzijden	Timo	Erasmus Medical Center	Rotterdam	Netherlands
Von Hanno	Therese	University of Tromso	Tromso	Norway
Wiedemann	Peter	Leipzig University Hospital	Leipzig	Germany
Williams	Katie	King's College London	London	UK
Wolfram	Christian	University Medical Center	Mainz	Germany
Yip	Jennifer	UCL Institute of Ophthalmology	London	UK
Zerbib	Jennyfer	University Hospital of Créteil	Créteil	France

403

404

405 **References**

- 406 1. Mwanza J-C, Oakley JD, Budenz DL, Anderson DR. Ability of cirrus HD-OCT optic  
407 nerve head parameters to discriminate normal from glaucomatous eyes. *Ophthalmology*.  
408 2011;118(2):241. doi:10.1016/j.ophtha.2010.06.036.
- 409 2. Gardiner SK, Fortune B, Demirel S. Localized Changes in Retinal Nerve Fiber Layer  
410 Thickness as a Predictor of Localized Functional Change in Glaucoma. *Am J Ophthalmol*.  
411 2016;170:75-82. doi:10.1016/j.ajo.2016.07.020.
- 412 3. Marziani E, Pomati S, Ramolfo P, et al. Evaluation of retinal nerve fiber layer and  
413 ganglion cell layer thickness in Alzheimer's disease using spectral-domain optical  
414 coherence tomography. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2013;54(9):5953-5958.  
415 doi:10.1167/iovs.13-12046.
- 416 4. Jones-Odeh E, Hammond CJ. How strong is the relationship between glaucoma, the  
417 retinal nerve fibre layer, and neurodegenerative diseases such as Alzheimer's disease and  
418 multiple sclerosis? *Eye (Lond)*. 2015;29(10):1270-1284. doi:10.1038/eye.2015.158.
- 419 5. Rougier M-B, Korobelnik J-F, Malet F, et al. Retinal nerve fibre layer thickness measured  
420 with SD-OCT in a population-based study of French elderly subjects: the Alienor study.  
421 *Acta Ophthalmol*. 2015;93(6):539-545. doi:10.1111/aos.12658.
- 422 6. Zhu B-D, Li S-M, Li H, et al. Retinal nerve fiber layer thickness in a population of 12-  
423 year-old children in central China measured by iVue-100 spectral-domain optical  
424 coherence tomography: the Anyang Childhood Eye Study. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*.  
425 2013;54(13):8104-8111. doi:10.1167/iovs.13-11958.
- 426 7. Chauhan BC, Danthurebandara VM, Sharpe GP, et al. Bruch's Membrane Opening  
427 Minimum Rim Width and Retinal Nerve Fiber Layer Thickness in a Normal White  
428 Population: A Multicenter Study. *Ophthalmology*. 2015;122(9):1786-1794.  
429 doi:10.1016/j.ophtha.2015.06.001.
- 430 8. Leung CKS, Yu M, Weinreb RN, et al. Retinal nerve fiber layer imaging with spectral-  
431 domain optical coherence tomography: a prospective analysis of age-related loss.  
432 *Ophthalmology*. 2012;119(4):731-737. doi:10.1016/j.ophtha.2011.10.010.
- 433 9. Schuster AK-G, Fischer JE, Vossmerbaeumer C, Vossmerbaeumer U. Determinants of  
434 peripapillary retinal nerve fiber layer thickness regarding ocular and systemic parameters -  
435 the MIPH Eye&Health Study. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol*. 2016;254(10):2011-  
436 2016. doi:10.1007/s00417-016-3422-y.
- 437 10. Yamashita T, Sakamoto T, Yoshihara N, et al. Correlations Between Retinal Nerve Fiber  
438 Layer Thickness and Axial Length, Peripapillary Retinal Tilt, Optic Disc Size, and Retinal  
439 Artery Position in Healthy Eyes. *J Glaucoma*. 2016. doi:10.1097/IJG.0000000000000550.
- 440 11. Cheung CY, Chen D, Wong TY, et al. Determinants of quantitative optic nerve  
441 measurements using spectral domain optical coherence tomography in a population-based  
442 sample of non-glaucomatous subjects. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2011;52(13):9629-9635.  
443 doi:10.1167/iovs.11-7481.
- 444 12. Chen L, Huang J, Zou H, et al. Retinal nerve fiber layer thickness in normal Chinese  
445 students aged 6 to 17 years. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2013;54(13):7990-7997.  
446 doi:10.1167/iovs.12-11252.
- 447 13. Kang M-T, Li S-M, Li H, et al. Peripapillary retinal nerve fibre layer thickness and its  
448 association with refractive error in Chinese children: the Anyang Childhood Eye Study.  
449 *Clin Experiment Ophthalmol*. 2016;44(8):701-709. doi:10.1111/ceo.12764.
- 450 14. Zhao L, Wang Y, Chen CX, Xu L, Jonas JB. Retinal nerve fibre layer thickness measured  
451 by Spectralis spectral-domain optical coherence tomography: The Beijing Eye Study. *Acta*  
452 *Ophthalmol*. 2014;92(1):e35-41. doi:10.1111/aos.12240.

