



**HAL**  
open science

## High-resolution stratigraphic forward modeling of a Quaternary carbonate margin: Controls and dynamic of the progradation

Jean Busson, Philippe Joseph, Thierry Mulder, Vanessa Teles, J. Borgomano, Didier Granjeon, C. Betzler, E. Poli, M. Wunsch

### ► To cite this version:

Jean Busson, Philippe Joseph, Thierry Mulder, Vanessa Teles, J. Borgomano, et al.. High-resolution stratigraphic forward modeling of a Quaternary carbonate margin: Controls and dynamic of the progradation. *Sedimentary Geology*, 2019, 379, pp.77-96. 10.1016/j.sedgeo.2018.11.004. hal-02196904

**HAL Id: hal-02196904**

**<https://ifp.hal.science/hal-02196904>**

Submitted on 29 Jul 2019

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 **High-resolution stratigraphic forward modeling of a Quaternary**  
2 **carbonate margin: controls and dynamic of the progradation**

3 **J. Busson<sup>1, 2, 3</sup>, P. Joseph<sup>2</sup>, T. Mulder<sup>1</sup>, V. Teles<sup>2</sup>, J. Borgomano<sup>4</sup>, D. Granjeon<sup>2</sup>, C. Betzler<sup>5</sup>, E.**  
4 **Poli<sup>3</sup>, M. Wunsch<sup>5</sup>**

5 1. Université de Bordeaux, CNRS UMR5805 EPOC, allée Geoffroy St Hilaire, CS 50023, 33615  
6 Pessac

7 Cedex, France

8 2. Direction Géosciences R16, IFP Energies Nouvelles, 1-4 avenue de Bois Préau, 92852 Rueil-  
9 Malmaison Cedex – France

10 3. Projet “Carbonates”, Exploration & Production SCR/RD, Total S.A., CSTJF, Avenue Larribau,  
11 64000 Pau, France

12 4. Aix-Marseille Université, CNRS UMR7330 CEREGE, 3, Place Victor Hugo, 13331 Marseille  
13 Cedex 3, France

14 5. Institut für Geologie, Universität Hamburg, Bundesstr. 55, 20146 Hamburg, Germany

15 **Corresponding author : Jean Busson ; [jean.busson@u-bordeaux.fr](mailto:jean.busson@u-bordeaux.fr)**

16

17 **ABSTRACT**

18 The relationships between the margin sedimentary regime and the platform progradation are  
19 studied using forward stratigraphic numerical simulations on the Leeward (Western)  
20 prograding margin of the Great Bahama Bank (GBB) during the Quaternary (1.7 - 0 Ma). The  
21 corresponding sedimentary regime in the slope and the platform is well known from the ODP  
22 leg 166 and Bahamas Drilling Project wells located along the “Western line” seismic transect.  
23 However the sedimentary regime on the margin is not well established: the coral reefal margin  
24 observed before between 1.7 and 0.8 Ma in the well Clino is not active anymore at present-  
25 day, and the Holocene sedimentary regime is geometrically unable to account alone for the  
26 progradation. This study is based on three 2D high-resolution forward stratigraphic numerical

27 modeling experiments with the software DionisosFlow that include the platform, margin and  
28 slope domains on the “Western Line Section” in the same sedimentary models. The results are  
29 compared to the six sedimentary cores and to the present day bathymetry in order to identify  
30 the more realistic scenario. The three experiments test different models of carbonate  
31 sediment production and transport. Experiment 1 shows that the highstand shedding of the  
32 fine-grained uncemented platform production is unable to reproduce the progradation and  
33 the present-day profile. Experiment 2 and 3 incorporate cemented facies in the margin, with  
34 the best results obtained with the cemented marginal wedges produced in Experiment 2  
35 during platform emersion. From these results a high-resolution interpretation of the margin  
36 seismic section is proposed. This study shows that the platform progradation can be decoupled  
37 from the highstand shedding of the fine-grained platform production. It is dependent on the  
38 accumulation in front of the steep margin of coarse or cemented material. Before 0.8 Ma this  
39 corresponds to the coral reef identified in Clino. The transition after 0.45 Ma to 100-kyr large  
40 eustatic cycles with total platform flooding created two distinct marginal regimes: (1) during  
41 platform flooding aggrading accumulation of non-skeletal sands, and (2) during platform  
42 emersion prograding cemented marginal wedges produced in-situ.

#### 43 **KEYWORDS**

44 Bahamas; Forward Stratigraphic modeling; Carbonate slope; Platform Progradation; Lowstand  
45 wedges; Cemented margin

## 46 **1 Introduction**

### 47 **1.1 High-resolution stratigraphic study of a progradational margin**

48 Carbonate sedimentary systems are a major component of the rock record of sedimentary  
49 basins, and a major reservoir of geological resources (Schlager, 2005). The margin area is the  
50 transition domain from the shallow marine carbonate factory to the slope where sediments  
51 are re-sedimented (Mcllreath & James, 1978; Mullins & Cook, 1986; Schlager, 2005; Playton et

52 al., 2010; Reijmer et al., 2015). The sedimentary processes and physiography of the margin  
53 controls the stratigraphic evolution of the shallow platform and the sediment export  
54 mechanisms towards the slope (Eberli and Ginsburg, 1987; Playton et al., 2010). The evolution  
55 of the margin is influenced both by external factors, such as hydrodynamic conditions and  
56 tectonics, and internal ones, especially the variety of carbonate producers and the mechanical  
57 properties of the accumulated production (Playton et al., 2010).

58

59 Forward stratigraphic models such as the DionisosFlow software (Granjeon and Joseph, 1999;  
60 Granjeon, 2014) are a reliable tool to reconstruct the facies and geometry of a carbonate  
61 sedimentary system through time (Warrlich et al., 2008; Montaggioni et al., 2015; Berra et al.,  
62 2016; Lanteaume et al., 2018). This high-resolution stratigraphic model realizes a reliable  
63 process-based interpolation of the available geological data. They are used to constrain the  
64 geological parameters governing the modelled sedimentary processes (Aurell et al., 1998;  
65 Seard et al., 2013; Montaggioni et al., 2015; Kolodka et al., 2016)

66 This study focuses on the “Western Line” transect of the Great Bahama Bank (GBB) for the  
67 time interval 1.7 Ma to present day (Fig. 1A and 1B). Different scenarios defined by various  
68 carbonate producers and sediment properties are tested under well-constrained external  
69 controls. Different margin architectures are then generated and compared to the observations  
70 for the most recent deposits (Wilber et al., 1990; Eberli et al., 2004) and to the core sections on  
71 the slope and platform (Wilber et al., 1990; Eberli et al., 1997; Ginsburg et al., 2001; Eberli et  
72 al., 2004). These results give 1) insights on the internal and external controls of the margin  
73 geometry and evolution, and 2) their influences on the stratigraphic evolution of the whole  
74 transect. These modeling results provide conceptual insights for the sequential evolution of a  
75 leeward carbonate margin.

## 76 **1.2 Bahamas case study: State of the art**

77 The Bahamas Archipelago is one of the most studied present-day analogues for ancient  
78 tropical carbonate systems (Fig. 1A). It has yielded major contributions on the understanding  
79 of carbonate systems (Schlager and Ginsburg, 1981; Mullins and Cook, 1986; Grammer and  
80 Ginsburg, 1992; Schlager et al., 1994; Eberli et al., 2004; Betzler et al., 2014; Reijmer et al.,  
81 2015). The low-angle leeward western slope of the GBB shows a remarkable progradation of  
82 ~15 km into the Strait of Florida during the late Miocene (Eberli and Ginsburg, 1987; Eberli et  
83 al., 1997) (Fig. 1B, Fig. 2). The platform and slope architectures are well described on the 2D  
84 section known as the “Western line” defined by a continuous seismic platform-to-basin profile  
85 (Eberli and Ginsburg, 1987) (Fig. 1B). Six research wells were core-drilled on this transect  
86 during the Bahamas Drilling Project (BDP) (Ginsburg, 2001) on the platform, and during the  
87 ODP leg 166 (Eberli et al., 1997a) on the slope. The platform margin however has not been  
88 drilled for the Quaternary deposits younger than 1.7 Ma. The recent sedimentary processes on  
89 the slope and the platform have been well established (Mulder et al., 2012; Chabaud et al.,  
90 2016; Principaud et al., 2016; Harris et al., 2015; Wunsch et al., 2017; Schnyder et al., 2018).  
91 However, the margin sedimentary regime is described with good confidence only for the  
92 Holocene deposits by Wilber et al. (1990).

### 93 **1.2.1 Quaternary evolution of the Western margin**

94 The post-Cretaceous evolution of the “Western Line” transect is well constrained by the  
95 identification of 3<sup>rd</sup> order sequences named *a* to *r* from the more recent to the oldest one  
96 (Betzler et al., 1999; Eberli et al., 2002; 2004; Principaud et al., 2016; Wunsch et al., 2018) (Fig.  
97 2).

98 During sequence *d* (2.6-1.7 Ma) the non-skeletal grains become abundant on the platform  
99 with coral reefs in well Unda (Budd and Manfrino, 2001). The platform margin progrades with

100 the deposition of a thick regressive package but it is of reduced thickness on the slope. (Eberli  
101 et al., 1997b; Kenter et al., 2001; Principaud et al., 2016).

102 Sequence *c* (1.7 to 0.33 Ma) corresponds to another significant progradation pulse of the  
103 platform along with a transition from a ramp-like profile to a steeper platform margin profile  
104 (Eberli et al., 1997b; Betzler et al., 1999; Principaud et al., 2016). Coevally the carbonate  
105 production along the transect becomes almost entirely non-skeletal and peloid-dominated  
106 (Eberli, 2000; Kenter et al., 2001; Manfrino and Ginsburg, 2001). These evolutions can be  
107 related to a regional pattern of coral reefs decline and extinction during the Pleistocene,  
108 culminating between 1.0 Ma and 0.8 Ma (Reijmer et al., 2002).

109 The interval 1.7 to 0.8 Ma is expressed by an subaerial exposure hiatus in well Unda and the  
110 progradation of a coral reefal margin in well Clino, with an evolution from reefal to reef crest  
111 and platform environment. After 0.8 Ma, the deposits in BDP wells Unda and Clino indicates  
112 mostly platform top and platform margin environments, respectively (Budd and Manfrino,  
113 2001; Manfrino and Ginsburg, 2001) (Fig. 2, Fig. 4). On the slope, sequence *c* to *a* are  
114 dominated by the accumulation of periplatform-ooze wedges exported from the platform  
115 during the highstand flooding periods (Eberli et al., 1997a). These packages form periplatform  
116 drift wedges (Betzler, et al., 2014) under the action of the Florida Current (Principaud et al.,  
117 2016; Wunsch et al., 2018). They are separated by condensed cemented intervals related to  
118 the platform emersion during glacial lowstands (Eberli et al., 1997a; Eberli, 2000; Rendle and  
119 Reijmer, 2002) (Fig. 4).

## 120 **1.2.2 Recent margin evolution and sedimentary processes**

121 For the Holocene highstand, at the top of the Western Line section the edge of the bank is  
122 gently sloping with a 3-10° slope towards a slope break at 55-60 m of water depth (Wilber et  
123 al., 1990; Ginsburg et al., 2001). The platform edge shows a 10 – 30 m thick accumulation of  
124 Holocene fine to medium non-skeletal sands (Fig. 3A). A lithified marginal escarpment marks  
125 an abrupt increase in slope reaching more than 30° down to a depth of 140-180 m. Direct

126 sampling of lithified samples a few meters inside the “escarpment wall” have also yielded  
127 Holocene ages (G. Eberli, pers. comm.). At the bottom of the escarpment, a plunge-pool and  
128 related slope-break deposits result from the hydraulic jump of density cascading currents  
129 (Wilson and Roberts, 1992; Wilber et al., 1990; Wunsch et al., 2016; Schnyder et al., 2018).  
130 Downslope the Holocene deposits form a 10 –to 60 m thick wedge of muddy periplatform  
131 ooze. In the profiles of Wilber et al. (1990) the Holocene wedge clearly onlaps on Pleistocene  
132 surface of the plunge pool (Fig. 3A). The Holocene platform edge and thin wall deposits also  
133 appear to downlap this same surface in the lower part of the wall. Holocene sediment  
134 accretion in front of the escarpment seems severely limited by the strong activity of the  
135 downslope currents. Laterally along the GBB Western margin this profile vary with a more or  
136 less developed slope wedge (Wilber et al., 1990; Principaud et al., 2016).  
137 If one takes a conceptual look at the architecture resulting from the repetitive stacking  
138 Highstand sediments accumulation at the margin identical to the observed Holocene package  
139 (Fig. 3A), it shows an aggrading trend for the platform margin (Fig. 3B). The construction of any  
140 prograding trend would have required additional sedimentary accumulation in front of and at  
141 the toe of the wall (Fig. 3C). So when considering the margin progradation from 0.8 Ma to the  
142 Holocene, other types of margin geometries than the observed Holocene geometry would  
143 have to come into play at some points. The well-established “highstand shedding” regime of  
144 the Western line transect (Schlager et al., 1994; Eberli, 2000; Eberli et al., 2004) is not  
145 associated with a progradational geometry for the Holocene highstand on this section.

