

The European Eye Epidemiology (E3) SD-OCT classification of macular diseases for epidemiological studies

Sarra Gattoussi ^{1,2*}, Gabriëlle H.S Buitendijk ^{3,4*}, Tunde Peto⁵, Irene Leung ⁶, Steffen Schmitz-Valckenberg ⁷, Akio Oishi⁸, Sebastian Wolf ⁹, Gábor Deák¹⁰, Cécile Delcourt¹, Caroline C.W. Klaver ^{3,4**}, Jean-François Korobelnik ^{1,2**} on behalf of the European Eye Epidemiology (E3) consortium***

1. Univ. Bordeaux, Inserm, Bordeaux Population Health Research Center, team LEHA, UMR 1219, F-33000 Bordeaux, France
- 2: CHU de Bordeaux, Service d'Ophtalmologie, Bordeaux, F-33000, France
- 3: Department of Ophthalmology, Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam, the Netherlands
- 4: Department of Epidemiology, Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam, the Netherlands
- 5: School of Medicine, Dentistry and Biomedical Sciences, Queens University Belfast, United Kingdom
- 6: Department of Research and Development, Moorfields Eye Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, London, United Kingdom
- 7: GRADE Reading Center, Department of Ophthalmology, University of Bonn, 53127 Bonn, Germany
- 8: Department of Ophthalmology and Visual Sciences, Kyoto University Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto, Japan
- 9: Bern Photographic Reading Center, Department of Ophthalmology, University Hospital Bern, Inselspital, University of Bern, Switzerland
- 10: Vienna Reading Center, Department of Ophthalmology, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria

*These authors contributed equally to this work

**These authors contributed equally to this work

***List at the end of the manuscript

Corresponding author: Cécile Delcourt, Inserm U1219 – Bordeaux Population Health
Reserch Center, University of Bordeaux, 146 rue Léo Saignat, 33076 Bordeaux Cedex.
Email: cecile.delcourt@u-bordeaux.fr

ABSTRACT

Purpose

The aim of the European Eye Epidemiology (E3) consortium was to develop a SD-OCT-based classification for macular diseases to standardize epidemiological studies.

Methods

A European panel of vitreoretinal disease experts and epidemiologists belonging to the E3 consortium was assembled to define a classification for SD-OCT imaging of the macula. A series of meetings was organized, in order to develop, test and finalize the classification.

Firstly, grading methods used by the different research groups were presented and discussed, and a first version of classification was proposed. This first version was then tested on a set of 50 SD-OCT images in the Bordeaux and Rotterdam centers. Agreements were analyzed and discussed with the panel of experts and a final version of the classification was produced.

Results

Definitions and classifications are proposed for the structure assessment of the vitreomacular interface (visibility of vitreous interface, vitreomacular adhesion, vitreomacular traction, epiretinal membrane, full-thickness macular hole, lamellar macular hole, macular pseudo hole) and of the retina (retinoschisis, drusen, pigment epithelium detachment, hyper-reflective clumps, retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) atrophy, intraretinal cystoid spaces, intraretinal tubular changes, subretinal fluid, subretinal material). Classifications according to size and location are defined. Illustrations of each item are provided, as well as the grading form.

Conclusion

The E3 SD-OCT classification has been developed to harmonize epidemiological studies.

This homogenization will allow comparing and sharing data collection between European and international studies.

Keywords: retina, vitreous, optical coherence tomography, epidemiology, macular degeneration

Optical coherence tomography (OCT) is a noninvasive imaging technique that was introduced in ophthalmology in 1991 to obtain cross-sections of the ocular fundus in-vivo. Today, spectral-domain OCT (SD-OCT) represents the standard for in-vivo imaging in both clinical and research applications (Huang et al. 1991).

The emergence of SD-OCT has dramatically improved the diagnosis of macular diseases compared to the previous generation, time domain OCT (TD-OCT). The axial resolution increased, providing an excellent visualization of the vitreomacular interface and a better understanding of macular diseases such as macular holes or epiretinal membranes (Koizumi et al. 2008). In age-related macular degeneration (AMD), SD-OCT allowed to better evaluate drusen (Yi et al. 2009), describe morphologic variations in outer retinal layers in geographic atrophy and evaluate the disease activity in neovascular forms (Forooghian et al. 2008). SD-OCT has also improved the measurement of macular edema in diabetic retinopathy (Forooghian et al. 2008).

The development of SD-OCT was revolutionary in the clinical practice. However, current epidemiological studies of retinal diseases are still mainly based on fundus photography. Some epidemiological studies have graded SD-OCT images (Gupta et al. 2013; Meuer et al. 2015; Patel et al. 2016), and many others have collected such examinations, but there is no consensus on the classification of retinal diseases based on SD-OCT examination, limiting analysis and comparison of studies. Only classifications focusing on normal SD-OCT or on the vitreomacular interface have been published (Duker et al. 2013; Staurenghi et al. 2014). The aim of this consorted study was to develop a SD-OCT-based classification for macular diseases to standardize the analysis of imaging data obtained in epidemiological studies.