- 453 15. Delcourt C, Korobelnik J-F, Buitendijk GHS, et al. Ophthalmic epidemiology in Europe:  
454 the "European Eye Epidemiology" (E3) consortium. *Eur J Epidemiol.* 2016;31(2):197-  
455 210. doi:10.1007/s10654-015-0098-2.
- 456 16. Chauvenet W. *A Manual of Spherical and Practical Astronomy.* 5th ed. Philadelphia:  
457 Lippincott Company; 1906:469-566.
- 458 17. Khawaja AP, Springelkamp H, Creuzot-Garcher C, et al. Associations with intraocular  
459 pressure across Europe: The European Eye Epidemiology (E3) Consortium. *Eur J*  
460 *Epidemiol.* 2016. doi:10.1007/s10654-016-0191-1.
- 461 18. Nagai-Kusuhara A, Nakamura M, Fujioka M, Tatsumi Y, Negi A. Association of retinal  
462 nerve fibre layer thickness measured by confocal scanning laser ophthalmoscopy and  
463 optical coherence tomography with disc size and axial length. *Br J Ophthalmol.*  
464 2008;92(2):186-190. doi:10.1136/bjo.2007.127480.
- 465 19. Budenz DL, Anderson DR, Varma R, et al. Determinants of normal retinal nerve fiber  
466 layer thickness measured by Stratus OCT. *Ophthalmology.* 2007;114(6):1046-1052.  
467 doi:10.1016/j.ophtha.2006.08.046.
- 468 20. Mwanza J-C, Durbin MK, Budenz DL, et al. Profile and predictors of normal ganglion  
469 cell-inner plexiform layer thickness measured with frequency-domain optical coherence  
470 tomography. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2011;52(11):7872-7879. doi:10.1167/iovs.11-  
471 7896.
- 472 21. Kang SH, Hong SW, Im SK, Lee SH, Ahn MD. Effect of myopia on the thickness of the  
473 retinal nerve fiber layer measured by Cirrus HD optical coherence tomography. *Invest*  
474 *Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2010;51(8):4075-4083. doi:10.1167/iovs.09-4737.
- 475 22. Higashide T, Ohkubo S, Hangai M, et al. Influence of Clinical Factors and Magnification  
476 Correction on Normal Thickness Profiles of Macular Retinal Layers Using Optical  
477 Coherence Tomography. *PLoS ONE.* 2016;11(1):e0147782.  
478 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0147782.
- 479 23. Sahin OZ, Sahin SB, Ayaz T, et al. The impact of hypertension on retinal nerve fiber layer  
480 thickness and its association with carotid intima media thickness. *Blood Press.*  
481 2015;24(3):178-184. doi:10.3109/08037051.2014.1000562.
- 482 24. Topouzis F, Coleman AL, Harris A, et al. Association of blood pressure status with the  
483 optic disk structure in non-glaucoma subjects: the Thessaloniki eye study. *Am J*  
484 *Ophthalmol.* 2006;142(1):60-67. doi:10.1016/j.ajo.2006.02.055.
- 485 25. Jeon SJ, Kwon J-W, La TY, Park CK, Choi JA. Characteristics of Retinal Nerve Fiber  
486 Layer Defect in Nonglaucomatous Eyes With Type II Diabetes. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.*  
487 2016;57(10):4008-4015. doi:10.1167/iovs.16-19525.
- 488 26. Carpineto P, Toto L, Aloia R, et al. Neuroretinal alterations in the early stages of diabetic  
489 retinopathy in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Eye (Lond).* 2016;30(5):673-679.  
490 doi:10.1038/eye.2016.13.
- 491 27. El-Shazly AAE-F, Farweez YAT, Elewa LS, Elzankalony YA, Farweez BAT. Effect of  
492 Active and Passive Smoking on Retinal Nerve Fibre Layer and Ganglion Cell Complex. *J*  
493 *Ophthalmol.* 2017;2017:6354025. doi:10.1155/2017/6354025.
- 494 28. Dervişoğulları MS, Totan Y, Tenlik A, Yüce A, Güler E. Effect of smoking on retina  
495 nerve fiber layer and ganglion cell-inner plexiform layer complex. *Cutan Ocul Toxicol.*  
496 2015;34(4):282-285. doi:10.3109/15569527.2014.975240.
- 497 29. Yoshida M, Take S, Ishikawa M, et al. Association of smoking with intraocular pressure  
498 in middle-aged and older Japanese residents. *Environ Health Prev Med.* 2014;19(2):100-  
499 107. doi:10.1007/s12199-013-0359-1.
- 500 30. Park H-YL, Park YG, Cho A-H, Park CK. Transneuronal retrograde degeneration of the  
501 retinal ganglion cells in patients with cerebral infarction. *Ophthalmology.*  
502 2013;120(6):1292-1299. doi:10.1016/j.ophtha.2012.11.021.