### 146 **1.3 Objectives of the paper**

147 We present here a stratigraphic forward numerical investigation of the evolution of the  
148 Western GBB margin during the 1.7 – 0 Ma interval (Fig. 2). During this interval, the  
149 sedimentation on the margin is only known by the 1.7-0.8 Ma coral reef found in Clino (Fig. 4),  
150 and the present-day regime of the Holocene margin (Fig. 3A). Our objective is to investigate

151 the stratigraphic architecture in the uncertain domain between these two records with the  
152 following questions in mind:

- 153 1) How has the margin evolved between 1.7 and 0 Ma?
- 154 2) What is the relationship between the margin geometries and the depositional model?  
155 Which external and internal controls can be identified?
- 156 3) How does the architecture of the margin influence the progradation of the platform?

157 To apply a process-based modelling approach, it is necessary to use a unequivocal and  
158 consistent stratigraphic framework with time-lines that will be considered as stratigraphic  
159 markers across the whole well transect. Numerous chronostratigraphic studies have been  
160 published on this transect (Eberli et al., 1997b, McNeill et al., 2001; Eberli et al., 2002; Rendle  
161 and Reijmer, 2002; Principaud et al., 2016; Wunsch et al., 2018; see Table 1). They present  
162 some discrepancies and uncertainties: Eberli et al. (2002) estimate an average error of 0.38  
163 Myr in their estimation of sequence *c*, *b* and *a* in the ODP wells, and on the platform wells only  
164 the 1.7 Ma and 0.8 Ma magnetostratigraphic markers can be considered as certain (McNeill et  
165 al., 2001). Choices and hypotheses made in this paper are not a new chronostratigraphic  
166 model for the “Western line” transect, but only a consistent synthesis of the published data.  
167 The results of the numerical experiments are used in the discussion to propose a high-  
168 resolution interpretation of the seismic record at the platform margin ((Eberli and Ginsburg,  
169 1987; Principaud et al., 2016; Wunsch et al., 2018).

## 170 **2 Data and Methods**

### 171 **2.1 Well Data**

172 The ODP leg 166 wells 1005, 1004, 1003 and 1007 were drilled on the slope. They were logged  
173 with a full set of wireline tools and a checkshot survey was realized for wells 1003, 1005 and  
174 1007 (Eberli et al., 1997a).



175 The two wells Clino and Undawere drilled on the platform as part of the Bahamas Drilling  
176 Project (BDP) campaign (Ginsburg, 2001). Both are located on a very shallow platform (about 7  
177 m water depth), the well Unda is located 8.5 km inward of the well Clino along the « Western  
178 Line » profile (Fig. 1A and Fig. 2. Both holes were logged with a standard suite of wireline tools  
179 and a continuous vertical seismic profile (VSP) was shot (Ginsburg et al., 2001).

### 180 **2.1.1 Well Chronostratigraphy**

181 The chronostratigraphy on the ODP leg 166 slope wells is first based on the planktonic  
182 foraminifer and nannofossil biostratigraphic framework built for the Leg 166 initial report  
183 (Eberli et al., 1997a). Another dating approach was conducted by Rendle and Reijmer (2002)  
184 on the wells 1007, 1003 and 1005, based primarily on  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  isotopes, grain size and X-Ray  
185 Diffraction (XRD) analyses, U/Th dating and nannofossils bio-events to establish a high-  
186 resolution stratigraphy of the Quaternary deposits (Rendle et al., 2000). Wunsch et al. (2018)  
187 proposed another high-resolution stratigraphy based on the distal ODP 166 sites 1008 and  
188 1006. They are not located in our zone of interest and record a different sedimentary regime  
189 dominated by the Florida current (Rendle and Reijmer, 2002). As these are globally  
190 undisturbed sections, compared to the slope wells these records present higher confidence  
191 for the local stratigraphy, but higher uncertainty in the correlation with sections on the slope.  
192 For the BDP wells an age model has been established as well using foraminifera, nannofossils,  
193 strontium isotope stratigraphy and magnetostratigraphy (Mc Neill et al., 2001).

194 The chronostratigraphic correlation of the platform (BDP) and the slope (ODP 166 wells) has  
195 not been established and published yet. A synthesis of the available literature led us to  
196 establish a consistent age/depth correlation for all the wells, based only on geological  
197 reasoning in case of contradictory results (Table 1). We did not endeavour to re-date the cored  
198 sections. This work was guided by the premise that exposure surfaces on the platform form  
199 time-lines corresponding to condensed and lithified layers on the slope (Eberli and Ginsburg,  
200 1987; Eberli et al., 2002; Wunsch et al., 2016). For the slope wells we considered the

201 correlation of early lithified layers and peaks of High Magnesium Calcite (HMC) (Eberli et al.,  
202 1997a; Rendle and Reijmer, 2002).

203

204 In this synthesis we identify and correlate the seismic sequence boundaries (SSB) A, B and C  
205 defined by Eberli et al. (1997a, 1997b and 2002) (Table 1, Fig. 4).

206 With an age of 1.7 Ma SSB C was correlated with the Top Olduvai datum in the BDP Well Unda  
207 and Clino (Manfrino and Ginsburg, 2001). This choice is consistent with the interpretation of  
208 SSB C as a major downward shift of the regressive margin (Eberli et al.,1997b; ; Manfrino and  
209 Ginsburg, 2001; Principaud et al., 2016).

210 SSB B was identified on the slope wells by Rendle and Reijmer (2002) with the condensed  
211 surface of MIS (Marine Isotope Stage) 10 at 0.37 Ma (Lisiecki and Raymo, 2005).On the  
212 platform SSB B was identified as an exposure surface at the top of a thick transgressive reefal  
213 unit in BDP well Clino (40 – 28 mbsf) and the corresponding deep lagoonal unit in well Unda  
214 (38 - 27 mbsf) (Manfrino and Ginsburg, 2001). We assume it to be the backstepping record of  
215 the highly transgressive MIS 11 highstand (Lisiecki and Raymo, 2005; Miller et al., 2011).

216 SSB A is defined in the ODP slope wells as the base of the Holocene deposits (Eberli et al.,  
217 1997a, 2002; Rendle and Reijmer, 2002;).. The corresponding exposure surface has not been  
218 recovered in Clino and Unda, but has been identified with the bottom of the Holocene  
219 unconsolidated sediments (Manfrino and Ginsburg, 2001; McNeill et al., 2001).

220

221 Three additional stratigraphic markers have been tentatively identified in the 1.7 - 0 Ma  
222 interval in order to increase the stratigraphic constrains for the comparison of simulations with  
223 well sections.

224 Marker *t20*, corresponds to the top of MIS 20 (0.79 Ma) (Lisiecki and Raymo, 2005). It is  
225 identified in well 1003 by Rendle and Reijmer (2002) and is coeval with the  
226 Brunhes/Matuyama magnetostratigraphic transition,identified in Clino and Unda by Manfrino

227 and Ginsburg, (2001). This surface was correlated in the ODP slope wells with the first notable  
228 peak of HMC composition and a remarkable positive Vp anomaly (Table 1, Fig.4).

229 The second stratigraphic marker, *t12*, corresponds to the top of MIS 12 (0.42 Ma ), identified in  
230 ODP well 1005 and 1003 (Rendle and Reijmer, 2002). It can be correlated in wells 1004 with an  
231 abrupt decrease in Low Magnesium Calcite (LMC) concentration (Fig. 4). In the BDP wells it was  
232 correlated with the base of the transgressive reef/lagoon unit (Manfrino and Ginsburg, 2001)  
233 interpreted to correspond to MIS 11 (Table 1, Fig. 4).

234 The third stratigraphic marker *t6* corresponds to the top of MIS 6 (0.13 Ma)(Lisiecki and  
235 Raymo, 2005). It is identified in ODP wells 1005 and 1004 as the top of the penultimate  
236 condensed level (Fig. 4), in good agreement with ODP 166 U/Th dating (Henderson et al.,  
237 2000). It is absent in well 1003 that exhibits a major hiatus between *t10* and the Holocene  
238 (Rendle and Reijmer, 2002). In BDP wells Clino and Unda, it was identified as the first  
239 transgressive lag cored (Manfrino and Ginsburg, 2001) (Table1, Fig.4).

### 240 **2.1.2 Core lithofacies analysis**

241 A synthetic lithofacies model with five facies was elaborated from the published core  
242 descriptions (Eberli et al., 1997a; Kenter et al., 2001; Manfrino and Ginsburg, 2001; Rendle and  
243 Reijmer, 2002). The lithofacies are designated by the Well Facies code (WF) A to G (Table 2).  
244 They are identified by a depositional environment, range of Dunham sedimentary fabric and  
245 dominant cementation state and mineralogical composition.

246 The highstand carbonate sedimentation on the platform is dominantly aragonitic with a fine-  
247 grained (Silt and Clay size) and peloidal assemblage (Eberli et al., 1997a; Chabaud et al., 2016;  
248 Harris et al., 2015). It originates mostly from aragonitic green algae and seagrass production,  
249 especially *Halimeda* sp. and *Thalassia* sp., and whiting events (Eberli et al., 1997a; Manfrino  
250 and Ginsburg, 2001; Chabaud et al., 2015; Harris et al., 2015;).

251 WF A is the periplatform ooze slope facies corresponding to the off-bank export of the  
252 highstand platform production (Wilson and Roberts, 1992; Schlager et al., 1994; Eberli, 2000;;  
253 Wunsch et al., 2018).

254 WF B corresponds to the condensed deposits associated with glacial sea-level lowstands  
255 (Eberli et al., 1997 a; Eberli, 2000; Chabaud et al., 2016; Wunsch et al., 2017).. WF B is  
256 aragonite-poor due to 1) the dissolution of aragonite followed by the precipitation of an High-  
257 Magnesium Calcitic micro-sparitic cement (Schlager and James, 1978; Mullins et al., 1980;  
258 Mullins et al., 1985;; Munnecke et al., 1997; Eberli, 2000; Rendle et al., 2000; Melim et al.,  
259 2002;) and 2) a higher relative input of calcitic pelagic tests during lowstands (Eberli et al.,  
260 1997a; Eberli, 2000; Chabaud et al., 2016). WF B is clearly identifiable with 1) the marked  
261 decrease in aragonite composition in the XRD mineralogical log and 2) P-positive Wave velocity  
262 anomaly in relation with 3) early lithification state (Eberli et al., 1997a).

263 Several lower slope sub-facies are incorporated into WF A for synthetic considerations(Eberli  
264 et al., 1997a).

265 WF C corresponds to a variety of coral reef facies (Kenter et al., 2001; Manfrino and Ginsburg,  
266 2001). WF D is interpreted by Manfrino and Ginsburg, (2001) as high-energy sandy deposits of  
267 inner platform beach and shoals. It as the last member of shallowing upward sequences (Aurell  
268 et al., 1995)

## 269 **2.2 Seismic data**

270 The seismic interpretation has been performed on a dataset composed of three different  
271 acquisitions on the “Western Line” transect (Fig. 2).

272 1-The original “Western Line” profile acquired in the 1980’s. Resolution in this seismic data is  
273 around 30 m in the upper part studied here It is presented in the original undersampled  
274 publication format with one trace out of seven (Eberli and Ginsburg, 1987).

275 2- Wunsch et al. (2018) (Fig. 9 A) have published the reprocessed version of this seismic,  
276 presented also in Eberli et al. (2004) (Fig. 16, from the platform edge and margin between well

277 1005 and well Clino for 0 to 700 ms TWTT). . As is clearly visible in Eberli et al. (2004) due to  
278 the very high impedance contrasts on the shallow platform, the seismic data have been cut at  
279 ~ 0.08 ms TWTT. It gives the outer platform a flat top appearance, whereas it is indeed sloping  
280 from 7.6 m water depth in Clino (Manfrino and Ginsburg, 2001) to 60 m at the platform edge  
281 (Wilber et al., 1990)(Fig. 11A).

282 3- Slope seismic data collected during the seismic survey of Leg 1 of the Carambar cruise  
283 (Mulder et al., 2012). have a vertical resolution of 2 m and are relevant down to  
284 approximately 1s TWTT (Principaud et al., 2016). A small displayed section in Figure 11 is part  
285 of the ODP 166 high-resolution dataset studied by Anselmetti et al. (2000).

### 286 **2.2.1 Well tie**

287 Well-tie data were published for the ODP well sites (Eberli et al., 1997a; Anselmetti et al.,  
288 2000; Eberli et al., 2002; Wunsch et al., 2018) providing with time-depth points for the SSB A, B  
289 and C (Fig. 2B ). The preservation of reflector continuity for SSB B on the slope gave us a  
290 slightly shallower value at well 1005 of 80 ms TWTT versus 100 ms. Eberli et al. (2001)  
291 published also well tie data for the platform wells with the reprocessed seismic. We use these  
292 values, though for SSB A they fall above the cut at 0.08 s. The time geometry of SSB A and the  
293 seafloor was reconstructed based on these points, the reflectors visible at the platform edge  
294 and similar to the geometry of Wilber et al., 1990, and the knowledge of the sea-floor depth at  
295 the platform edge (55-60 m, Wilber et al., 1990) and at Clino and Unda (7.6 and 6.7 m  
296 respectively, Manfrino and Ginsburg, 2001) (Fig. 11B).

