Sensory Loss and Suicide Ideation in Older Adults: Findings from the Three-City cohort study

Authors: Dr Cosh S 1,2¥, Dr Carrière I 3,4, Dr Daien V 3,4,5, Prof Tzourio C 5,6, Dr Delcourt C 1, Dr Helmer C 1 and the Sense-Cog Group*.

1 University of Bordeaux, Inserm, Bordeaux Population Health Research Center, team LEHA, UMR 1219, F-33000 Bordeaux, France
2 University of New England, School of Behavioural, Cognitive and Social Sciences, Armidale, 2350, NSW, Australia
3 Inserm U1061 Montpellier, F-34093, France
4 University of Montpellier, Montpellier, F-34000, France
5 Department of Ophthalmology, Gui De Chauliac Hospital, Montpellier, F-34000, France
6 Univ. Bordeaux, Inserm, Bordeaux Population Health Research Center, team HEALTHY, UMR1219, F-33000 Bordeaux, France

¥Corresponding author
Université Bordeaux
Case 11 146 rue Léo Saignat
33076 Bordeaux cedex
Ph : +33 5 57 57 13 93
Suzanne.cosh@adelaide.edu.au
Objectives: To examine the longitudinal risk of vision or hearing loss for experiencing suicidal ideation in older adults.


Setting: Community dwelling older French adults.

Participants: N=5438 adults aged 73 and over.

Measurements: Suicidality was assessed by the Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview, Major Depressive Disorder module. Mild vision loss was defined as Parinaud of 3 or 4 and severe vision loss as Parinaud > 4. Mild hearing loss was self-reported difficulty understanding a conversation and severe hearing loss as inability to understand a conversation.

Results: Severe vision loss was associated with increased risk of suicidal ideation at baseline (OR = 1.59, 95% CIs = 1.06-2.38) and over five years (OR = 1.65, 95% CIs = 1.05-2.59). Mild and severe hearing loss were associated with increased risk of suicidal ideation, both at baseline (OR = 1.29, 95% CIs = 1.03-1.63; OR = 1.78, 95% CIs = 1.32-2.40) and over five years (OR = 1.47, 95% CIs = 1.17-1.85; OR = 1.97, 95% CIs = 1.44-2.70).

Conclusion: Sensory losses in late life pose a risk for suicidal ideation. Suicidality requires better assessment and intervention in this population.
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Introduction

Sensory losses are common globally amongst the elderly (WHO, 2012) leading to poorer mental health and reduced quality of life (Chia et al., 2006; Heine and Browning, 2014). Limitations in functional ability, resultant social isolation and inability to engage in pleasurable activities, as well as communication difficulties in HL are likely to impinge upon the wellbeing of older adults with sensory loss. Despite high rates of comorbid depression, and the well-established relationships between both VL and HL with depression (e.g., Carriere et al., 2013; Hsu et al., 2016), whether sensory losses are associated with the specific risk of suicidal ideation remains unclear. This is especially pertinent given that suicide in the elderly remains under-researched, despite older adults having comparatively high suicide rates globally (O'Connell et al., 2004). Furthermore, many commonly used depression screening tools do not assess suicidal ideation, thus older adults with sensory loss (including those with comorbid depression) may not be receiving adequate assessment and support.

Research examining suicide and suicidal behaviour in sensory loss is scant. An increased risk of suicide was reported in older adults with vision loss (Waern et al., 2002). Deaf adolescents have higher rates of suicidal behaviour (ideation, attempts) than the general population (Landsberger et al., 2014) and deaf adults commonly reported experiencing suicidal thoughts (Sheppard and Badger, 2010). However, only one population-based study to date has explored sensory loss and suicidal ideation. This cross-sectional analysis showed that older adults with sensory losses reported more suicidal ideation, although only vision loss (VL) significantly increased the risk (OR 1.43-1.65) (Kim et al., 2015).
Research examining aspects of suicide in sensory loss remain limited, especially in older adults, with the majority of evidence relying on small samples; and longitudinal studies are lacking (Kim et al., 2015). The aim of the present study was to explore the risk of suicidal ideation in older adults with VL or hearing loss (HL) over 5 years.