METHODS

Participants

The E3 consortium is a consortium of 29 groups from 12 European countries (Williams et al. 2015; Williams et al. 2015; Delcourt et al. 2016; Colijn et al. 2017). It currently comprises 21 population-based studies and 20 other studies (case-control, cases only, randomized trials),

providing ophthalmological data of more than 170,000 European participants. The aim of the consortium is to promote and sustain collaboration and sharing of data and knowledge in the field of ophthalmic epidemiology in Europe, with particular focus on the harmonization of methods (classification of ocular outcomes, measures of risk factors), the estimation of frequency and impact of visual outcomes in European populations, the identification of risk factors and pathways for eye diseases (lifestyle, vascular and metabolic factors, genetics, epigenetics and biomarkers) and development and validation of prediction models for eye diseases.

Development of the classification

The grading form is the result of a series of meetings. At the second meeting of the E3 consortium (Bordeaux, June 2012), grading methods used by the different research groups were presented and discussed, and the items to be included in the classification were chosen. A first version of the classification was proposed to the group at the third E3 meeting (Bordeaux, June 2013). This version was subsequently tested on a set of 50 SD-OCT images in the Bordeaux and Rotterdam centers. The results of the agreements were presented and discussed at the fourth E3 meeting (Rome, June 2014). After this roundtable, modifications were made in the grading form and the final version of the classification was approved by the E3 consortium during the fifth E3 meeting in June 2015 (London, UK)

Grading

Grading is based on the SD-OCT images only. It does not include other images such as color fundus photography, infrared reflectance, or fundus autofluorescence. In the development of the grading form, we used the definition of the fovea as the area with a diameter of 1000 microns centered on the foveola. A foveal scan was defined as a scan through the center of the fovea, indicated by the maximum dip in a normal retina.

For each items there are several options:

1: No (or absent)

2: Yes (or present)

7: Questionable when the lesion to grade is at least partly visible but is not clear

8: Not applicable when the question is not applicable for that particular image

9: Not gradable due to image quality.

Items of the classification are gathered in a standardized grading form (online supplementary material) and described below.

Quality of images

The quality of the images is evaluated by human grading:

- Good: all layers of the retina are clearly visible and distinguishable one from each other.
- Fair: layers of the retina are visible with adequate clarity to grade the image, in the absence of optical quality.
- Not gradable: layers of the retina are not visible and cannot be distinguished one from each another. No features can be graded based on this OCT scan.

Reflectivity

In greyscale scans, a complete absence of reflectivity appears as black areas. On the other hand, an increase in reflectivity appears as white areas.

In black on white images, a complete absence of reflectivity appears as white areas. An increase in reflectivity appears as black areas.

RESULTS

The final version of the definition and classification was approved by the panel. The standardized grading form is provided as online supplementary material.

Status of outer retina layers

Ellipsoid zone

Current-generation of spectral domain OCT instruments detects four hyperreflective bands in the outer retina. The ellipsoid zone is the second innermost hyperreflective band (Staurenghi et al. 2014). The clinicopathologic correlation of this layer remains debated. Spaide et al. attributed the hyperreflectivity of the second band to the ellipsoid portion of the inner segments (Spaide & Curcio 2011). In the grading form, the integrity of the ellipsoid zone is graded. If the ellipsoid zone is not intact in the entire scan, it is asked whether this layer is intact at least in the center subfield.

SD-OCT structure assessment of the vitreous interface

Vitreous interface

The vitreous body lies in the posterior vitreous cortex. This posterior cortex is bound with the internal limiting membrane of the retina at birth. With aging there is a progressive separation between the vitreous and the retina which usually begins in the perifoveal area, progresses through the fovea and finally ends with the separation of the vitreous from the optic nerve head. The posterior vitreous cortex or posterior hyaloid may not be visible when is completely attached to the macula, however when the posterior vitreous detachment process begins, it appears as a hyperreflective line anterior to the inner boundary of the retina with variable thickness. In the SD-OCT grading form, the visibility of the vitreous interface is graded.

Vitreomacular adhesion

Vitreomacular adhesion (VMA) was defined by the International Vitreomacular Traction Study Group (IVTS) and is characterized by an « elevation of the cortical vitreous above the retinal surface, with the vitreous remaining attached within a 3-mm radius of the fovea without

retinal abnormalities » (Duker et al. 2013). VMA can be sub-classified by the size of the adhesion as focal (<1500 µm) or broad (>1500 µm) »

Vitreomacular traction

VMT was defined by the IVTS and all of the following anatomic criteria must appear on at least 1 B-mode OCT scan to classify an eye as having VMT: (1) evidence of perifoveal vitreous cortex detachment from the retinal surface; (2) macular attachment of the vitreous cortex within a 3-mm radius of the fovea; and (3) association of attachment with distortion of the foveal surface, intraretinal structural changes, elevation of the fovea above the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE), or a combination thereof, but no full-thickness interruption of all retinal layers. Like VMA, VMT can be sub-classified into either focal or broad, depending on the width of vitreous attachment » (Duker et al. 2013).