## 297 **2.3 Stratigraphic forward modeling**

### 298 **2.3.1 Model outlines and strategy**

299 The numerical forward stratigraphic DionisosFlow model (Granjeon and Joseph, 1999) was  
300 designed to investigate the 3D development of siliciclastic and carbonate sedimentary systems  
301 at the basin scale. It has been used for smaller scale clastic or carbonate systems (Rabineau et

302 al., 2005; Csato et al., 2014; Montaggioni et al., 2015) allowing reconstruction of sedimentary  
303 architectures below the fifth order time-scale resolution. The model offers the possibility to  
304 test the impact of a conceptual depositional model on the internal stacking pattern and  
305 stratigraphic evolution of the resulting sedimentary accumulation (Warrlich et al., 2008;  
306 Montaggioni et al., 2015; Lanteaume et al., 2018). In this study the choice of the processes and  
307 the values of the parameters are based on the available geological constraints and fitted  
308 through a trial and error process. The quality of experiment is determined by the fit of the  
309 simulated stratigraphic markers position against their core interpretation and the valid  
310 geometry of the reconstructed present-day margin profile.

311 Three modelling experiments are tested on DionisosFlow (Fig. 5).

- 312 • Experiment 1 looks at the margin geometry associated with a progradation driven  
313 entirely by the highstand shedding of the inner platform production.
- 314 • Experiment 2 investigates the influence of bio-constructed and early cemented  
315 carbonate production at the margin.
- 316 • Experiment 3 tries to reproduce the platform evolution after 0.8 Ma under the  
317 sedimentary regime described in the Holocene (1.2.2).

318 The three simulations aim at evaluating the relations between highstand production and  
319 shedding and the platform progradation, and the impact of carbonate producers changes  
320 under a given eustatic history.

321

322 We used here a 42 km long 2D model, with a grid resolution of 50 m. Time is discretized into  
323 340 time-steps of 5 kyr from 1.7 to 0 Ma BP. This pseudo 2D section represents the “Western  
324 line” transect projected in a direction orthogonal to the slope (Fig. 1B). It comprises 12 km of  
325 platform domain, and 30 km of slope domain. Due to the limited modeling of the contour  
326 current activity in a 2D section, the displayed zone of interest is limited to the slope domain  
327 and stops downward of well 1007 (Fig. 1B), with 12 km of platform domain and 9 km of slope

328 (21 km in total). The ODP and BDP wells were also projected under a cylindricity hypothesis on  
329 this modelled section (Betzler et al., 1999).

330

331 In DionisosFlow carbonate production is defined through time by the definition of a source  
332 function. It describes  $\text{CaCO}_3$  production rate according to water depth. In this study it is tied to  
333 a type of carbonate sediment material that can be more or less easily traced back to a mix of  
334 biogenic sources. Depending on the simulated sediment, this sediment source function can  
335 integrate already a certain range of mixing, degradation and transport processes in the  
336 simulated « production » process. In that case it is would be more aptly described as an  
337 “accumulation” rate. The production rates are defined in order to fit, insofar as possible, the  
338 observed architecture. They are heavily dependent on the geometry and transport efficiency  
339 of the simulation.

340

341 The transport processes are modelled in DionisosFlow by a non-linear diffusion law  
342 approximation ( Granjeon, 2014). Several diffusion coefficients are attributed to each sediment  
343 class in order to model the slope-driven transport and the wave-driven transport. The slope-  
344 driven transport expresses the sediment flux  $Q_s = K * S$  where S is the local slope, and K the  
345 diffusion coefficient in  $\text{km}^2/\text{kyr}$ . In the western GBB sedimentary system the major part of the  
346 transport of the fine-grained production on the platform towards the slope is due to an  
347 advection process: density-cascading of sediment-laden water from the platform (Wilson and  
348 Roberts, 1992; Eberli, 2000; Wunsch et al., 2016 ). This remobilization of sediment on the  
349 platform was simulated in DionisosFlow using a 1D wave model defined with a wave base  
350 action depth at 20 m (fair-weather waves). The bathymetry-dependent wave energy function  
351 allows the remobilization of the sediment according to the local wave energy. Sediment  
352 transport on the platform is actually driven by the wind and the shallow tidal currents (Harris  
353 et al., 2015). This wave-driven diffusion function is used as a diffusion boost to account for

354 these complex shallow transport processes on the flat platform. The reworked sediment is  
355 then transported according to the local slope under the rules of gravity-driven diffusion.

## 356 **2.4 Simulations parameters**

### 357 **2.4.1 External controls**

358 A constant and uniform subsidence rate of 34.1 m/Myr was assumed as there is no evidence of  
359 vertical relative sediment displacement along the transect (Eberli and Ginsburg, 1987; Eberli et  
360 al., 2004; Principaud et al., 2016; Wunsch et al., 2018). This value is calibrated by the  
361 reconstitution of the correct present-day position for SSB C line and the 56 m thick  
362 accumulation observed at well Unda. The eustasy parameter was derived from the curve of  
363 Miller et al. (2011) resampled at 5 kyr resolution. In order to better constrain the real  
364 accommodation space and sedimentation rate, we also simulated the mechanical compaction.  
365 The definition of the initial basement topography is a key assumption for the whole simulation  
366 (Montaggioni et al., 2015; Lanteaume et al., 2018). We considered the depth of the SSB C in  
367 the six wells of the section to draw a 1.7 Ma topographic profile consistent with the seismic  
368 interpretation. The initial sea-level position at 1.7 Ma was derived from the location of the first  
369 onlap in the seismic interpretation. The platform level is thus set at 40 m above sea-level at 1.7  
370 Ma.

371 The Santaren Current is considered as a major external control, limiting sediment  
372 accumulation at the toe-of-slope (Betzler et al., 1999; Rendle and Reijmer, 2002; Betzler et al.,  
373 2014; Principaud et al., 2016; Wunsch et al., 2018). It was integrated in the pseudo-2D  
374 DionisosFlow with an open boundary condition on the basin section westward of well 1007. A  
375 constant northward discharge of 0.01 km<sup>3</sup>/Myr of fine-grained sediment is applied. It prevents  
376 deposition in the basin fixes the toe of the progradation at ~10 km from the platform break.  
377 In the model the contour current effect was activated after 0.8 Ma, though it has likely been  
378 active since the Pliocene (Rendle and Reijmer, 2002; Principaud et al., 2016; Wunsch et al.,



379 2018). This is consistent with the sedimentary profile of the ODP well 1007, with 25 m of  
380 deposits between 1.7 Ma and 0.8 Ma, and only 12 m after.

#### 381 **2.4.2 Definition of carbonate producers**

382 Three different carbonate producers are considered in our experiments for the Quaternary  
383 interval (1.7 - 0 Ma). They are simulated by different bathymetry-dependent source functions  
384 (Fig. 6), and different high-diffusion or low-diffusion gravity driven transport laws, determining  
385 respectively low or high slope angle of accumulation (Granjeon, 2014). Following the analysis  
386 of Kenter (1990) the slope of accumulation of the carbonate production is directly related to  
387 its dominant sediment fabric.

388 As explained in section 4.1 the value of the wave transport coefficients for the same facies can  
389 vary between different experiments in order to keep a comparable off-bank sediment flux  
390 (Table 3). The contrast in wave-driven diffusion coefficients expresses the easy mobilization of  
391 fine-grain uncemented sediment in respect to the cemented material accumulated *in situ*.

392 The contrast between the high-diffusion and low-diffusion facies is always preserved. Low  
393 slope-driven diffusion coefficients (Table 3) expresses the ability of cemented,  
394 bioconstructed/binded or coarse grained facies to build stable accumulations with steep slopes  
395 (Kenter, 1990; Playton et al., 2010).

396

397 The first producer, designed as “aragonite ooze”, corresponds to the fine-grained aragonite  
398 ooze produced on the platform. It has a very high gravity diffusion coefficient, constrained by  
399 the very low slope angle of periplatform ooze accumulation (Kenter, 1990; Playton et al., 2010)  
400 (Table 3). The ooze deposits cannot form any relief on the platform nor accumulate on the  
401 steep margin (Fig. 5). It is easily remobilized by the hydrodynamic currents on the platform and  
402 exported to the slope (Fig. 5). It is simulated with a high value of wave driven-diffusion  
403 coefficient (Table 3).

404

405

406 Two other producers were defined to account for the marginal production and accumulation.

407 Both are cemented low-diffusion sediment accumulating in steep marginal configurations

408 (Kenter, 1990; Grammer and Ginsburg, 2012; Betzler et al., 2016). The low diffusion value

409 limits also their transport in the low-angle slope domains. They have a low wave-driven

410 coefficient as they accumulate *in-situ*.

411 The first one is named as “reef & cemented talus” (RCT). It aims at simulating the lowstand

412 coarse cemented wedge described by Grammer and Ginsburg (1992), supplied in particular by

413 a fringing reef development.

414 The second one is named as “coarse & cemented platform edge” (CCPE). It aims at simulating

415 the sandy and cemented accumulation described at the platform edge by Wilber et al. (1990).

416 They are transported by shallow platform currents to this outer and deeper platform edge

417 depocenter at water depth extending from 10 to 60 m (Wilber et al., 1990). As explained in

418 section 4.1 the crudel model of hydrodynamism on the platform in these simulations is unable

419 to simulate consistently this transport toward the platform edge where the sediment is

420 accumulated and cemented. As a consequence the CCPE material is directly simulated as a

421 cemented low-diffusion sediment, and its source function is actually an accumulation curve in

422 the platform edge depocenter. Since it is composed of non-skeletal grains (ooids, pelletoids

423 and grapestone) produced on the inner platform (Wilber et al., 1990; Harris et al., 2015) the

424 CCPE producer is active in the simulation during platform flooding only.

425

426 A mechanical compaction curve was associated to each producer. For the « aragonite ooze » it

427 was designed from experimental results of five oedometers tests on periplatform ooze

428 samples from sediment cores of the Little Bahama Bank (LBB) northern slope, and three

429 oedometer tests on periplatform ooze of the same slope published in Lavoie et al. (1988). The

430 proposed curve is consistent with the porosity trend of the four ODP Leg 166 wells on the

431 slope. For the RCM and CCPE material, the “coarse” compaction curve of Caspard et al (2004)  
432 calibrated for the platform margin of the “Western Line” section was used.

### 433 **2.4.3 Production laws**

434 The production of the “aragonite ooze” facies occurs during whittings event and with the  
435 degradation of green algae and seagrasses (*Halimeda* sp., *Thalassia* sp.) on the shallow  
436 platform (0-10 m water depth) (Schlager and Ginsburg, 1981; Manfrino and Ginsburg, 2001;  
437 Harris et al., 2015). It decreases progressively with the light intensity and stops at 50 m water  
438 depth corresponding to the base of the present-day photic zone in Bahamas (Schlager et al.,  
439 2005) (Fig. 6).

440 The production function for the RCT facies is defined with maximum production at 10 m water  
441 depth as observed for corals (Pomar and Hacq, 2016) (Fig. 6). This production is also restricted  
442 to the high wave energy domain above  $80\text{W/m}^2$  in order to prevent it reaching in the shallow  
443 platform interior. The sustained production rate between 30 and 50 m allows to account for an  
444 oligotrophic component (Betzler et al., 2016) and the gravity driven accumulation of erosional  
445 lithoclasts (Grammer and Ginsburg, 1992).

446 The production of the CCPE facies is defined with a similar shape but it creates slightly deeper  
447 accumulation down to the mesophotic zone (Wilber, et al., 1990) (Fig. 6).

448 The values of the production rate vary during the simulation (Fig. 6). These variations come  
449 mostly from the trial-and-errors calibration of the best fit for all the three experiments. They  
450 also account for the general warming that followed the end of the Mid-Pleistocene Transition  
451 and the Mid-Bruhnes event ( $\sim 0.45$  Ma) (Reijmer et al., 2002; Wunsch et al., 2018). The  
452 increasing trend for the “aragonite ooze” and “CCPE” facies production corresponds to the  
453 increase in the flooded surface of the platform during the highstands (Kievmann, 1998). The  
454 very high values of the “aragonite ooze” production for Experiment 2 are explained in section  
455 4.4.

#### 456 **2.4.4 Definition of resulting lithofacies**

457 The definition of five simulated lithofacies, based on the sediment composition and  
458 sedimentation rate, allows to highlight and understand the interplay between the muddy  
459 aragonitic highstand sediments in the platform and slope and the coarser or more cemented  
460 margin sediments (Table 4).