**Methods**

This study forms part of the SENSE-Cog research programme, funded by European Union Horizon 2020 program (http://www.sense-cog.eu/), which aims to promote mental well-being in older adults with sensory and cognitive impairments (Cosh et al., forthcoming). SENSE-Cog is a multistage program including a) an epidemiology consortium to improve understanding of the complex inter-relationship of sensory impairments and cognitive and mental health functioning, b) development of appropriate screening tools and c) an intervention trial, in order to improve understanding, detection and treatment of multiple impairments amongst ageing adults. The current study forms part of the epidemiology project.

**Study sample**

Participants were from the Three-City (The 3C Study Group, 2003), a population-based cohort study of 9294 community-dwelling French adults aged 65 and over from three French cities (Bordeaux, Dijon and Montpellier). Baseline data were collected between 1999-2001, with regular follow ups conducted at 2-3 year intervals. This study analysed data from participants who completed the 4th wave of follow-up (2006-2008), when suicidal ideation was assessed for the first time. Follow
up data from the 5th (2008-2010) and 6th (2010-2012) waves are also analysed (length of follow-up 2.9±1.8 years). Of the initial sample, 90.6% participated in at least one wave of follow-up, while 15.2% had died by the final follow up. The study protocol was approved by the Ethical Committees of the University–Hospitals of Bicêtre and Nîmes (France) and informed consent was obtained from participants. A detailed overview of the sampling and methodology of the 3C study has been published elsewhere (The 3C Study Group, 2003).

Measures

Suicide ideation: Suicide ideation was assessed via the suicidality item (‘Did you repeatedly consider hurting yourself, feel suicidal, or wish that you were dead?’) of the Major Depressive Disorder module of the Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI) (Sheehan et al., 1998). Suicidal ideation was reassessed at 3 and 5 years (5th and 6th Waves of follow-up: reassessed at 3 years only in Bordeaux).

Sensory measures: Near visual acuity (presenting vision) was assessed with the Parinaud scale. Standardized cards were used at a reading distance of 33 cm. Mild vision loss was classified as Parinaud of 3 or 4 (Snellen equivalent 20/30-20/60) and severe vision loss as Parinaud > 4 (Snellen equivalent <20/60). Mild hearing loss was classified as self-reported difficulty understanding a conversation, and severe hearing loss was self-reported inability understanding a conversation.
Covariates: Socio-demographic and health-related information was collected during interview; including education level (elementary schooling, secondary school, higher education), monthly income (<€760, €760-2280, >€2280), marital status, living alone or with others, alcohol consumption (<10, 10-40, >40 grams per day) and tobacco use (current-, past -, non-smoker). A composite score of functional ability was calculated based on scores from the Rosow-Breslau scale, the Lawton-Brody Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL) scale and the Katz Index of basic ADL (autonomous, dependent in 2 or more areas of functioning; Barberer-Gateau et al., 2000). Cognitive functioning was assessed using the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE; <16, 16-23, ≥24). History of stroke, myocardial infarction, diabetes, and psychotropic medication use (ATC codes: N05A-C, N06A-B) as well as depression diagnosis (MINI) was obtained during medical interview. Blood pressure was measured using a digital electronic tensiometer OMRON M4. Hypertension was defined as 140/90 mmHg or treatment with blood-pressure lowering drugs (ATC codes: C02, C03, C07, C08).

Statistical Analysis

Socio-demographic and health characteristics were compared according to sensory loss using chi-squares and one-way ANOVAs. The relationship between vision or hearing loss and suicidal ideation at baseline was examined using multivariate logistic regressions. The association between baseline sensory loss (Wave 4) and onset of suicidal ideation over follow-up (Waves 5 and 6) was examined amongst those who were free of suicidal ideation at baseline. The relationship with incident suicide ideation was examined using mixed logistic models, which take into account within-subject response correlation and model the individual
repeated probabilities of the outcome during follow-up. Logistic mixed models are also robust to missing data, as well as the reversibility of outcome; thus capturing that suicidal ideation may be remit and/or recur over time and thus be present at 1 or more, but not all, time points. Potential interactions between vision and hearing, as well as between sensory loss and sex, income and education were examined. For each analysis, two models were undertaken; Model 1 adjusted for sex, study center, and age; Model 2 further adjusted for known covariates of mental wellbeing in older adults: education level, income, marital status, living alone, use of psychotropic medication, functional ability, hypertension, diabetes, smoking, alcohol consumption, depression, MMSE and history of stroke and myocardial infarction. Analyses were conducted using SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC).