Epiretinal membrane

Epiretinal membrane (ERM) is a fibrocellular proliferation on the surface of the internal limiting membrane (Snead et al. 2008). On SD-OCT, ERM is visualized as a “highly reflective membranous structure at the vitreomacular interface” (Figure 1A) (Stevenson et al. 2016). ERM contracture can involve the center of the macula, with flattening of foveal pit and other changes in the outer retinal layers.

Full-thickness macular hole

Full-thickness macular hole is a defect of all retinal layers from the internal limiting membrane to the retinal pigment epithelium. Aperture size can be measured on SD-OCT and the macular hole can be sub-classified as: small (<250 µm), medium (>250- ≤400µm) and large macular hole (>400 µm). (Figure 1B)

Lamellar hole

Lamellar hole (or lamellar defects) is a partial-thickness defect in retinal layers.

IVTS proposed an anatomic OCT-based definition including the following features: “(1) an irregular foveal contour; (2) a defect in the inner fovea (may not have actual loss of tissue); (3) intraretinal splitting (schisis), typically between the outer plexiform and outer nuclear layers; and (4) maintenance of an intact photoreceptor layer ». (Figure 1C)

Macular pseudo-hole

IVTS proposed an SD-OCT based definition of macular pseudo-holes with 4 characteristics: « (1) invaginated or heaped foveal edges, (2) concomitant ERM with central opening, (3) steep macular contour to the central fovea with near-normal central foveal thickness, and (4) no loss of photoreceptors » (Figure 1D) (Duker et al. 2013).

SD-OCT structure assessment of the retina

Retinoschisis

Retinoschisis is a lamellar splitting of neurosensory retina, involving outer or inner nuclear layer. (Figure 1E)

Drusen (Figure 2A-E)

Drusen are accumulations of extracellular material between the Bruch's membrane and the RPE. The material within the lesions is mild to highly hyperreflective, and the distribution can be homogenous or heterogeneous. The location and the size of drusen are specified in the SD-OCT grading form. The size of the largest subfoveal drusen and the location of the nearest drusen from the center are measured. Drusen can appear as single or confluent lesions. Some drusen may have overlying hyperreflective lesions.

Reticular drusen or subretinal drusenoid deposits are granular, irregular and hyperreflective lesions located above the RPE.

Pigment epithelial detachment

A pigment epithelial detachment (PED) is an elevation of the RPE away from Bruch's membrane with a size $>400\ \mu\text{m}$ wide and $>75\ \mu\text{m}$ high or $>200\ \mu\text{m}$ high. The reflectivity can be sub-classified in: homogenous hypo-reflectivity, homogenous hyper-reflectivity, heterogeneous reflectivity (Figure 3A).

Hyperreflective clumps

Hyperreflective clumps are clusters of intraretinal material in the absence of underlying drusen (Figure 3B).

RPE atrophy

Macular atrophy diagnosis is based, in accordance with Doheny Image Reading center protocols for OCT, on the presence of 2 or 3 criteria of the following criteria in an area $\geq 125\ \mu\text{m}$: increased signal transmission through the choroid (choroidal hypertransmission), attenuation of the RPE band, and collapse or loss of the outer retinal layers (Figure 3C) (Abdelfattah et al. 2017). The location relative to the fovea (involvement or preservation of the foveal area) is graded.

Intraretinal cystic spaces

Intraretinal cystic spaces are shown as circular or ovoid areas of low reflectivity in the outer or inner nuclear retinal layers (Figure 4A). They must be differentiated from retinal schisis, with which they can be associated.

Intraretinal tubular changes

Outer retinal tubulation is an end-stage degenerative of outer retina reorganization. ORT are characterized a circular or ovoid hyperreflective band around a hyporefective core, located within the outer nuclear layer overlying degenerated or absent RPE (Figure 4B) (Zweifel et al. 2009).

Subretinal fluid

The presence of a hyporeflective space between the sensory retina and the RPE was defined as subretinal fluid. The location relative to the fovea is graded (Figure 4C).

Subretinal material

Subretinal material is defined as hyperreflective material, of any shape, but most often spindle- or squared- shaped between the sensory retina and the RPE or /and between the RPE and the choroid other than drusen, PED or fluid. The contents is generally heterogeneous. The location relative to the fovea is graded (Figure 4D).

Discussion

The aim of this study was to develop a classification resulting from a consensus of retinal imaging experts. This is an anatomic classification based on SD-OCT, without regards to symptoms.