461 The two slope facies (below 150 m) “Periplatform ooze” and “cemented ooze” are  
462 distinguished only by a sedimentation rate threshold of 1000 m/Myr. The diagenetic signal of  
463 early cementation is simulated here (Fig. 7, Fig. 8) using the sedimentation rate as a proxy.

### 464 **3 Results**

465

#### 466 **3.1 Experiment 1: fine-grained uncemented margin**

467 Experiment 1 is constructed with reefal production between 1.7 and 0.8 Ma only, leaving only  
468 the inner platform aragonite ooze production between 0.8 and the present (Fig. 6). The result  
469 shows a remarkable contrast between these two. During 1.7-1.4 Myr interval, the  
470 accumulation of mostly reefal production on the upper margin profile creates a lowstand  
471 wedge, both prograding downslope and onlapping upslope. It finally covers the whole platform  
472 domain during the 1.5-1.4 Ma transgression (Fig. 7A). During this period there is bypass on the  
473 steep margin and reduced deposition in the slope. During the following 1.4-0.8 Ma interval the  
474 prograding/aggrading evolution of the margin evolves towards a more forced prograding  
475 pattern. Vertical accretion on the platform is much reduced, but becomes dominated by  
476 aragonite ooze production (Fig. 9A). Accumulation of reefal production at the front of the  
477 margin ensures the profile progradation as the slope accumulation is much reduced.

478 Overall during this period the platform margin has prograded and steepened. It has created an  
479 accretionary slope profile with a constant gradient and a flat-top platform (Fig. 7A).

480 The profile geometry is considerably modified after 0.8 Ma until 0.42 Ma. There is almost no  
481 deposition in the system and no effective progradation of the platform (Fig. 7C). This general  
482 modification is due to both the end of low-diffusion reefal production and the change of  
483 eustatic regime with lower maximum values and two marked falls at 0.6 and 0.42 Ma (MIS 16  
484 and 12) that reduce the available accommodation space.

485 With the major transgression of MIS 11 (0.42 – 0.37 Ma) the platform is flooded again allowing  
486 accumulation of aragonite mud on the platform and export downslope of a very large  
487 onlapping highstand wedge (in red on Fig.7A). The successive flooding of MIS 9 (0.34 – 0.30  
488 Ma) and 5 (0.13 – 0.07 Ma) leaves no large accumulation on the platform, but two slope  
489 wedges that onlap progressively above the platform edge (Fig.7). This succession creates an  
490 accretionary prograding margin and a smooth slope profile with a very open platform margin  
491 (Fig.7 C). Consequently the Holocene leaves a continuous prograding tract of mud, without the  
492 onlap of a distinct slope wedge (Fig.7 A).

493

494 Experiment 1 results partially reproduce the platform accumulation in wells Clino and Unda.  
495 On the slope it captures the general increase of sedimentation rates after 0.8 Ma and the  
496 alternation of thick interglacial highstand packages with condensed lowstand surfaces.

497 However it overestimates the sediment thickness in the slope wells after 0.42 Ma, and  
498 underestimates it before 0.8 Ma (Fig. 9A). There is construction of a progradational margin but  
499 the progradation is not developed enough (Fig. 7C).

500 Most importantly, the steepening trend and the present-day profile are not reproduced (Fig.  
501 7A). A constant smooth slope is instead realized as only one diffusive material is accumulated.

502 Any increase in production and export would lead to larger progradation but also greater  
503 overestimation of the slope accumulation. A decrease would result in loss of progradation or  
504 even no margin deposition after 0.8 Ma, though the thickness at the slope well would be more

505 correct. The constant slope depositional profile is unable to reproduce the steep margin and  
506 low angle slope transect evolution.

507 This experiment of highstand progradation of uncemented fine-grained sediment tracts is not  
508 consistent with the progradation of the observed section. Another depositional model must be  
509 considered for the Quaternary leeward slope.

### 510 **3.2 Experiment 2: Cemented margin**

511 For the 1.7-0.8 Ma period, experiments 1 and 2 are fairly identical, except for the thickness of  
512 the downslope aragonite mud deposits (Fig. 9B). This might be a consequence of the increased  
513 wave diffusion coefficient (export efficiency) in experiment 2 (Table 3).

514 However, after 0.8 Ma, the depositional architecture is quite different: during the 0.8-0.4 Myr  
515 interval, deposition of cemented facies occur on the margin, maintaining the steepening trend  
516 under a forced regression regime (Fig. 7A and B). Export of aragonite mud on the slope also  
517 occurs (Fig. 9B). Similarly, the MIS 11 flooding after 0.42 Ma leads to aragonite mud  
518 accumulation on the platform and the slope (Fig. 8). However the onlapping slope wedge is in  
519 a lower position and of smaller volume than in experiment 1. There is aggradation of RCT  
520 material in the margin, maintaining the steep profile. RCT material also backsteps on the  
521 platform (Fig. 7B). This can be related to the reefal accumulation observed in well Clino  
522 between 48 and 35 mbsl (Fig. 9B) and interpreted by Manfrino and Ginsburg (2001) as a reefal  
523 backstep. Accumulation is relatively reduced during MIS 9 (0.34 – 0.3 Ma) on the platform and  
524 the onlapping slope wedge. The MIS 8-6 (0.3 - 0.13 Ma) interval shows only accumulation of  
525 cemented material on the margin, forming a lowstand prograding wedge onlapping up to the  
526 platform edge and dowlapping down to the top of the slope (Fig. 7C). MIS 5 (0.13 – 0.07 Ma)  
527 repeats the depositional pattern of MIS 11 (0.42 – 0.37 Ma) with a reduced thickness and no  
528 backstepping of the marginal cemented accumulation. The sea-level fall during MIS 2-4 (0.07-  
529 0.01 Ma) leads to the deposition of another lowstand marginal wedge of cemented material. It

530 evolves into an aggrading margin with the Holocene transgression and the deposition of the  
531 platform and onlapping slope highstand deposits (Fig. 7C).

532 This experiment reconstructs fairly well the steepening trend and the present-day geometry of  
533 the profile (Fig. 7A). The well succession on the slope and platform is well reproduced,  
534 especially in terms of the different sedimentary packages and the total thickness (Fig. 9B).

535 However the thicknesses of the highstand packages after 0.33 Ma are always moderately  
536 underestimated (Fig. 9B). The repartition of the two simulated facies is in good agreement  
537 with the information from the wells. The highstand shedding pattern of off-bank mud export  
538 into onlapping slope wedges is well reproduced in this experiment. The « Aragonite mud » is  
539 accumulated on the inner platform and on the slope, in onlapping highstand wedges separated  
540 by aragonite poor glacial layers. The cemented facies accumulates in the margin in two  
541 positions. It creates lowstand marginal wedges during the platform emersion periods,  
542 especially during the MIS 16 (0.68 – 0.62 Ma) (, MIS 12 (0.48 – 0.42 Ma), MIS 8-6 (0.3 – 0.13  
543 Ma) and MIS 4-2 (0.07-0.01 Ma) intervals. Its ability to accumulate at steep slopes allows to fill  
544 part of the accommodation space available on the margin during these periods. The cemented  
545 facies also aggrades at the platform edge during flooding periods, maintaining a steep margin  
546 profile. However this affects the exact restitution of the platform depositional profile. Very  
547 flat-top platform morphology are created, as early as 1.5 Ma, whereas the present-day profile  
548 show a sloping geometry seaward of Clino and a more open margin (Fig. 7C). The RCT  
549 accumulation at the margin creates an inconsistently steep and shallow geometry.

550 The accumulation of cemented facies in lowstand marginal wedges allows for steepening of  
551 the profile . The and the progradation of the platform (Fig. 7C). The architecture obtained is  
552 that of a composite prograding margin. This experiment 2 proposes a satisfying forward  
553 reconstruction, but the exact nature and existence of the prograding cemented lowstand  
554 wedges need to be assessed.

### 555 **3.3 Experiment 3: Cemented margin during highstand only**

556 The results of experiment 3 stand in-between those of Experiment 1 and 2. Indeed it  
557 comprises a cemented facies, as Experiment 2, but the production after 0.8 Ma is nevertheless  
558 limited to the highstand periods (Fig. 8). Before 0.8 Ma the results are very similar to  
559 Experiment 1, with the same deficit of export to the slope, probably related to the relatively  
560 low value of the wave-diffusion coefficient (Fig. 9C and Table3). During the critical period  
561 between 0.8 and 0.42 Ma the production is limited to the margin area with the CCPE in a  
562 forced regression configuration (Fig. 7A and B). The reality of non-skeletal carbonate  
563 production for the CCPE accumulation during this period of very limited platform flooding is  
564 debatable. This is why reduced production values were attributed to this facies during this  
565 period (Fig. 6). After 0.42 Ma the results for the slope domain are similar to the Experiment 1,  
566 with very high sedimentation rates (Fig.8). The margin profile is however similar to Experiment  
567 2, but with less progradation (Fig. 7C). In the absence of lowstand production the cemented  
568 margin is simply aggrading, as proposed in Figure 3B.

569

570 In this last experiment, the general architecture is well reconstructed, except in the slope  
571 (excess of sediment) and in the reduced platform progradation. In contrast with experiment 1,  
572 the simulated section in Clino is fairly correct (Fig. 9C). Indeed the platform profile is well-  
573 reproduced in this scenario, with a steep margin escarpment but still a relatively convex and  
574 open platform to margin transition (Fig. 7A). This is a good validation of the reproduction of  
575 the Holocene platform edge depocenter described by Wilber et al. (1990). The accumulation of  
576 cemented material during highstand flooding periods allows building a steep leeward margin  
577 profile, but the major drawback of this simulation is the absence of progradation even with  
578 very high rates of export and sedimentation on the slope. In a transect dominated by leeward  
579 offbank transport and highstand shedding, the presence of the lowstand marginal wedges of  
580 experiment 2 still appear critical for the progradation of the platform.



### 581 **3.4 Platform-to-basin Stratigraphic evolution**

582 The DionisosFlow simulations defines two major periods for the Quaternary interval. They are  
583 separated by a transition interval between 0.8 and 0.4 Ma that corresponds to the transition  
584 from 41-kyr eustatic cycles to the 100-kyr eustatic cycles (Fig. 7D).

585 After the sea-level fall at 1.7 Ma, a well-developped coral reef progrades in the upper margin  
586 (Budd and Manfrino, 2001). The progradation of the reef and lagoon unit is clearly reproduced  
587 in all the Dionisosflow experiments. It is illustrated in well Clino by the vertical succession of  
588 coral framestone, coral floatstone and lagoonal mud until 70 mbsf (Manfrino and Ginsburg,  
589 2001) (Fig. 4 and Fig. 10). The Dionisos experiments correlate this growth episode with the  
590 1.7–1.4 Ma time interval which corresponds to a general sea-level rise (Fig. 7D). Deposition in  
591 the slope is limited and seems to start after the more widespread flooding of the platform  
592 landward of well Unda after 1.5 Ma (Fig. 8).

593 After the initial build-up of this aggrading/prograding reefal wedge at the margin, the  
594 simulated stratigraphy evolves towards a regressive pattern. Important changes take place  
595 during the normal to forced regressive interval (0.8 - 0.42 Ma) (Fig. 6) with very little  
596 accumulation on the platform (Fig. 7A). In Clino, a 10-m-thick lagoonal mud interval may  
597 indicate a significant flooding possibly related to the relative sea-level highstand of MIS 17  
598 (0.71 – 0.68 Ma) or MIS 19 (0.79 – 0.76 Ma). Otherwise the lithologic records in wells Clino and  
599 Unda for this period show reduced accumulation, with four emersion surfaces in 20 m of  
600 stacked platform deposits (WF D and E) (Fig. 4). This corresponds to the globally low sea-level  
601 values of the eustatic curve.

602 . This eustatic evolution between 0.8 and 0.42 Ma ensures that the sediment accumulation is  
603 limited to the margin domain (Fig. 8). The lower accumulation rates on the slope combined  
604 with the margin progradation lead a steepening of the profile.(Fig. 7A).

605 After the warming phase corresponding to the Mid-Brunhes Event at ~0.45 Ma (Reijmer et al.,  
606 2002; Montaggioni et al. 2015; Wunsch et al., 2018), the very large transgression of MIS 11

607 (0.42 – 0.37 Ma) is the first flooding of the whole GBB platform (Aurell et al., 1995; Kievmann  
608 et al., 1998). It is well expressed in the three simulations as well as in the platform wells (Fig.  
609 9). On the platform, a retrograding transgressive package compound of interlayered coral  
610 floestone and lagoonal mud correlates in experiment 2 with a major increase of “Aragonite  
611 ooze” sediment (Fig. 7A and Fig. 9). The coeval sediment bypass in the marginal escarpement  
612 and the thick onlapping deposit in the slope (53 m in well 1005) are well apparent in the three  
613 experiments (Fig. 7A). Flooding of the platform occurs during MIS 9 (0.34 – 0.3 Ma) and 5 (0.13  
614 – 0.07 Ma) (Aurell et al., 1995; Kievmann, 1998; Rendle and Reijmer, 2002) (Fig. 9). MIS 7 sea-  
615 level peak is distinctively lower (Lisiecki and Raymo, 2005; Miller et al., 2011) and might not  
616 have flooded the whole platform (Fig. 7D). Concerning the platform and margin, the detailed  
617 identification in wells and seismic record of the highstand packages between SSB B and A is  
618 relatively difficult (Eberli et al., 2013). Deposition during MIS 10-6 (0.37 – 0.13 Ma) appears  
619 very limited in Clino and Unda, with mixed skeletal/non skeletal accumulation in the former  
620 (Fig. 9). MIS 5 (0.13 – 0.07 Ma) highstand is instead well-associated with platform deposits in  
621 both Unda and Clino.