Results

5710 participants attended the 4th follow-up, of whom 5438 (95.2%) had sensory and MINI data. Mean age was 80.1 (SD=4.8) and the majority were female (62.9%). 905 (16.6%) had vision loss (mild=634; severe=271), while 2953 (54.3%) reported hearing loss (mild=2019; severe=844). Those with sensory losses were older and in poorer physical health (Table 1). At baseline, 530 (9.7%) participants reported presence of suicidal ideation and an additional 233 participants reported suicidal ideation over follow-up.

At baseline, mild and severe HL were associated with increased risk of suicide ideation, even after adjustment for potential confounders (OR = 1.29, 95% CIs = 1.03-1.63. p = .03; OR = 1.78, 95% CIs = 1.32-2.40, p <.001 respectively; Table 2). Mild VL was associated with increased risk in model 1, and this
association remained close to significance after further adjustment (OR = 1.29, 95%
CIs = 0.97-1.73, p = .08). Severe VL was also significantly associated with increased
risk of suicide ideation in both models (OR = 1.59, 95% CIs = 1.06-2.38 p = .03).

Longitudinal analyses indicated that mild and severe HL predicted incident
suicide ideation over 5 years (Waves 5 and 6) of follow-up (OR = 1.47, 95% CIs =
1.17-1.85, p = .001; OR = 1.97, 95% CIs = 1.44-2.70, p <.001, respectively). Severe
VL was also associated with increased risk of suicidal ideation (OR = 1.65, 95% CIs
= 1.05-2.59, p = .03). Again mild VI was associated with increased risk in the
partially adjusted model, and remained close to significance in the fully adjusted
model (OR = 1.30, 95% CIs = 0.95-1.85, p =.08). Odds ratios for HL and VL
remained largely unchanged by adjustment for covariates. All examined interactions
including those between VL and HL were non-significant.

Discussion

This study contributes to the limited literature examining aspects of suicide
in sensory loss in older adults and highlighted that VL and HL were associated with
increased risk of suicidal ideation cross-sectionally and over 5 years. Building on the
documented relationships between HL and VL with depression, this study further
highlights the specific additional risk posed to suicidal ideation in this population.

Associations between HL and suicide risk have been varied in prior
studies, with VL but not HL associated with increased risk of suicidal ideation in a
previous population-based study (Kim et al., 2015). Differences in findings may be
explained by variations in HL assessment or by differences in populations studied,
such as the older age of our population given that suicide rates increase with age in
the elderly (O’Connell et al., 2004). Our findings further highlight that VL and HL are associated with increased risk for suicidal ideation over time, and risk increases with worsening severity of the loss. Concomitant with prior findings, only severe VL increased risk of suicide ideation (Waern et al., 2002). However, our findings also showed a trend toward significant for mild VL, suggesting that those at lower severity of VL may also have some vulnerability to suicide ideation.

Our results contribute to the currently limited literature regarding HL and mental health. Whether HL increases depression risk remains equivocal, especially over time (Gopinath et al., 2009; Hsu et al., 2016; Pronk et al., 2013) and longitudinal studies of mental wellbeing in HL are scant. Importantly, our findings suggest that HL may have a long-term impact on mental wellbeing.

The association between sensory losses and suicidal ideation might be explained by a number of pathways. Impaired functional ability resulting from the sensory loss increases reliance on others, which may increase perceptions of the self as a burden. Perceived burdensomeness is associated with greater suicidal ideation in the elderly (Cukrowicz et al., 2011). Loneliness is another strong predictor of suicidal behaviour (Stickley and Koyanagi, 2016) and is especially prominent in HL due to communication difficulties (Pronk et al., 2013). Whether the mechanisms underlying the relationship with suicide ideation are the same for HL and VL remains unclear and further study of the risk and mediating factors in each sensory loss is warranted.