While SD-OCT examinations are currently being performed in many epidemiological studies, there is no consensus on the methods to interpret these examinations. Several quantitative parameters, such as retinal thickness, retinal nerve fiber layer thickness or, more recently, choroidal thickness, are measured using automatic segmentation of SD-OCT scans. These measurements are highly reproducible, and have many clinical uses for the diagnosis and follow-up of several eye diseases (in particular glaucoma or macular edema) (Michelessi et al. 2015; Virgili et al. 2015). Such parameters have been described in several epidemiological studies, in various settings (age range, geographical area, ethnical background) (Khawaja et al. 2013; Zhu et al. 2013; Springelkamp et al. 2014; Gupta et al. 2015; Rougier et al. 2015; Patel et al. 2016; Schweitzer et al. 2016).

By contrast, a consensus is still lacking on the classification of qualitative features, such as described in the present article. Such features are of major importance in the diagnosis and follow-up of many retinal diseases (for instance presence of subretinal fluid or subretinal material for the diagnosis and treatment of neovascular AMD, or the presence of intraretinal cystoid spaces for the diagnosis of macular edema). Thus, a significant part of the information available in SD-OCT examinations is currently not used in epidemiological studies, because of the lack of consensus on the method for interpretation.

In the past, such standardized classifications have had great influence in the field of ophthalmological epidemiology. The classifications proposed in the 1990's were the basis for the interpretation of retinal color photographs in all subsequent epidemiological studies, resulting in sufficient homogeneity to allow for comparison of results among studies performed anywhere in the world, as well as for the conduct of meta-analysis (Klein et al. 1991; Bird et al. 1995; Vingerling et al. 1995; Wong et al. 2014).

The present grading scheme will be used by epidemiological studies participating in the E3 consortium which have collected SD-OCT examinations. It is likely that the greater use of this classification system will lead to detection of limitations, and modifications may be proposed after initial experience. We encourage other epidemiologic eye studies to implement this classification as well. The protocol is freely available upon request.

The use of the E3 classification of SD-OCT examinations will allow a more precise diagnosis of retinal diseases, which will also be closer to current clinical practice. Studies using such methodology (rather than relying only on retinal photographs, as it is still the case in most of the studies), will give better estimates of the prevalence of retinal diseases, as well as better estimates of needs regarding ophthalmological care. In addition, SD-OCT examinations allow for the diagnosis of conditions that were difficult to diagnose previously, in particular in the framework of epidemiological studies, such as abnormalities of the vitreomacular interface (vitreomacular adhesion and traction, epiretinal membranes).

Recently, many papers on automated image analysis in several retinal diseases have been published (Bogunovic et al. 2017; Karri et al. 2017; Khalid et al. 2017). For instance, in AMD automated detection of drusen, geographic atrophy or sub-retinal fluid has been developed (Wintergerst et al. 2017). The potential benefits are to facilitate access and allow an early detection. Machine-learning uses features chosen by experts to create algorithms in order to detect patterns on imaging. Therefore, there is still the need for a standardized definition of the main features of macular diseases.

Furthermore, even if the quality of automated grading seems to be good, most of studies were done in preselected pool of patients with pathology and good quality of imaging. Few algorithms had the capacity to analyze different pathologies at the same time (Chen et al. 2012; Chiu et al. 2012). Moreover, there is no automated analysis for OCT images available for some of the pathologies like subretinal deposits.

The algorithm can be trained directly by the machine using images from very large data sets without specifying lesion-based features: this is deep learning which is the future of artificial intelligence (LeCun et al. 2015).

In conclusion, the proposed classification results from a consensus of European retina specialists for use in epidemiological studies. A standardized grading scheme will improve diagnostic skills, risk assessments and prognostic analysis of retinal diseases in epidemiologic research.

Acknowledgments:

The E3 consortium thanks Cécile Delcourt, Jean-François Korobelnik, Marie-Bénédicte Rougier and Marie-Noëlle Delyfer for organizing the meetings in Bordeaux in 2011, 2012 and 2013, Stefano Piermarocchi for organizing the meeting in Rome in 2014, Chris Hammond, Paul Foster and Tunde Peto for organizing the meeting in London in 2015. These

workshops have received financial support from Carl Zeiss Meditec AG, Laboratoires Théa, Novartis and OOgroup. The sponsors had no role in the design or conduct of this research.

Financial disclosures: Pr. Schmitz-Valckenberg reports grants and personal fees from Allergan, Bayer, Genentech/Roche, Heidelberg Engineering and Novartis/Alcon, personal fees and non-financial support from Optos, non-financial support from Zeiss MediTec and grants from Formycon, outside the submitted work; Pr. Oishi reports grants from Alcon Japan, Alexander von Humboldt Foundation, Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, Ministry of Health Labour and Welfare, Japan, Takeda Science Foundation and Japan Retinitis Pigmentosa Society, and personal fees from Bayer and Novartis, outside the submitted work; Pr. Wolf reports grants from Allergan, Bayer, and Novartis and non-financial support from Heidelberg Engineering and Zeiss, outside the submitted work; Dr. Delcourt reports personal fees from Allergan, Bausch+Lomb, Novartis and Roche and grants and personal fees from Laboratoires Théa, outside the submitted work; Pr. Klaver reports and discloses that an unrestricted grant was obtained from Topcon Europe BV. She is an advisor of Novartis, Bayer and Thea, Capelle aan den IJssel, The Netherlands; Pr. Korobelnik reports personal fees from Alcon, Alimera, Allergan, Bayer, Horus, Novartis, Roche, Thea and Zeiss, outside the submitted work. Other authors have nothing to disclose.