622 A remarkable result of the Dionisos simulation is the simulation of the actual sedimentation  
623 rates on the section (Fig. 8). They can reach up to 8 m/kyr during the MIS 11 (0.42 – 0.37 Ma)  
624 transgression. Such high-values are driven by the very short duration of effective  
625 sedimentation period and are similar to those of Wilber et al. (1990) for the Holocene. For  
626 instance the Holocene slope wedge with sedimentation rates above 5 m/kyr is mostly  
627 deposited after 5 kyr B.P., when the platform flooding is effective, and not since the beginning  
628 of MIS 1 (14 kyr BP) (Lisiecki and Raymo, 2005; Montaggioni et al., 2015; Chabaud et al., 2016).

## 629 **4 Discussion**

### 630 **4.1 Numerical experiments limitations**

631 Several limitations exist in the DionisosFlow numerical simulations, affecting the validity of the  
632 detailed simulated architectures. They do not suppress however the consequences of the initial  
633 design of the three numerical experiments on the obtained architecture, and the subsequent  
634 conclusions.

635 Sub-aerial erosion is mostly limited to carbonate dissolution in Present-day systems (Schlager  
636 et al., 2015). Values of 100 m/Myr or 250 m/Myr were inferred by Kolodka et al. (2016) and  
637 Montaggioni et al. (2015). Considering an emersion period of 0.1 Myr this would lead to a  
638 destruction of 10 to 25 m of platform material, which is up to half of the record for the  
639 simulated period on the platform. This is also not in agreement with the remarkable  
640 preservation of MIS 5 deposits all around the Bahamas archipelago (Aurell et al., 1995). As a  
641 consequence a no-erosion approximation was used in our simulations. This hypothesis  
642 provides a very tight control of the subsidence rate parameter.

643 A more accurate integration of erosion would bring valuable refinements to the problem.  
644 Gravity, karstic and wave erosion probably occur at the steep margin wall during subaerial  
645 exposure (Grammer and Ginsburg, 1992; Rankey and Doolittle, 2012; Fauquembergue et al.,  
646 2018). The interpreted seismic surfaces also show convex upward geometries at the platform  
647 edge that might suggest sub-aerial or wave erosion (Fig. 11B). These geometries are not very  
648 well reproduced, showing instead flatter architecture in the experiments (Fig. 11D).  
649 Improvement on the use of sub-aerial and shallow water transport model in further  
650 experiments could yield better results.

651 The high-resolution production and export balance on the platform is modelled without a  
652 specific model of the shallow hydrodynamic regime and transport. As a consequence, the  
653 values of the wave diffusion coefficient and the production function for the mud are not

654 independent. They have to be set together to achieve the amount of export required for the  
655 slope section in response to a given wave energy configuration at the platform edge. The  
656 simulated wave-energy field depends on the margin geometry as it is depth controlled. As the  
657 resulting wave energy pattern becomes more favorable, the diffusion coefficient needed for a  
658 given flux of sediment decreases. The volume of accommodation space is also positively  
659 affected by the off-bank export increase. As a result, both the production law and the diffusion  
660 coefficient must be jointly decreased in order to maintain the balance between platform  
661 accumulation and off-bank export. This is particularly notable for experiment 2, with the  
662 existence of a reefal shallow margin that strongly decreases the wave energy at the platform  
663 edge. The « aragonite ooze » facies is also produced on a very large platform area, more than  
664 100 km in W-E length (Harris et al., 2015), but here only a small section of 12 km is considered.  
665 The production values must be artificially raised to account for the actual amount of mud  
666 produced on a much larger surface.

667 As a consequence of the no-erosion hypothesis and the very high platform production values,  
668 the accommodation space on the platform is filled very quickly. This differs from the present-  
669 day GBB platform that appears partially underfilled (Harris et al., 2015). The total produced  
670 volume simulated is directly controlled by the total accommodation space created during a  
671 flooding phase. This leads to an overestimation of the sediment accumulation on the platform  
672 during a marked transgression like MIS 11. Then the available accommodation space for the  
673 following flooding phase like MIS 9 or 5 is artificially reduced. The discrete sampling of the  
674 eustasy curve contributes to this result by missing out the maximum peaks, especially for MIS  
675 5.

676 Here, the influence of contour currents is limited to an open lateral boundary condition. It  
677 controls the position of the lower slope periplatform drift in the experiments. The control of  
678 the morphology of the periplatform drift by the current action (Betzler et al., 2014; Wunsch et  
679 al., 2016) or by gravitational failures (Rendle and Reijmer, 2002; Principaud et al., 2015;

680 Principaud et al., 2016; Schnyder et al., 2016) are integrated into the diffusion approximation  
681 by the value of the diffusion coefficient for the “aragonite ooze”.

## 682 **4.2 Mechanisms and controls of the margin progradation**

### 683 **4.2.1 Importance of cemented facies at the margin**

684 All the three modeling experiments show a progradation of the platform for the interval 1.7-  
685 0.8 Ma through the construction of a reefal margin (Fig. 7B). After 0.8 Ma, only the  
686 experiments 2 and 3 show a progradation with an acceptable final profile geometry (Fig. 7C).  
687 The accumulation of early cemented material at the margin is essential in these two  
688 experiments to obtain these results. However they simulate two different marginal  
689 architectures originating from two different sedimentary systems. Experiment 2 is based on  
690 the development of marginal fringing reefs and an associated debris talus during platform  
691 emersion. This model is able to fill the accommodation space in front of the margin by  
692 accumulating material in this zone of steep topography during emersion periods. The resulting  
693 general architecture corresponds to a dominantly reefal margin with a filled lagoon.  
694 Experiment 3 model is based on the accumulation during highstand periods exclusively of  
695 coarse and cemented platform production at the platform edge and on the margin. The  
696 resulting general architecture is that of an open platform margin with a deeper prograding  
697 escarpment. These are two conceptual hypotheses that can be compared to available  
698 observations in the section and other margin depositional models.

### 699 **4.2.2 Lithological and stratigraphic characteristics of the prograding** 700 **margin**

701 In the model of Grammer and Ginsburg (1992) for the slopes of Tongue of The Ocean, MIS 2-4  
702 lowstand carbonate production is realized by fringing reefs feeding a steep (35-45°) cemented  
703 sand and debris talus. In their study on the LBB margin, Hine and Neumann (1977) observed

704 reefal growth on the leeward margin during the Holocene transgression. After the platform  
705 flooding they are buried however by the leeward export of platform sands. Coral floatstone  
706 intervals are observed until MIS 6 (0.19 – 0.13 Ma) in well Clino (Manfrino and Ginsburg, 2001)  
707 as well as several rare occurrences of coral debris in the OPD leg 166 cores for the Quaternary  
708 (Eberli et al., 1997a) (Fig. 4). All these observations indicate simply the persistence of coral  
709 production in fringing reefs (Hine and Neumann, 1977; Grammer and Ginsburg, 1992) after the  
710 regional peak of coral extinction described by Reijmer et al. (2002). This does not support the  
711 scenario of experiment 2 of a coral reefal margin constantly accumulating during flooding and  
712 emersion of the platform.

713 Indeed the role of coral production in the margin after 0.8 Ma appears much reduced  
714 compared to its extent in experiment 2. The margin is on the contrary dominated by the non-  
715 skeletal sand accumulation described by Hine and Neumann (1977) and Wilber et al. (1990) as  
716 modelled in experiment 3.

717 Interestingly the opposite trend is observed in the Pacific, with an increase in coral reef  
718 developments after MIS 11 (0.42 – 0.37 Ma) (Montaggioni et al., 2015). Contrasting regional  
719 environmental changes might be at play, but most probably the first cause of the relative  
720 disparition of coral reefs lies in the onset of large muddy production on the flooded platform  
721 (Hine and Neumann, 1977). However during emersion phases this inhibition is absent and  
722 coral production can be maintained in fringing reefs as proposed by Grammer and Ginsburg  
723 (1992).

724 Lowstand prograding marginal bodies have been described, by Grammer and Ginsburg (1992)  
725 as sandy talus sourced by a fringing reef factory. Mulder et al. (2017) identified possible coarse  
726 lowstand shelf-edge tidal deltas or gravity collapse deposits on the northern slope of the LBB .  
727 Betzler et al. (2016) also described MIS 2-1 coarse lowstand wedges on the slope of the  
728 Maldives atolls. They are dominated by rodoliths and large benthic foraminifers produced in  
729 situ. A relevant ancient analogue for lowstand wedges could be found in the Messinian

730 marginal reefal clinofolds described by Reolid et al. (2014) in the Cariatiz carbonate platform  
731 (Spain). Clinofolds are 80 m high and 200 m long bodies, prograding by redistribution of the  
732 coral and Halimeda marginal production and through episodes of mass-failure redeposition of  
733 very coarse debris during sea level falls. The very steep (30 -60 °) upper slope is preserved by  
734 early lithification of the corals by microbialith crusts.

735 In regards of these observations the hypothesis in Experiment 2 of coarse lowstand talus, with  
736 a fraction of coral component, appears conceivable. These wedges are critical in the  
737 experiments for the filling of the accommodation space in front of the margin (Cemented  
738 Lowstand Talus in Figure 10). However they do not have the development of the reefal margin  
739 observed before 0.8 Ma, during a different regime of eustatic oscillations (Manfrino and  
740 Ginsburg, 2001; Miller et al., 2011).

741

742 According to experiment 3, the escarpment is the site of accumulation of sandy platform  
743 material. This is compatible with the present-day observations on the GBB leeward margin  
744 (Wilber et al., 1990). The accumulation at the steep margin by cementation of the platform  
745 production would be comparable in geometry to the deep boundstone factory described by  
746 Playton et al. (2010). However in this case the progradation of the planar clinofolds of the  
747 margin is realized by the accumulation of debris apron resulting from frequent autogenic  
748 collapse. Such wedges of marginal progradation are lacking in experiment 3. As this non-  
749 skeletal sands observed in the Holocene corresponds only to flooding periods, we propose a  
750 conceptual model combining lowstand talus during emersion periods and aggrading platform  
751 edge sand bodies during flooding periods (Fig. 10).

752

753 As a conclusion the sedimentary accumulation at the margin has changed between 1.7 Ma and  
754 the Present-day. It has evolved from a reef-dominated margin to the Present-day cemented  
755 sand accumulation. The progradation of the platform before 0.8 Ma has been mostly realized

756 by a coral reef barrier. After 0.8 Ma the geometry obtained with experiment 2 is the more  
757 consistent with the observed Present-day geometry. It supports a conceptual model of  
758 lowstand cemented talus wedges alimeted by an undefined carbonate source, possibly reefal  
759 in part. It is distinct from the cemented non-skeletal sand accumulation deposited only during  
760 platform flooding periods in an aggrading pattern. The progradation of the margin after 0.8 Ma  
761 is realized by the succession of these two sandy and early cemented accumulations during  
762 emersion and flooding phases (Fig. 10).

### 763 **4.2.3 Extrinsic and intrinsic controls**

764 From the simulations results the interaction between extrinsic and intrinsic factors and the  
765 evolution of the margin architecture can be discussed.

766 The situation before 0.8 Ma of a partial flooding of the platform (Kievmann, 1998) appears  
767 more favorable to the progradation than later when the platform is completely flooded during  
768 every highstands. The change in the eustatic regime between 0.8 and 0.42 Ma with a net  
769 increase of accommodation space is influential in this change of sedimentary regime with the  
770 increase of non-skeletal production. After a regressive phase of accumulation on the margin,  
771 the sediment deposition is now concentrated on the platform and slope. The second period  
772 (0.42-0 Ma) corresponds to an overall increase in accommodation space, with higher  
773 amplitude sea-level rises and falls than during the first period and the abrupt transition from a  
774 sloping reefal margin to a steep cemented margin. The resulting comprehensive flooding of  
775 the GBB platform inhibits coral growth at the margin, and leads instead to the aggrading  
776 accumulation of non-skeletal sands at the margin (Fig. 10). This promotes a steepening of the  
777 margin profile, accentuating in turn the contrast between total emersion phases, with the  
778 development of a steep cemented talus *in front* of the margin, and the aggradation *on* the  
779 margin during flooding of the whole platform.



780 The very pronounced series of sea-level falls starting at 0.6 Myr also enhance the  
781 development of a steep marginal escarpment (Wilber et al., 1990; Eberli et al., 2004; Rankey  
782 and Doolittle, 2012; Mulder et al., 2017) (Fig. 7).