These finding also build upon previous research that show longitudinal relationships between sensory loss and depression (Carriere et al., 2013; Kiely et al., 2013; McDonnell, 2009); further highlighting the crucial need for better
understanding of this relationship and the mechanisms that might underlie these associations. Our findings further underscore the ongoing need to provide targeted intervention for older adults with sensory loss. Given that suicide ideation is not assessed in a range of commonly used depression screening tools, a specific focus on assessing suicide may be warranted and clinicians should be mindful of the need to specifically assess suicide ideation within these populations. Older adults with VL typically underutilise mental health care (van der Aa et al., 2015) and communication barriers impinge upon people with HL accessing mental health support (Sheppard and Badger, 2010); thus a renewed focus on assessment and targeted intervention for older adults with sensory loss appears needed. Ongoing research is needed to ascertain best practice for assessment and support of suicidality in these populations as well as to better promote access to mental health support.

Strengths of the present study included the sample size and longitudinal design. Weaknesses include that HL was self-reported. Thus self-reports may represent underestimates of actual HL, due to many older adults perceiving hearing losses as natural elements of aging. Concomitantly, older adults with depression may be more likely to self-report HL. Although relationships remained significant after adjustment for cognitive functioning, the extent to which underlying cognitive decline and related difficulties understanding conversation, might have influence self-report HL and the relationship with suicide ideation cannot be fully ascertained from our study. Moreover, the longer term effect of sensory loss on wellbeing cannot be gleaned given follow-up occurred over only 5 years. Ongoing assessment over longer term follow up and with measured HL would be invaluable in further understanding such relationships and in guiding provision of support to older adults. Additionally, suicide ideation was assessed by a single item, thus we were unable to
explore the severity of suicidality or the association of sensory loss with other suicidal behaviours including suicide attempts. Whilst loneliness has been associated with HL long term (Pronk et al., 2013), which might crucially underlie the observed relationships with suicidal ideation, such data were not available in our study. Future research that better examines possible causal pathways would be beneficial.

Sensory losses increased the risk for suicidal ideation in older adults in both the short and long term. Given the increased rate of suicide in late life (O’Connell et al., 2004), suicidality amongst older adults with sensory loss requires better assessment, especially given the barriers to accessing mental health care worldwide for this population.
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### Table 1: Characteristics of the study population by sensory loss at 4th Wave. Three-City Study 2006-2008, N=5438