References:

- Abdelfattah NS, Al-Sheikh M, Pitetta S, Mousa A, Sadda SR & Wykoff CC (2017). "Macular Atrophy in Neovascular Age-Related Macular Degeneration with Monthly versus Treat-and-Extend Ranibizumab: Findings from the TREX-AMD Trial." *Ophthalmology* **124**: 215-223.
- Bird AC, Bressler NM, Bressler SB, et al. (1995). "An international classification and grading system for age-related maculopathy and age-related macular degeneration. The International ARM Epidemiological Study Group." *Surv. Ophthalmol.* **39**: 367-374.
- Bogunovic H, Montuoro A, Baratsits M, Karantonis MG, Waldstein SM, Schlanitz F & Schmidt-Erfurth U (2017). "Machine Learning of the Progression of Intermediate Age-Related Macular Degeneration Based on OCT Imaging." *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* **58**: BIO141-BIO150.
- Chen X, Niemeijer M, Zhang L, Lee K, Abramoff MD & Sonka M (2012). "Three-dimensional segmentation of fluid-associated abnormalities in retinal OCT: probability constrained graph-search-graph-cut." *IEEE Trans Med Imaging* **31**: 1521-31.
- Chiu SJ, Izatt JA, O'Connell RV, Winter KP, Toth CA & Farsiu S (2012). "Validated automatic segmentation of AMD pathology including drusen and geographic atrophy in SD-OCT images." *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* **53**: 53-61.
- Colijn JM, Buitendijk GHS, Prokofyeva E, et al. (2017). "Prevalence of Age-Related Macular Degeneration in Europe: The Past and the Future." *Ophthalmology*.
- Delcourt C, Korobelnik JF, Buitendijk GH, et al. (2016). "Ophthalmic epidemiology in Europe: the "European Eye Epidemiology" (E3) consortium." *Eur J Epidemiol* **31**: 197-210.
- Duker JS, Kaiser PK, Binder S, et al. (2013). "The international vitreomacular traction study group classification of vitreomacular adhesion, traction, and macular hole." *Ophthalmology* **120**: 2611-9.

- Forooghian F, Cukras C, Meyerle CB, Chew EY & Wong WT (2008). "Evaluation of time domain and spectral domain optical coherence tomography in the measurement of diabetic macular edema." *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* **49**: 4290-6.
- Gupta P, Jing T, Marziliano P, et al. (2015). "Distribution and determinants of choroidal thickness and volume using automated segmentation software in a population-based study." *Am J Ophthalmol* **159**: 293-301 e3.
- Gupta P, Sidhartha E, Tham YC, et al. (2013). "Determinants of macular thickness using spectral domain optical coherence tomography in healthy eyes: the singapore chinese eye study." *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* **54**: 7968-76.
- Huang D, Swanson EA, Lin CP, et al. (1991). "Optical coherence tomography." *Science* **254**: 1178-81.
- Karri SP, Chakraborty D & Chatterjee J (2017). "Transfer learning based classification of optical coherence tomography images with diabetic macular edema and dry age-related macular degeneration." *Biomed Opt Express* **8**: 579-592.
- Khalid S, Akram MU, Hassan T, Nasim A & Jameel A (2017). "Fully Automated Robust System to Detect Retinal Edema, Central Serous Chorioretinopathy, and Age Related Macular Degeneration from Optical Coherence Tomography Images." *Biomed Res Int* **2017**: 7148245.
- Khawaja AP, Chan MP, Garway-Heath DF, et al. (2013). "Associations With Retinal Nerve Fiber Layer Measures in the EPIC-Norfolk Eye Study." *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* **54**: 5028-34.
- Klein R, Davis MD, Magli YL, Segal P, Klein BEK & Hubbard L (1991). "The Wisconsin age-related maculopathy grading system." *Ophthalmology*. **98**: 1128-1134.
- Koizumi H, Spaide RF, Fisher YL, Freund KB, Klancnik JM, Jr. & Yannuzzi LA (2008). "Three-dimensional evaluation of vitreomacular traction and epiretinal membrane using spectral-domain optical coherence tomography." *Am J Ophthalmol* **145**: 509-517.
- LeCun Y, Bengio Y & Hinton G (2015). "Deep learning." *Nature* **521**: 436-44.