783 Chabaud et al. (2016) observed a similar major change in sedimentary regime at MIS 11 (0.43 –  
784 0.37 Ma) for the northern slope of the LBB, corresponding to the flooding of the whole bank.

785 Remarkably the higher sea-level has led to increased production and export of sediment to the  
786 slope (highstand shedding), but only to minor platform progradation (Fig. 6 and Fig. 7A). This  
787 modification of the sedimentary regime corresponds to the change of the margin geometry. In  
788 turns, it seems to be controlled by the type and mechanical properties of the carbonate  
789 production as well as by the eustatic regime. The progradation regime is not controlled  
790 primarily by the ratio of production rate and accommodation rate, but by the properties of the  
791 carbonate production. As the dominant muddy production cannot accumulate on the steep  
792 slopes inherited from the reefal progradation, it is built by early cementation of the coarse  
793 accumulations. The reduced volume and the geometry of this sandy platform edge depocenter  
794 favour an aggradational stacking pattern as observed in experiment 3 (Fig. 7C).

795

796 The activity of the Santaren Current is undoubtedly a major control parameter of the sediment  
797 accumulation on the slope and basin, especially of the geometry of slope wedges (Rendle and  
798 Reijmer, 2002; Betzler et al., 2014; Principaud et al., 2016; Wunsch et al., 2017; Wunsch et al.,  
799 2018). By preventing the sediment accumulation at the toe of slope, it enhances the  
800 steepening of the transect indifferently of the progradation or aggradation of the platform  
801 edge. It seems actually to have no direct influence on the margin geometry: in Wilber et al.  
802 (1990) the geometry of the platform-edge depocenter is very stable for all the transect,  
803 whereas the varying slope geometry further down is indeed related to spatial variations in the  
804 current activity. The active off-bank density currents (Wilson and Roberts, 1992; Betzler et al.,  
805 2014; Wunsch et al., 2016; Schnyder et al., 2018) are probably one of the main controls of the

806 aggrading geometry of the platform-edge depocenter. They create erosive plunge-pools at the  
807 base of the margin wall (Wilber et al., 1990; Schnyder et al., 2018), and probably limit  
808 sedimentary accumulation along the steep wall.

### 809 **4.3 Interpretation of the stratigraphic architecture in the seismic**

810 The high-resolution geometries and stacking patterns simulated with DionisosFlow offer a  
811 consistent template of the margin evolution (Fig. 7). The interpretation of the seismic  
812 geometries at the margin *per se* is difficult, as the cored wells do not really constrain the  
813 physiography of the margin domain. Moreover the reflectors can be difficult to pick in this area  
814 of high-frequency impedance contrasts and variations (Eberli et al., 2004). It is however  
815 possible to propose an interpretation of different genetic bodies using the results of the  
816 forward stratigraphic modeling (Fig. 11).

817 The seismic section of the margin was analyzed in terms of reflectors terminations: downlap,  
818 toplap and onlap distinguishing between coastal onlap due to deposition at the base-level on  
819 the platform or the margin and marine onlap due to deposition in the slope underwater.  
820 Reflectors with good amplitude and continuity and significant terminations relationships were  
821 highlighted. The variations in amplitude of the reflectors in the margin were also used by  
822 interpreting the very bright reflectors as indicative of early cementation.

823 SSB C is downlapped by a well-organized prograding unit on the flatter upper margin, with  
824 moderate amplitude and low continuity reflectors (Fig. 11B). Deposition is further reduced  
825 below 270 ms TWTT, on the steepest part of SSB C profile, down to a lower slope marine onlap  
826 at 400 ms TWTT.

827 More continuous but lower amplitude reflectors underline a forced regressive architecture,  
828 carrying the platform edge down to a remarkable downlapping/onlapping suspended body at  
829 200 ms TWTT. Accumulation is still reduced on the lower margin and the slope (Fig. 11B). As a  
830 consequence the steepening of the margin profile increases. This phase seems to end with a  
831 general retrogradation of the system highlighted by the development of a cemented surface at

832 the very edge of the platform. Deposition occurs then on the slope, with new marine onlap,  
833 and is also backstepping on the relatively flat platform up to the very bright reflector identified  
834 as SSB B (Fig. 11B).

835 This evolution is very consistent with the results of the numerical experiments. The forced  
836 regressive evolution between 0.8 and 0.42 Ma (Fig. 6) as well as the final retrogradation during  
837 MIS 11 (0.42 – 0.37 Ma) are well observed in all the experiments. The new profile of SSB B is  
838 more similar to the present-day profile than SSB C (Fig. 11C). .

839

840 Above 180 ms TWTT the geometries appear quite different on the margin (Fig. 11B). Platform-  
841 edge bodies, delimited by bright sub-horizontal reflectors and with internal downlaps can be  
842 identified with the platform edge depocenters described in Wilber et al. (1990) (Fig. 10). They  
843 are onlapped by steep margin wedges with very bright reflectors indicating probably cemented  
844 material. In the slope bodies with moderate amplitude and very continuous reflections are  
845 onlapping the steep margin (Fig. 11C). Downlapping cemented marginal wedges are observed  
846 in the seismic (Fig. 11B) similar to the cemented marginal wedges of experiment 2 (Fig. 7C).  
847 Coastal onlaps that indicate an accumulation during platform emersion especially MIS 6-8 and  
848 MIS 2-4 (Fig. 11B).

849 The interpretation of the margin stacking pattern in the seismic yields an architecture  
850 consistent with the numerical results. The early geometries show the progradation of a reefal  
851 margin. The progradation/aggradation after 0.42 Ma appears to involve platform edge bodies  
852 and prograding marginal cemented wedges. The observed geometry of the present-day  
853 Holocene mud wedge stands out from the earlier MIS 5 or 11 slope periplatform wedge, but it  
854 might not correspond to the preserved highstand geometry (Fig. 11C).

## 855 **5 Conclusions**

856 The use of numerical forward stratigraphic modelling allowed us to investigate the high-  
857 resolution evolution of the Quaternary leeward margin of the GBB. Maximum information has  
858 been extracted from the quality data of the platform and slope wells, as well as the  
859 reprocessed seismic of Wunsch et al. (2018) by comparison with the results of several  
860 conceptual models.

861 This study has shown that the evolution of the margin during the Quaternary interval of  
862 interest (1.7 – 0 Ma) can be divided in two different phases, with a transition period between  
863 0.8 and 0.42 Ma. The first period (1.7–0.8 Ma) corresponds to a period of partial flooding of  
864 the platform and progradation of dominantly coral reef and lagoon system. The second period  
865 (0.8-0.42 Ma) corresponds to a period of short and discrete flooding episodes of the whole  
866 platform triggering massive muddy offbank transport, and lowstand periods of margin  
867 accumulation and progradation.

868 The evolution of the transect architecture during this period cannot be explained only by the  
869 offbank transport of the fine-grained platform production. The Present-day sedimentary  
870 architecture and profile is actually only indicative of the recent Holocene platform-flooding  
871 highstand conditions. It has been shown that different sedimentary regimes have probably  
872 existed during the Quaternary not only before MIS 11 transgression (0.42-0.37 Ma) but also  
873 after during the long-duration emersion periods.

874 The numerical investigation shed some light on the controls of the margin architecture and the  
875 progradation of the platform. The margin profile as interpreted in the seismic and observed at  
876 present day seems always due to the marginal accumulation of a cemented or bio-constructed  
877 material, different from the fine-grained inner platform-production exported off-bank. This  
878 marginal material is well known before 0.8 Myr as a coral reef drilled in Clino. The numerical  
879 experiments do not give an unequivocal identification of the undrilled material after this date.

880 It shows nevertheless that the Present-day platform edge accumulation of platform sands is  
881 not sufficient to explain the architecture of the observed Present-day margin.

882 The experiments demonstrated the role of lowstand marginal accumulation in the  
883 progradation of a leeward carbonate margin. A carbonate factory has to be producing and  
884 accumulating at the steep margin area during platform emersion. Its characteristics differ from  
885 the fine-grained Present-day platform production to be able to accumulate there, meaning  
886 coarser grain sizes, cementation or bio-construction. Such a lowstand component is not visible  
887 in studies of the slope area, as shown in our experiments, and can be overlooked in the model  
888 based primarily on geophysical and sedimentary data from the slope (Principaud et al., 2016b;  
889 Wunsch et al., 2016; Wunsch et al., 2018). However coarse and cemented lowstands prisms  
890 have been described in recent systems, notably in the Maldives by Betzler et al. (2016) and in  
891 the Bahamas by Grammer and Ginsburg (1992). In that latter case the carbonate source  
892 proposed was lowstand fringing coral reefs, but other carbonate sources like red algae or large  
893 benthic foraminifera could be considered as well (Betzler et al., 2016).

894 The evolution of the architecture of the platform-to basin transect during the Quaternary  
895 appeared controlled by the eustasy as well as the characteristics of the carbon production.  
896 Eustasy produces a strong control on the timing of the evolution but the geometry is  
897 controlled by the carbonate production. The diffusive DionisosFlow model highlights the  
898 critical role of the stability domain of carbonate grains and early cementation (Kenter, 1990;  
899 Playton, 2010) to build the steep margin/low angle slope accretionary profile of the GBB  
900 leeward slope.

901 The change in carbonate production after 0.42 cannot be disconnected from the evolution of  
902 the eustatic regime toward high transgression of 100-kyr cycles. The resulting comprehensive  
903 flooding of the platform and large leeward off-bank transport of sediments was probably an  
904 important factor in the demise of large coral reefs. The diminishing importance of the coral  
905 production is coeval with a reduction of the progradation rate of the system.

906 This high-resolution forward modeling approach could be implemented in on a wider extent on  
907 the well mapped Leeward GBB Bahamas slope (Principaud et al., 2016; Wunsch et al., 2018), or  
908 other slopes. A 3D approach could fully integrate the effect of the contour currents. This  
909 approach could be conducted further for “source-to-sink” estimations of production, transport  
910 and deposition balances of sediments in the whole carbonate system. The inputs of the data  
911 from the Bahamas Drilling Project wells Clino and Unda (Ginsburg et al., 2001) were invaluable  
912 in this study. New subsurface drilling investigations of present-day carbonate margin can bring  
913 major insights in the dynamic and controls of carbonate platforms.

914

915

916

#### 917 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

918 The authors thank Gregor Eberli for his insightful comments and for his discussion on the  
919 present-day GBB margin and on the acquisition of the seismic dataset.

920 This work is sponsored by the TOTAL R&D in the « Carbonates project » and has been realized  
921 in the framework of the Research Chair « Sedimentology and reservoir modelling » funded by  
922 TOTAL at IFP School and owned by P. Joseph.

923 J. Busson’s Ph.D. Grant is also funded by TOTAL.

924

925 **DECLARATION OF INTERESTS:** none

926

927

#### 928 **REFERENCES CITED**

929 Anselmetti, F. S., Eberli, G. P., Ding, Z. D., 2000. From the Great Bahama Bank into the Straits of  
930 Florida: A margin architecture controlled by sea-level fluctuations and ocean current. Bulletin  
931 of the Geological Society of America 112 (6), 829–844.

932 Aurell, M., McNeill, D. F., Guyomard, T., & Kindler, P., 1995. Pleistocene Shallowing-Upward  
933 Sequences in New Providence, Bahamas: Signature of High-Frequency Sea-Level Fluctuations  
934 in Shallow Carbonate Platforms. *Journal of Sedimentary Research*, 65B (1b), 170–182.

935 Aurell, M., Badenas, B., Bosence, D. W. J., Waltham, D. A., 1998. Carbonate production and  
936 offshore transport on a Late Jurassic carbonate ramp (Kimmeridgian, Iberian basin, NE Spain):  
937 evidence from outcrops and computer modelling. *Geological Society, London, Special  
938 Publications* 149 (1), 137–161.

939 Berra, F., Lanfranchi, A., Smart, P. L., Whitaker, F. F., & Ronchi, P., 2016. Forward modelling of  
940 carbonate platforms: Sedimentological and diagenetic constraints from an application to a flat-  
941 topped greenhouse platform (Triassic, Southern Alps, Italy). *Marine and Petroleum Geology*  
942 78, 636-655.

943 Betzler, C., Reijmer, J. J. G., Bernet, K., Eberli, G. P., Anselmetti, F. S., 1999. Sedimentary  
944 patterns and geometries of the Bahamian outer carbonate ramp (Miocene-Lower Pliocene,  
945 Great Bahama Bank). *Sedimentology* 46 (6), 1127–1143.

946 Betzler, C., Lindhorst, S., Eberli, G. P., Lüdmann, T., Möbius, J., Ludwig, J., ... Hübscher, C., 2014.  
947 Periplatform drift: The combined result of contour current and off-bank transport along  
948 carbonate platforms. *Geology* 42 (10), 871–874.