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No sensory loss</th>
<th>Mild VL only</th>
<th>Severe VL only</th>
<th>Mild HL only</th>
<th>Severe HL only</th>
<th>VL and HL</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n= 2160</td>
<td>n=236</td>
<td>n= 89</td>
<td>n=1756</td>
<td>n=617</td>
<td>n=580</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age M(SD)</td>
<td>78.9 (4.2)</td>
<td>81.0 (4.7)</td>
<td>81.4 (4.8)</td>
<td>79.7 (4.6)</td>
<td>82.0 (5.2)</td>
<td>83.3 (5.5)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female N (%)</td>
<td>1481 (68.6)</td>
<td>175 (74.2)</td>
<td>61 (68.5)</td>
<td>1000 (57.0)</td>
<td>329 (53.3)</td>
<td>376 (64.8)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suicide ideation (baseline)</td>
<td>164 (7.6)</td>
<td>31 (13.1)</td>
<td>13 (14.6)</td>
<td>167 (9.5)</td>
<td>70 (11.3)</td>
<td>85 (14.7)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education (reference category: high)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>442 (20.5)</td>
<td>72 (30.6)</td>
<td>29 (32.6)</td>
<td>359 (20.5)</td>
<td>146 (23.7)</td>
<td>172 (29.7)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid</td>
<td>1265 (58.7)</td>
<td>130 (55.3)</td>
<td>48 (53.9)</td>
<td>1000 (57.0)</td>
<td>339 (55.0)</td>
<td>322 (55.5)</td>
<td>.478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income (reference category : high)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low (&lt;$760)</td>
<td>82 (4.0)</td>
<td>12 (5.1)</td>
<td>11 (12.4)</td>
<td>58 (3.3)</td>
<td>32 (5.2)</td>
<td>52 (9.7)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid ($760-2280)</td>
<td>1172 (57.2)</td>
<td>154 (65.3)</td>
<td>52 (58.4)</td>
<td>964 (54.9)</td>
<td>315 (51.1)</td>
<td>351 (65.1)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>1322 (61.2)</td>
<td>127 (53.8)</td>
<td>45 (50.6)</td>
<td>1110 (63.3)</td>
<td>392 (63.5)</td>
<td>279 (48.2)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking (reference category non-smoker)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoker</td>
<td>102 (4.7)</td>
<td>16 (6.8)</td>
<td>9 (10.1)</td>
<td>102 (5.8)</td>
<td>30 (4.9)</td>
<td>22 (3.8)</td>
<td>.066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past smoker</td>
<td>629 (29.1)</td>
<td>67 (28.4)</td>
<td>23 (25.8)</td>
<td>615 (35.0)</td>
<td>231 (37.4)</td>
<td>186 (32.1)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychotropic medication use</td>
<td>482 (22.3)</td>
<td>78 (33.1)</td>
<td>30 (33.7)</td>
<td>400 (22.8)</td>
<td>175 (28.4)</td>
<td>198 (34.1)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol consumption (reference category: high)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low (&lt;10 grams per/day)</td>
<td>1286 (59.6)</td>
<td>146 (61.9)</td>
<td>61 (68.5)</td>
<td>1012 (57.4)</td>
<td>329 (53.3)</td>
<td>357 (61.5)</td>
<td>.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate (10-40g per/day)</td>
<td>719 (34.9)</td>
<td>68 (29.4)</td>
<td>24 (28.2)</td>
<td>585 (34.6)</td>
<td>228 (38.5)</td>
<td>182 (32.3)</td>
<td>.088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>1756 (82.1)</td>
<td>211 (89.4)</td>
<td>76 (86.4)</td>
<td>1417 (81.6)</td>
<td>512 (84.5)</td>
<td>496 (86.6)</td>
<td>.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functional ability (reference category: autonomous)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>666 (31.7)</td>
<td>94 (40.9)</td>
<td>35 (42.2)</td>
<td>590 (34.6)</td>
<td>232 (39.0)</td>
<td>269 (48.1)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>58 (2.8)</td>
<td>21 (9.1)</td>
<td>9 (10.1)</td>
<td>67 (3.9)</td>
<td>40 (6.7)</td>
<td>61 (10.9)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>5 (0.1)</td>
<td>2 (0.9)</td>
<td>1 (1.2)</td>
<td>3 (0.2)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>5 (0.9)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of Myocardial infarction</td>
<td>69 (3.2)</td>
<td>17 (7.2)</td>
<td>7 (7.9)</td>
<td>71 (4.1)</td>
<td>31 (5.0)</td>
<td>26 (4.5)</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of Stroke</td>
<td>58 (2.7)</td>
<td>7 (3.0)</td>
<td>6 (6.8)</td>
<td>51 (2.9)</td>
<td>25 (4.1)</td>
<td>27 (4.8)</td>
<td>.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>199 (9.2)</td>
<td>27 (11.4)</td>
<td>11 (12.4)</td>
<td>170 (9.7)</td>
<td>76 (12.4)</td>
<td>76 (13.2)</td>
<td>.079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDD</td>
<td>29 (1.3)</td>
<td>4 (1.7)</td>
<td>2 (1.8)</td>
<td>40 (2.3)</td>
<td>11 (1.8)</td>
<td>12 (2.1)</td>
<td>.341</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VL: Visual loss; HL: Hearing Loss; SD: Standard deviation; * = determined by one-way ANOVA, all other p values determine by Chi square tests
Table 2: Association between sensory loss and suicidal ideation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Model 1</th>
<th></th>
<th>Model 2</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OR</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>OR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Baseline</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild Hearing Loss</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe Hearing Loss</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild Visual Loss</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe Visual Loss</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Over 5 years</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild Hearing Loss</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe Hearing Loss</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild Visual Loss</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe Visual Loss</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Model 1: baseline N = 5438, longitudinal N = 4908; adjusted for sex, age, center
Model 2: baseline N = 4608, longitudinal N = 4074; adjusted for sex, age, center, education, income, marital status, psychotropic medication use, functional ability, hypertension, diabetes, smoking, alcohol consumption, and history of stroke, depression, MMSE and myocardial infarction
OR: odds ratio
CI: confidence interval
Table legends

**Table 1:** Characteristics of the study population by sensory loss at 4th Wave. Three-City Study 2006-2008, N=5438 – shows that those with sensory losses were older and in poorer physical health on all assessed health factors except for diabetes than those without sensory loss

**Table 2:** Association between sensory loss and suicidal ideation – highlights that both hearing and vision loss were associated with increased risk of experiencing suicidal ideation both at baseline and over 5 years of follow-up
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