- Meuer SM, Myers CE, Klein BE, et al. (2015). "The epidemiology of vitreoretinal interface abnormalities as detected by spectral-domain optical coherence tomography: the beaver dam eye study." *Ophthalmology* **122**: 787-95.
- Michelessi M, Lucenteforte E, Oddone F, Brazzelli M, Parravano M, Franchi S, Ng SM & Virgili G (2015). "Optic nerve head and fibre layer imaging for diagnosing glaucoma." *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* **11**: CD008803.
- Patel PJ, Foster PJ, Grossi CM, et al. (2016). "Spectral-Domain Optical Coherence Tomography Imaging in 67 321 Adults: Associations with Macular Thickness in the UK Biobank Study." *Ophthalmology* **123**: 829-40.
- Rougier MB, Korobelnik JF, Malet F, Schweitzer C, Delyfer MN, Dartigues JF, Delcourt C & Helmer C (2015). "Retinal nerve fibre layer thickness measured with SD-OCT in a population-based study of French elderly subjects: the Alienor study." *Acta Ophthalmol* **93**: 539-45.
- Schweitzer C, Korobelnik JF, Le Goff M, et al. (2016). "Diagnostic Performance of Peripapillary Retinal Nerve Fiber Layer Thickness for Detection of Glaucoma in an Elderly Population: The ALIENOR Study." *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* **57**: 5882-5891.
- Snead DR, James S & Snead MP (2008). "Pathological changes in the vitreoretinal junction 1: epiretinal membrane formation." *Eye (Lond)* **22**: 1310-7.
- Spaide RF & Curcio CA (2011). "Anatomical correlates to the bands seen in the outer retina by optical coherence tomography: literature review and model." *Retina* **31**: 1609-19.
- Springelkamp H, Lee K, Wolfs RC, et al. (2014). "Population-based evaluation of retinal nerve fiber layer, retinal ganglion cell layer, and inner plexiform layer as a diagnostic tool for glaucoma." *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* **55**: 8428-38.
- Staurenghi G, Sadda S, Chakravarthy U & Spaide RF (2014). "Proposed lexicon for anatomic landmarks in normal posterior segment spectral-domain optical coherence tomography: the IN*OCT consensus." *Ophthalmology* **121**: 1572-8.

- Stevenson W, Prospero Ponce CM, Agarwal DR, Gelman R & Christoforidis JB (2016). "Epiretinal membrane: optical coherence tomography-based diagnosis and classification." *Clin Ophthalmol* **10**: 527-34.
- Vingerling JR, Dielemans I, Hofman A, Grobbee DE, Hijmering M, Kramer CF & De Jong PT (1995). "The prevalence of age-related maculopathy in the Rotterdam Study." *Ophthalmology*. **102**: 205-210.
- Virgili G, Menchini F, Casazza G, Hogg R, Das RR, Wang X & Michelessi M (2015). "Optical coherence tomography (OCT) for detection of macular oedema in patients with diabetic retinopathy." *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* **1**: CD008081.
- Williams KM, Bertelsen G, Cumberland P, et al. (2015). "Increasing Prevalence of Myopia in Europe and the Impact of Education." *Ophthalmology* **122**: 1489-97.
- Williams KM, Verhoeven VJ, Cumberland P, et al. (2015). "Prevalence of refractive error in Europe: the European Eye Epidemiology (E(3)) Consortium." *Eur J Epidemiol* **30**: 305-15.
- Wintergerst MWM, Schultz T, Birtel J, Schuster AK, Pfeiffer N, Schmitz-Valckenberg S, Holz FG & Finger RP (2017). "Algorithms for the Automated Analysis of Age-Related Macular Degeneration Biomarkers on Optical Coherence Tomography: A Systematic Review." *Transl Vis Sci Technol* **6**: 10.
- Wong WL, Su X, Li X, Cheung CM, Klein R, Cheng CY & Wong TY (2014). "Global prevalence of age-related macular degeneration and disease burden projection for 2020 and 2040: a systematic review and meta-analysis." *Lancet Glob Health* **2**: e106-16.
- Yi K, Mujat M, Park BH, et al. (2009). "Spectral domain optical coherence tomography for quantitative evaluation of drusen and associated structural changes in non-neovascular age-related macular degeneration." *Br J Ophthalmol* **93**: 176-81.
- Zhu BD, Li SM, Li H, et al. (2013). "Retinal Nerve Fiber Layer Thickness in a Population of 12-Year-Old Children in Central China Measured by iVue-100 Spectral-Domain

Optical Coherence Tomography: The Anyang Childhood Eye Study." Invest
Ophthalmol Vis Sci **54**: 8104-11.

Zweifel SA, Engelbert M, Laud K, Margolis R, Spaide RF & Freund KB (2009). "Outer retinal
tubulation: a novel optical coherence tomography finding." Arch Ophthalmol **127**:
1596-602.