949 Betzler C., Hübscher C., Lindhorst S., Lüdmann T., Reijmer J. J.G., Braga J.-C., 2016. Lowstand  
950 wedges in carbonate platform slopes (Quaternary, Maldives, Indian Ocean). *The Depositional  
951 Record* 2016 2 (2), 196–207

952 Budd, A. F., & Manfrino, C. M., 2001. Coral assemblages and reef environments in the Bahamas  
953 Drilling Project Cores. In *Subsurface Geology of a Prograding Carbonate Platform Margin, Great  
954 Bahama Bank: Results of the Bahamas Drilling Project* 70, 41–60.

955 Caspard, E., Rudkiewicz, J. L., Eberli, G. P., Brosse, E., Renard, M., 2004. Massive dolomitization  
956 of a Messinian reef in the Great Bahama Bank: A numerical modelling evaluation of Kohout  
957 geothermal convection. *Geofluids* 4 (1), 40–60.

958 Chabaud, L., Ducassou, E., Tournadour, E., Mulder, T., Reijmer, J. J. G., Conesa, G., Ross, 2016.  
959 Sedimentary processes determining the modern carbonate periplatform drift of Little Bahama  
960 Bank. *Marine Geology* 378, 213–229.

961 Csato, I., Catuneanu, O., Granjeon, D., 2014. Millennial-Scale Sequence Stratigraphy: Numerical  
962 Simulation With Dionisos. *Journal of Sedimentary Research* 84 (5), 394-406.

963 Eberli, G. P., & Ginsburg, R. N., 1987. Segmentation and coalescence of Cenozoic carbonate  
964 platforms, northwestern Great Bahama Bank. *Geology* 15 (1), 75–79.

965 Eberli, G. P., 1988. 21. Physical properties of carbonate turbidite sequences surrounding the  
966 Bahamas – implication for slope stability and fluid movements. In Austin J.A., Jr., Schlager, W.  
967 et al., *Proceedings of the Ocean Drilling Program. Scientific results* 101, 305-314.

968 Eberli, G.P., Swart P.K., Malone M.J., and al., 1997a. *Proceedings of the Ocean Drilling Program,*  
969 *Initial Reports*, 166. Ocean Drilling Program. College Station, TX.

970 Eberli, G.P., Swart, P. K., McNeill, D. F., Kenter, J.A.M, Anselmetti, F.S., Melim, L.A., Ginsburg,  
971 R.N., 1997b. A synopsis of the Bahamas Drilling Project: Results from two deep core borings  
972 drilled on the Great Bahama Bank. In Eberli, G.P., Swart, P.K., Malone, M.J., et al. (Eds)  
973 *Proceedings of the Ocean Drilling Program. Initial Reports. Leg 166. Ocean Drilling Program.*  
974 *College Station, TX.*

975 Eberli G.P., 2000. 16. The record of Neogene sea-level changes in the prograding carbonates  
976 along the Bahamas transect – Leg 166 synthesis, in Swart P.K., Eberli G.P., Malone M.J., and  
977 Sarg J.F. (Eds.), *Proceedings of the Ocean Drilling Program, Scientific. Results. Vol. 166*

978 Eberli, G.P., Anselmetti, F. S., Kenter, J.A.M., McNeill, D.F., Melim, L.A., 2001. Calibration of  
979 Seismic Sequence Stratigraphy with Cores and Logs in Subsurface Geology of a Prograding  
980 Carbonate Platform Margin, Great Bahama Bank: Results of the Bahamas Drilling Project 70.

981 Eberli, G. P., Anselmetti, F. S., Kroon, D., Sato, T., Wright, J. D., 2002. The chronostratigraphic  
982 significance of seismic reflections along the Bahamas Transect. *Marine Geology* 185, 1–17.



983 Eberli, G. P., Anselmetti, F.S., Betzler, C., Van Konijnenburg, J.-H., Bernouilli, D., 2004.  
984 Carbonate Platform to Basin Transitions on Seismic Data and in Outcrops: Great Bahama Bank  
985 and the Maiella Platform Margin, Italy. *Seismic Imaging of Carbonate Reservoirs and Systems:*  
986 *AAPG Memoir 81*, 207–250.

987 Eberli, G. P., 2013. The uncertainties involved in extracting amplitude and frequency of  
988 orbitally driven sea-level fluctuations from shallow-water carbonate cycles. *Sedimentology* 60  
989 (1), 64–84.

990 Fauquembergue, K., Ducassou, E., Mulder, T., Hanquiez, V., Perello, M. C., Poli, E., Borgomano,  
991 J. 2018. Genesis and growth of a carbonate Holocene wedge on the northern Little Bahama  
992 Bank. *Marine and Petroleum Geology* 96, 602-614.

993 Ginsburg, R. N., 2001. Subsurface geology of a prograding carbonate platform margin, Great  
994 Bahama Bank: Results of the Bahamas Drilling Project. *SEPM Special Publications* 70

995 Grammer, G. M., Ginsburg, R. N., 1992. Highstand versus lowstand deposition on carbonate  
996 platform margins: insight from Quaternary foreslopes in the Bahamas. *Marine Geology*, 103(1–  
997 3), 125–136.

998 Granjeon, D., Joseph, P., 1999. Concepts and applications of a 3D multiple lithology, diffusive  
999 model in stratigraphic modeling. *SEPM Special Publication* 62(May), 197–210.

1000 Granjeon, D., 2014. 3D forward modelling of the impact of sediment transport and base level  
1001 cycles on continental margins and incised valleys. In Martinius, A. W., Ravnås, R., Howell R. J.,  
1002 ,Steel, J. A., Wonham J. P., *From Depositional Systems to Sedimentary Successions on the*  
1003 *Norwegian Continental Margin*. International Association of Sedimentologists. Gent.

1004 Harris, P. M. M., Purkis, S. J., Ellis, J., Swart, P. K., Reijmer, J. J. G., 2015. Mapping bathymetry  
1005 and depositional facies on Great Bahama Bank. *Sedimentology*, 62 (2), 566–589.

1006 Henderson, G. M., Rendle, R. H., Slowey, N. C., & Reijmer, J. J. G., 2000. U-Th dating and  
1007 diagenesis of Pleistocene highstand sediments from the Bahamas slope. *Proceedings of the*  
1008 *Ocean Drilling Program: Scientific Results* 166, 23–31.

1009 Hine, A. C. Neumann, A.C., 1977. Shallow carbonate-bank-margin growth and structure, Little  
1010 Bahama Bank, Bahamas. AAPG Bulletin 61 (3), 376–406.

1011 Hunt, D., Tucker, M. E., 1992. Stranded parasequences and the forced regressive wedge  
1012 systems tract: deposition during base-level fall. *Sedimentary Geology* 81 (1–2), 1–9.

1013 Hunt, D., Tucker, M., 1993. The middle Cretaceous Urganian platform of southeastern France,  
1014 in Simo, J. A. T., Scott, R. W., Masse, J-P., *Cretaceous Carbonate Platforms*, American  
1015 Association of Petroleum Geologist 56, 409-453.

1016 Jo, A., Eberli, G. P., & Grasmueck, M., 2015. Margin collapse and slope failure along  
1017 southwestern Great Bahama Bank. *Sedimentary Geology* 317, 43–52.

1018 Kenter, J. A. M., 1990. Carbonate platform flanks: slope angle and sediment fabric.  
1019 *Sedimentology* 37 (5), 777–794.

1020 Kenter, J. A. M., Ginsburg, R. N., & Troelstra, S. R., 2001. Sea-level-driven sedimentation  
1021 patterns on the slope and margin. In *Subsurface Geology of a Prograding Carbonate Platform*  
1022 *Margin, Great Bahama Bank. Results of the Bahamas Drilling Project 70*, pp. 61–100.

1023 Kievman, C. M. 1998. Match between late Pleistocene Great Bahama Bank and deep-sea  
1024 oxygen isotope records of sea level. *Geology* 26 (7), 635–638.

1025 Kolodka, C., Vennin, E., Bourillot, R., Granjeon, D., Desaubliaux, G., 2016. Stratigraphic  
1026 modelling of platform architecture and carbonate production: a Messinian case study (Sorbas  
1027 Basin, SE Spain). *Basin Research* 28 (5), 658-684.

1028 Lanteaume C., Fournier F., Borgomano J., Pellerin M., Testing geological assumptions and  
1029 experiments in carbonate exploration: insights from integrated stratigraphic, diagenetic and  
1030 seismic forward modeling, *Leading Edge* 37 (9), 672-680.

1031 Lavoie, D., 1988. Geotechnical properties of sediments in a carbonate-slope environment:  
1032 Ocean drilling Program site 630, Northern Little Bahamas Bank, in Austin, J. A., Jr., Schlager,  
1033 W., et al., *Proceedings of the Ocean Drilling Program, Scientific Results*, 101

1034 Lisiecki, L. E., & Raymo, M. E., 2005. A Pliocene-Pleistocene stack of 57 globally distributed  
1035 benthic  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  records. *Paleoceanography* 20 (1), 1–17.

1036 Malone, M. J., Slowey, N. C., & Henderson, G. M., 2001. Early diagenesis of shallow-water  
1037 periplatform carbonate sediments, leeward margin, Great Bahama Bank (Ocean Drilling  
1038 Program Leg 166). *Bulletin of the Geological Society of America* 113 (7), 881–894.

1039 MacIreath I.A., James N.P., 1978. Facies Models 13. Carbonate slopes. *Geoscience Canada* 5, 4,  
1040 189-199

1041 Manfrino, C. M., & Ginsburg, R. N., 2001. Pliocene to Pleistocene deposition history of the  
1042 Upper Platform Margin. *Subsurface Geology of a Prograding Carbonate Platform Margin, Great  
1043 Bahama Bank: Results of the Bahamas Drilling Project 70*, 17–40.

1044 McNeill, D. F., Eberli, G. P., Lidz, B. H., Swart, P. K., & Kenter, J. A. M. , 2001. Chronostratigraphy  
1045 of a prograded carbonate platform margin: a record of dynamic slope sedimentation, Western  
1046 Great Bahama Bank. In *Subsurface Geology of a Prograding Carbonate Platform Margin, Great  
1047 Bahama Bank: Results of the Bahamas Drilling Project 70*, pp. 101–136.

1048 Melim, L. A., Westphal, H., Swart, P. K., Eberli, G. P., Munnecke, A. , 2002. Questioning  
1049 carbonate diagenetic paradigms: Evidence from the Neogene of the Bahamas. *Marine Geology*  
1050 185 (1–2), 27–53.

1051 Miller, K.G., G.S. Mountain, J.D. Wright, and J.V. Browning., 2011. A 180-million-year record of  
1052 sea level and ice volume variations from continental margin and deep-sea isotopic  
1053 records. *Oceanography* 24 (2) ,40–53.

1054 Montaggioni, L. F., Borgomano, J., Fournier, F., Granjeon, D., 2015. Quaternary atoll  
1055 development: New insights from the two-dimensional stratigraphic forward modelling of  
1056 Mururoa Island (Central Pacific Ocean). *Sedimentology* 62 (2), 466–500.

1057 Mulder T., Alexander J., 2001. Abrupt change in slope causes variation in the deposit thickness  
1058 of concentrated particle-driven density currents. *Marine Geology* 175, 221-235.

1059 Mulder, T., Ducassou, E., Eberli, G. P., Hanquiez, V., Gonthier, E., Kindler, P., Pakiades, M. ,  
1060 2012. New insights into the morphology and sedimentary processes along the western slope of  
1061 Great Bahama Bank. *Geology* 40 (7), 603–606.

1062 Mulder, T., Joumes, M., Hanquiez, V., Gillet, H., Reijmer, J. J. G., Tournadour, E., ... Busson, J.  
1063 ,2017. Carbonate slope morphology revealing sediment transfer from bank-to-slope (Little  
1064 Bahama Bank, Bahamas). *Marine and Petroleum Geology* 83, 26–34.

1065 Mullins H.T., Neumann A.C., Wilber R.J., Boardman M.A., 1980. Nodular Carbonate sediment  
1066 on Bahamian slopes: possible precursors to nodular limestones. *Journal of Sedimentary  
1067 Petrology* 50 I, 0117-0131.

1068 Mullins, H. T., Wise, S. W., Gardulski, A. F., Hinchey, E. J., Masters, P. M., & Siegel, D. I., 1985.  
1069 Shallow subsurface diagenesis of Pleistocene periplatform ooze: northern Bahamas.  
1070 *Sedimentology* 32 (4), 473–494.

1071 Mullins H.T., Cook, H. E., 1986. Carbonate apron models: alternative to the submarine fan  
1072 model for paleoenvironmental analysis and hydrocarbon exploration. *Sedimentary Geology* 48,  
1073 37-79

1074 Munnecke, A., Westphal, H., Reijmer, J. J. G., Samtleben, C. , 1997. Microspar development  
1075 during early marine burial diagenesis: A comparison of Pliocene carbonates from the Bahamas  
1076 with Silurian limestones from Gotland (Sweden). *Sedimentology* 44 (6), 977–990.