- 503 31. Anjos R, Vieira L, Costa L, et al. Macular Ganglion Cell Layer and Peripapillary Retinal  
504 Nerve Fibre Layer Thickness in Patients with Unilateral Posterior Cerebral Artery  
505 Ischaemic Lesion: An Optical Coherence Tomography Study. *Neuroophthalmology*.  
506 2016;40(1):8-15. doi:10.3109/01658107.2015.1122814.
- 507 32. He X-F, Liu Y-T, Peng C, Zhang F, Zhuang S, Zhang J-S. Optical coherence tomography  
508 assessed retinal nerve fiber layer thickness in patients with Alzheimer's disease: a meta-  
509 analysis. *Int J Ophthalmol*. 2012;5(3):401-405. doi:10.3980/j.issn.2222-3959.2012.03.30.
- 510 33. Kuang TM, Zhang C, Zangwill LM, Weinreb RN, Medeiros FA. Estimating Lead Time  
511 Gained by Optical Coherence Tomography in Detecting Glaucoma before Development of  
512 Visual Field Defects. *Ophthalmology*. 2015;122(10):2002-2009.  
513 doi:10.1016/j.ophtha.2015.06.015.  
514

### Figure legends

Figure 1a: Forest plots of meta-analyzed associations with pRNFLT from multivariable regression models (Age, sex, spherical equivalent, intraocular pressure and visual impairment). The beta-coefficients [95% Confidence Interval] show the influence of each parameter on pRNFLT within the respective study, the percentage represents the mathematically determined weighting of each study within the meta-analysis.

Figure 1b: Forest plots of meta-analyzed associations with pRNFLT from multivariable regression models (Smoking, hypertension, stroke and dementia). The beta-coefficients [95% Confidence Interval] show the influence of each parameter on pRNFLT within the respective study, the percentage represents the mathematically determined weighting of each study within the meta-analysis.