Figures Legends:

Figure 1 A-E: SD-OCT scans show pathophysiologic lesions for retina. A: Epiretinal membrane, B: full thickness macular hole, C : Lamellar defects D :Macular pseudohole, E : Retinoschisis

Figure 2 A-E: SD-OCT scans show pathophysiologic lesions for retina. A : Drusen, B : Confluent Drusen, C : Hyperreflectivity above drusen, D : Reticular drusen

Figure 3 A-C: SD-OCT scans show pathophysiologic lesions for retina. A : Pigment Epithelial Detachment, B : Hyperreflective Clumps, and C : RPE Atrophy

Figure 4 A-D: SD-OCT scans show pathophysiologic lesions for retina. A : Intraretinal cysts, B : Intraretinal tubular changes, C : Subretinal fluid, D : Subretinal material

1 List of E3 consortium members:

Last name	First name	Institution	City	Country
Acar	Niyazi	Inra-University of Burgundy	Dijon	France
Anastosopoulos	Eleftherios	University of Thessaloniki	Thessaloniki	Greece
Azuara-Blanco	Augusto	Queen's University	Belfast	UK
Berendschot	Tos	University Eye Clinic Maastricht	Maastricht	Netherlands
Berendschot	Tos	University of Maastricht	Maastricht	Netherlands
Bergen	Arthur	Netherlands Institute for Neurosciences-KNAW	Amsterdam	Netherlands
Bertelsen	Geir	University of Tromso	Tromso	Norway
Binquet	Christine	University Hospital of Dijon	Dijon	France
Bird	Alan	Moorfield's Eye Hospital	London	UK
Bobak	Martin	Lithuanian University of health sciences	Kaunas	Lithuania
Bøgelund Larsen	Morten	University of Southern Denmark / Odense University Hospital	Odense	Denmark
Boon	Camiel	Leiden University Medical Center	Leiden	Netherlands
Bourne	Rupert	University of Ruskin	Cambridge	England
Brétillon	Lionel	Inra-University of Burgundy	Dijon	France
Broe	Rebecca	University of Southern Denmark	Odense	Denmark
Bron	Alain	University Hospital of Dijon	Dijon	France
Buitendijk	Gabrielle	Erasmus Medical Center	Rotterdam	Netherlands
Cachulo	Maria Luz	AIBILI/CHUC	Coimbra	Portugal
Capuano	Vittorio	University Hospital of Créteil	Créteil	France
Carrière	Isabelle	Inserm U1061	Montpellier	France
Chakravarthy	Usha	Queen's University	Belfast	UK
Chan	Michelle	UCL Institute of Ophthalmology	London	UK
Chang	Petrus	University of Bonn	Bonn	Germany
Colijn	Johanna	Erasmus Medical Center	Rotterdam	Netherlands
Cougnard-Grégoire	Audrey	Bordeaux Population Health Research Center UMR1219	Bordeaux	France
Cree	Angela	University of Southampton	Southampton	UK
Creuzot-Garcher	Catherine	University Hospital of Dijon	Dijon	France
Cumberland	Phillippa	UCL Institute of Child Health	London	UK
Cunha-Vaz	José	AIBILI/CHUC	Coimbra	Portugal
Daien	Vincent	Inserm U1061	Montpellier	France
De Jong	Eiko	Radboud University	Nijmegen	Netherlands
Deak	Gabor	Medical University of Vienna	Vienna	Austria
Delcourt	Cécile	Bordeaux Population Health Research Center UMR1219	Bordeaux	France
Delyfer	Marie-Noëlle	Bordeaux Population Health Research Center UMR1219	Bordeaux	France
den Hollander	Anneke	Radboud University	Nijmegen	Netherlands
Dietzel	Martha	University of Muenster	Muenster	Germany
Erke	Maja Gran	University of Tromso	Tromso	Norway