1077 Playton, T. E., Janson, X., Kerans, C., 2010. Carbonate Slopes 18. In James, N. P., Dalrymple, R.  
1078 W. (Eds.), *Facies 4*. Geological Association of Canada. St. John's, Newfoundland, Canada, 449-  
1079 476.

1080 Pomar, L., Haq, B. U., 2016. Decoding depositional sequences in carbonate systems: Concepts  
1081 vs experience. *Global and Planetary Change* 146, 190-225.

1082 Principaud, M., Mulder, T., Gillet, H., Borgomano, J., 2015. Large-scale carbonate submarine  
1083 mass-wasting along the northwestern slope of the Great Bahama Bank (Bahamas):  
1084 Morphology, architecture, and mechanisms. *Sedimentary Geology* 317, 27–42.

1085 Principaud, M., Ponte, J. P., Mulder, T., Gillet, H., Robin, C., Borgomano, J., 2016. Slope-to-  
1086 basin stratigraphic evolution of the northwestern Great Bahama Bank (Bahamas) during the  
1087 Neogene to Quaternary: interactions between downslope and bottom currents deposits. *Basin*  
1088 *Research* 29 (6), 699–724.

1089 Principaud, M., Mulder, T., Hanquiez, V., Ducassou, E., Eberli, G., Chabaud, L., Borgomano, J.,  
1090 2018. Recent morphology and sedimentary processes along the western slope of Great  
1091 Bahama Bank (Bahamas). *Sedimentology* 65 (6), 2088-2116

1092 Rabineau, M., Berne, S., Aslanian, D., Olivet, J.-L., Joseph, P., Guillocheau, F., Bourillet, J.-F.,  
1093 Ledrezen, E., Granjeon, D., 2005. Sedimentary sequences in the Gulf of Lion: A record of  
1094 100,000 years climatic cycles. *Marine and Petroleum Geology*. 22 (6-7), 775-804

1095 Rankey, E. C., Doolittle, D. F., 2012. Geomorphology of carbonate platform-marginal  
1096 uppermost slopes: Insights from a Holocene analogue, Little Bahama Bank, Bahamas.  
1097 *Sedimentology* 59 (7), 2146–2171.

1098 Reijmer, J., Betzler, C., Kroon, D., Tiedemann, R., Eberli, G., 2002. Bahamian carbonate platform  
1099 development in response to sea-level changes and the closure of the Isthmus of Panama.  
1100 *International Journal of Earth Sciences* 91 (3), 482–489.

1101 Reijmer, J. J. G., Mulder, T., Borgomano, J., 2015. Carbonate slopes and gravity deposits.  
1102 *Sedimentary Geology* 317, 1–8.

1103 Rendle, R. H., Reijmer, J. J. G., Kroon, D., & Henderson, G. M., 2000. 6. Mineralogy and  
1104 Sedimentology of the Pleistocene to Holocene on the Leeward Margin of Great Bahama Bank.  
1105 In Swart, P.K., Eberli, G.P., Malone, M.J., and Sarg, J.F. (Eds.), 2000 Proceedings of the Ocean  
1106 Drilling Program, Scientific Results 166.

1107 Rendle, R. H., Reijmer, J. J. G., 2002. Quaternary slope development of the western, leeward  
1108 margin of the Great Bahama Bank. *Marine Geology* 185 (1–2), 143–164.

1109 Reolid, J., Betzler, C., Braga, J. C., Martín, J. M., Lindhorst, S., & Reijmer, J. J. G., 2014. Reef  
1110 slope geometries and facies distribution: Controlling factors (Messinian, SE Spain). *Facies* 60  
1111 (3), 737–753.

1112 Schlager, W., & James, N. P., 1978. Low-magnesian calcite limestones forming at the deep-sea  
1113 floor, Tongue of the Ocean, Bahamas. *Sedimentology* 25 (5), 675–702.

1114 Schlager, W. and Ginsburg, R.N., 1981. Bahama carbonate platforms: the deep and the past. In  
1115 M.B. Cita and W.B.F. Ryan (Eds), *Carbonate Platforms of the Passive-Type Continental Margins,*  
1116 *Present and Past.* *Mar. Geol.* 44, 1-24.

1117 Schlager, W., Reijmer, J. J. G., & Droxler, A., 1994. Highstand Shedding of Carbonate Platforms.  
1118 *Journal of Sedimentary Research* B64 (3), 270–281.

1119 Schlager, W., 2005. *Carbonate Sedimentology and Sequence Stratigraphy.* *SEPM Concepts in*  
1120 *Sedimentology and Paleontology* 8.

1121 Schnyder, J. S. D., Eberli, G. P., Betzler, C., Wunsch, M., Lindhorst, S., Schiebel, L., Ducassou, E  
1122 and al., 2018. Morphometric analysis of plunge pools and sediment wave fields along western  
1123 Great Bahama Bank. *Marine Geology* 397, 15-28.

1124 Seard, C., Borgomano, J., Granjeon, D., Camoin, G., 2013. Impact of environmental parameters  
1125 on coral reef development and drowning: Forward modelling of the last deglacial reefs from  
1126 Tahiti (French Polynesia; IODP Expedition #310). *Sedimentology* 60 (6), 1357-1388.

1127 Spence, G. H., Tucker, M. E., 1997. Genesis of limestone megabreccias and their significance in  
1128 carbonate sequence stratigraphic models: a review. *Sedimentary Geology* 112 (3), 163–193.

1129 Warrlich, G., Bosence, D., Waltham, D., Wood, C., Boylan, A., Badenas, B., 2008. 3D  
1130 stratigraphic forward modelling for analysis and prediction of carbonate platform  
1131 stratigraphies in exploration and production. *Marine and Petroleum Geology* 25 (1), 35–58.

1132 Wilber, R. J., Milliman, J. D., & Halley, R. B., 1990. Accumulation of bank-top sediment on the  
1133 western slope of Great Bahama Bank: rapid progradation of a carbonate megabank. *Geology*.

1134 Wilson, P. A., & Roberts, H. H., 1992. Carbonate-periplatform sedimentation by density flows:  
1135 a mechanism for rapid off-bank and vertical transport of shallow-water fines. *Geology* 20 (8),  
1136 713–716.

1137 Wunsch, M., Betzler, C., Lindhorst, S., Lüdmann, T., & Eberli, G. P., 2017. Sedimentary  
1138 dynamics along carbonate slopes (Bahamas archipelago). *Sedimentology* 64 (3), 631–657.

1139 Wunsch, M., Betzler, C., Eberli, G. P., Lindhorst, S., Lüdmann, T., Reijmer, J. J. G., 2018.  
1140 Sedimentary dynamics and high-frequency sequence stratigraphy of the southwestern slope of  
1141 Great Bahama Bank. *Sedimentary Geology* 363, 96–117.

1142

1143

1144

1145 **TABLE CAPTIONS**

1146 Table 1: Age, Depth and time position of this study stratigraphic markers in the six wells,  
1147 compared with the previous publications on this transect.

1148 Table 2: The five lithofacies identified in the six wells of the study, with their lithology,  
1149 depositional environment and, mineralogic and diagenetic fabric.

1150 Table 3: Values of transport coefficients for the DionisosFlow simulation.

1151 Table 4 : Definition of the simulated lithofacies from the output of the DionisosFlow  
1152 simulations.

1153

1154

1155

1156

1157 **FIGURE CAPTIONS**

1158 Figure 1. A: General location of the Great Bahama Bank (GBB) and the leeward western slope.

1159 In orange, the area surveyed by the Carambar Leg 1 mission (Mulder et al., 2012), with, in red,

1160 the interpreted transect. B: Multibeam bathymetry of the western GBB slope (Principaud et al.,  
1161 2016) with the position of the “Western line” Seismic transect (Eberli and Ginsburg, 1987), the  
1162 ODP 166 Wells (Eberli et al., 1997a) and the BDP Platform wells (Ginsburg et al., 2001).

1163 Figure 2. « Western Line » platform to basin seismic transect and the six reference wells. A:  
1164 Original seismic data. B: Sedimentary sequences interpreted on the Western line, following  
1165 Eberli et al., 1997a nomenclature. The six post-Miocene seismic sequences interpreted by  
1166 Eberli et al., 1997b are displayed. The “Quaternary” (1.7 – 0 Myr) refers here to the specific  
1167 interval studied here. The seismic data come, from left to right, from the Carambar 1 HR data  
1168 published in Principaud et al., 2016; in the box, the reprocessing of the “Western line” original  
1169 acquisition published by Wunsch et al., 2018, superimposed on the original “Western Line”  
1170 data as published by Eberli and Ginsburg, 1987.

1171 Figure 3. Present-day geometry of the leeward GBB margin, after Wilber et al. (1990), and  
1172 geometrical implications for the stacking pattern. A: Observed Schematic section of the  
1173 depositional geometry for the Holocene and the previous interglacial stage, after the drawing  
1174 of Eberli et al. (2004; Figure 17 C) and the section of Wilber et al. (1990; Fig. 3). B: Conceptual  
1175 scheme of the aggrading stacking pattern resulting from the accumulation of the Holocene  
1176 deposits of A) minimizing the supplementary material needed. C: Conceptual prograding  
1177 stacking pattern resulting from the accumulation of the Holocene deposits of A) plus  
1178 supplementary material in the marginal zone.

1179 Fig. 4. Well correlation for the ODP wells 1003 to 1005 and the two BDP wells Clino and Unda  
1180 showing the lithofacies interpretation corresponding to table see Table 2) and the identified  
1181 stratigraphic markers (described in section 2.1). Left-top corner: Wells positions in the  
1182 “Western Line” (see Fig. 1B and Fig. 2).

1183 For the BDP wells Clino and Unda, from left to right, we present the interpreted lithofacies and  
1184 the detailed lithological description of Manfrino and Ginsburg (2001). For the ODP 166 wells,  
1185 from left to right, the interpreted lithofacies and the lithological description of Eberli et al.



1186 (1997), for well 1005 the ODP Vp seismic velocity (core (red) and log (black) data of Eberli et al.  
1187 (1997a)), and the XRD mineralogical composition modified from Eberli et al. (1997a). In the  
1188 middle the time evolution of the accommodation on platform calculated from the eustatic  
1189 curve of Miller et al. (2011) with our hypothesis of constant subsidence rate of 34.1 m/Myr.

1190 Figure 5. Schematic of the three experimental designs for the DionisosFlows simulations after  
1191 0.8 Myr. Before this date they are all similar to Experiment 2.

1192 Figure 6. DionisosFlow production laws. Left: the normalized production profiles for the three  
1193 producers. Right: time evolution of the maximum production value for the three producers,  
1194 with the difference between Exp.2 and Exp 1&3 for the aragonite ooze production. The  
1195 production of the CCPE facies (in Exp. 3) is discontinuous with time.

1196 Figure 7. Simulated sections at 0 Myr. A: Age results for the three experiments, following the  
1197 color chart presented in D). B: Lithofacies results for the three experiments, according to table  
1198 3. The black line indicates the observed present-day profile. C: Detail view of the age results of  
1199 the experiments for the margin and slope. D: Eustatic Sea-level variations for the simulated  
1200 interval, after Miller et al. (2011) in black line, and selected data points for the DionisosFlow  
1201 simulation (in red). The color indicates different time periods, corresponding after 0.8 Myr to  
1202 MIS 19 to 1.

1203 Figure 8. Wheeler diagram for the three experiments results, expressing the sedimentation  
1204 rate. The position of the wells is indicated. On the right the eustatic sea-level variations for the  
1205 simulated interval, after Miller et al. (2011) in black line, and selected data points for the  
1206 DionisosFlow simulation (in red). The color indicates different time periods, corresponding  
1207 after 0.8 Myr to MIS 19 to 1.

1208 Figure 9. Basin to platform well correlation showing side by side the interpreted well and  
1209 modelled deposits at the well location (right and left, respectively). The interpreted lithofacies  
1210 log is represented on the right. On the left the simulated lithofacies column is shown, with the

1211 « aragonite ooze » facies composition log (solid line) in opposition to the sedimentation rate  
1212 log (in dashed black line).

1213 Figure 10. Conceptual model of margin and slope architecture for the GBB leeward slope  
1214 during the 100-kyr large sea-level oscillation period (0.45 - 0 Myr)

1215 Figure 11. High-resolution seismic interpretation for the GBB leeward margin on the data from  
1216 Wunsch et al. (2018) and Eberli and Ginsburg (1987). From top to bottom: A) original seismic  
1217 data, B) High-resolution interpretation, with the downlap (red), toplap (blue), marine onlap  
1218 (light blue) and coastal onlap (light green). The colored line corresponds to the seismic surfaces  
1219 identified by Eberli et al. (1997a), the black line to the additional time-line reflectors identified  
1220 in this study. C) The interpreted margin section, with the stratigraphic stages colored as in  
1221 Fig.7. D) Simulated margin section from Experiment 2, with the same time-color code from fig.  
1222 7. This section is a projection of the seismic line orthogonal to the slope, therefor the  
1223 bathymetric profile is steeper and the horizontal scales are not matched.

1224 TABLES & FIGURES