Faria	Pedro	AIBILI/CHUC	Coimbra	Portugal
Farinha	Claudia	AIBILI/CHUC	Coimbra	Portugal
Fauser	Sascha	University Eye Hospital	Cologne	Germany
Finger	Robert	University of Bonn	Bonn	Germany
Fletcher	Astrid	London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine	London	UK
Foster	Paul	UCL Institute of Ophthalmology	London	UK
Founti	Panayiota	University of Thessaloniki	Thessaloniki	Greece
Gorgels	Theo	Netherlands Institute for Neurosciences-KNAW	Amsterdam	Netherlands
Grauslund	Jakob	University of Southern Denmark	Odense	Denmark
Grus	Franz	University Medical Center Mainz	Mainz	Germany
Hammond	Christopher	King's College	London	UK
Helmer	Catherine	Bordeaux Population Health Research Center UMR1219	Bordeaux	France
Hense	Hans-Werner	University of Muenster	Muenster	Germany
Hermann	Manuel	University Eye Hospital	Cologne	Germany
Hoehn	René	University Medical Center	Mainz	Germany
Hogg	Ruth	Queen's University	Belfast	UK
Holz	Frank	University of Bonn	Bonn	Germany
Hoyng	Carel	Radboud University	Nijmegen	Netherlands
Jansonius	Nomdo	Erasmus Medical Center	Rotterdam	Netherlands
Janssen	Sarah	Netherlands Institute for Neurosciences-KNAW	Amsterdam	Netherlands
Kersten	Eveline	Radboud University	Nijmegen	Netherlands
Khawaja	Anthony	NIHR Biomedical Research Centre, Moorfields Eye Hospital NHS Foundation Trust and UCL Institute of Ophthalmology	London	UK
Klaver	Caroline	Erasmus Medical Center	Rotterdam	Netherlands
Korobelnik	Jean-François	Bordeaux Population Health Research Center UMR1219	Bordeaux	France
Lamparter	Julia	University Medical Center Mainz	Mainz	Germany
Le Goff	Mélanie	University of Bordeaux Segalen	Bordeaux	France
Lechanteur	Yara	Radboud University	Nijmegen	Netherlands
Lehtimäki	Terho	Fimlab Laboratories and School of Medicine, University of Tampere	Tampere	Finland
Leung	Irene	Moorfield's Eye Hospital	London	UK
Lotery	Andrew	University of Southampton	Southampton	UK
Mauschitz	Matthias	University of Bonn	Bonn	Germany
Meester	Magda	Erasmus Medical Center	Rotterdam	Netherlands
Merle	Bénédicte	Bordeaux Population Health Research Center UMR1219	Bordeaux	France
Meyer zu Westrup	Verena	University of Muenster	Muenster	Germany
Midena	Edoardo	University of Padova	Padova	Italy
Miotto	Stefania	University of Padova	Padova	Italy

Mirshahi	Alireza	Dardenne Eye Hospital	Bonn	Germany
Mohan-Saïd	Sadek	Institut de la Vision	Paris	France
Mueller	Michael	Pirkanmaa Hospital District	Tampere	Finland
Muldrew	Alyson	Queen's University	Belfast	UK
Murta	Joaquim	AIBILI/CHUC	Coimbra	Portugal
Nickels	Stefan	University Medical Center	Mainz	Germany
Nunes	Sandrina	AIBILI/CHUC	Coimbra	Portugal
Owen	Christopher	University of London	London	UK
Peto	Tunde	Queen's University	Belfast	UK
Pfeiffer	Norbert	University Medical Center	Mainz	Germany
Piermarocchi	Stefano	University of Padova	Padova	Italy
Prokofyeva	Elena	Scientific Institute of Public Health (WIV-ISP); Federal Agency for Medicines and Health Products	Brussels	Belgium
Rahi	Jugnoo	UCL Institute of Ophthalmology	London	UK
Raitakari	Olli	Turku University Hospital, University of Turku	Turku	Finland
Rauscher	Franziska	Leipzig University Hospital	Leipzig	Germany
Ribeiro	Luisa	AIBILI/CHUC	Coimbra	Portugal
Rougier	Marie-Bénédicte	Bordeaux Population Health Research Center UMR1219	Bordeaux	France
Rudnicka	Alicja	University of London	London	UK
Sahel	José	Institut de la Vision	Paris	France
Salonikiou	Aggeliki	University of Thessaloniki	Thessaloniki	Greece
Sanchez	Clarisa	Radboud University	Nijmegen	Netherlands
Schmitz-Valckenberg	Steffen	University of Bonn	Bonn	Germany
Schuster	Alexander	University Medical Center	Mainz	Germany
Schweitzer	Cédric	University of Bordeaux Segalen	Bordeaux	France
Segato	Tatiana	University of Padova	Padova	Italy
Shehata	Jasmin	Medical University of Vienna	Vienna	Austria
Silva	Rufino	AIBILI/CHUC	Coimbra	Portugal
Silvestri	Giuliana	Queen's University	Belfast	UK
Simader	Christian	Medical University of Vienna	Vienna	Austria
Souied	Eric	University Hospital of Créteil	Créteil	France
Speckauskas	Martynas	Lithuanian University of health sciences	Kaunas	Lithuania
Springelkamp	Henriet	Erasmus Medical Center	Rotterdam	Netherlands
Tapp	Robyn	Pirkanmaa Hospital District	Tampere	Finland
Topouzis	Fotis	University of Thessaloniki	Thessaloniki	Greece
van Leeuwen	Elisa	Erasmus Medical Center	Rotterdam	Netherlands
Verhoeven	Virginie	Erasmus Medical Center	Rotterdam	Netherlands
Verzijden	Timo	Erasmus Medical Center	Rotterdam	Netherlands
Von Hanno	Therese	University of Tromso	Tromso	Norway
Wiedemann	Peter	Leipzig University Hospital	Leipzig	Germany
Williams	Katie	King's College London	London	UK

Wolfram
Yip

Christian
Jennifer

University Medical Center
UCL Institute of Ophthalmology

Mainz
London

Germany
UK

2

3