

Linking behaviours, sediment reworking, bioirrigation and oxygen dynamics in a soft-bottom ecosystem engineer: The mud shrimp Upogebia pusilla (Petagna 1792)

Ludovic Pascal, Olivier Maire, Bruno Deflandre, Alicia Romero-Ramirez,

Antoine Grémare

▶ To cite this version:

Ludovic Pascal, Olivier Maire, Bruno Deflandre, Alicia Romero-Ramirez, Antoine Grémare. Linking behaviours, sediment reworking, bioirrigation and oxygen dynamics in a soft-bottom ecosystem engineer: The mud shrimp Upogebia pusilla (Petagna 1792). Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology, 2019, 516, pp.67 - 78. 10.1016/j.jembe.2019.05.007 . hal-03484460

HAL Id: hal-03484460 https://hal.science/hal-03484460

Submitted on 20 Dec 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial | 4.0 International License

Linking behaviours, sediment reworking, bioirrigation and 1 oxygen dynamics in a soft-bottom ecosystem engineer: the mud 2 shrimp Upogebia pusilla (Petagna 1792) 3

- Ludovic Pascal*,^{1,2,‡}, Olivier Maire^{1,2}, Bruno Deflandre^{1,2}, Alicia Romero-Ramirez^{1,2} and 4
- Antoine Grémare^{1,2} 5

6

- 7 ¹Univ. Bordeaux, EPOC, UMR 5805, F-33600 Pessac, France
- ²CNRS, EPOC, UMR 5805, F-33600 Pessac, France 8

9

10	*Corresponding author:
11	E-mail address: ludovic.r.pascal@gmail.com

- 12 [‡]*Present address*: Université du Québec à Rimouski, Département de biologie, chimie et 13
- géographie, 300 allée des Ursulines, Rimouski, Québec, G5L 3A1, Canada 14
- 15

Highlights: 16

- 17 Behaviours control bioturbation processes
- 18 Sediment reworking is induced by "Burrowing"
- 19 About 60 % of the volume of reworked sediment occurs around burrow walls
- Bioirrigation and oxygen dynamics are induced by "Ventilating" 20
- 21 "Ventilating" is induced by a 12-25% O₂ saturation and indirectly cued by "Burrowing"

22 Abstract

23 Despite the role of bioturbation in controlling biogeochemical processes taking place 24 at the sediment-water interface, little is still known about how animal behaviours influence 25 sedimentary biogeochemical processes. In the present work, we used the mud shrimp 26 Upogebia pusilla as model organism to assess the effect of behaviour types/intensities on 27 sediment reworking, bioirrigation and sediment oxygen dynamics based on three sets of 28 seasonal experiments. We identified four main behaviours: "Resting", "Walking", "Ventilating" and "Burrowing". Mud shrimp were much more active (i.e., not "Resting") 29 30 during summer than during winter and to a lesser extent spring due to elevated temperature. 31 There were three distinct components of sediment reworking. The first one consisted in the 32 expulsion of sediment particles from the burrow to the sediment-water interface, the second 33 one to the transfer of sediment downward within the burrow and the third one to the transport 34 and compaction of sediment across burrow walls. Temporal changes in the intensities of all components correlated tightly since they all resulted from "Burrowing". The temporal 35 36 dynamics of porewater exchange and oxygen dynamics were clearly discontinuous and in 37 direct relation with "Ventilating". However, other behaviours, and especially "Burrowing" 38 were also involved in the control of this dynamics through the modulation of oxygen 39 availability within the burrow, which is cuing the onset of ventilation at a 12-25 % saturation 40 threshold. These results underline the importance of taking into account changes in animal 41 behaviour types/intensities at the appropriate temporal scales when assessing the impact of 42 bioturbation on biogeochemical processes taking place at the sediment-water interface.

43 Keywords:

44 Behavior, Seasonal changes, Bioturbation, Sediment reworking, Bioirrigation, Oxygen
45 dynamic

46

1. Introduction

47 In marine soft-sediment, organic matter mineralization is controlled by the balance 48 between redox reactions, organic sedimentation matter and transport (*i.e.*, 49 advection/diffusion) processes (Aller, 2014). In the absence of macrofauna, this leads to a 50 vertically stratified dominance of specific organic matter mineralization pathways (typically following the sequence of O_2 , NO_3^- , Mn- and Fe-oxides, SO_4^{2-} and CO_2 , Froelich et al., 1979) 51 52 controlled by diffusive transport. A large majority of the uppermost layer of marine 53 sediments is however inhabited by burrow dwelling infauna which profoundly disrupt this 54 balance through bioturbation (sensu Kristensen et al., 2012). Bioturbation encompasses two 55 distinct processes, namely sediment reworking (i.e., the movements of sediment particles and 56 associated organic matter) and burrov ventilation, which causes bioirrigation (i.e., the 57 exchange of water and solute between the overlying water column and porewater). The effect 58 of sediment reworking on organic matter mineralization is highly dependent on sediment 59 reworking types. Downward conveyor-belt feeders can for example quickly transfer 60 particulate organic matter deep in the sediment column where mineralization is less efficient. Conversely, upward conveyor-belt feeders counteract the burying of particulate organic 61 62 matter by repeatidly bringing it back to the sediment-water interface. Through bioirrigation, 63 bioturbating infauna increases the transport of the most energetically favorable electron 64 acceptor (*i.e.*, oxygen) in deep and otherwise anoxic sediment layers enhancing aerobic 65 mineralization of organic matter. Additionally, oxygen oxidizes reduced inorganic 66 metabolites produced during anaerobic processes lowering their porewater concentration and 67 generating a reservoir of potential oxidants, both improving conditions for the anaerobic 68 mineralization of organic matter as well (Volkenborn et al., 2016).

A lot of *in-* and *ex-situ* experiments have been conducted to assess the functional
 consequences of bioturbation (Fanjul et al., 2015; Mermillod-Blondin et al., 2004;

71 Papaspyrou et al., 2007; Pascal et al., 2016b). Overall, their results suggest that bioturbation 72 increases the sediment capacity for organic matter mineralization and thus enhances carbon and nutrient cycling (Aller, 1994; Kristensen et al., 2012; Lohrer et al., 2004; Mermillod-73 74 Blondin and Rosenberg, 2006; Meysman et al., 2006). For most of them these studies are based on: (1) the comparison between bioturbated and unbioturbated sediment, and (2) 75 76 relatively short term (typically several days or weeks) experiments. They thus establish an operational link between the presence of bioturbating species and their functional 77 78 consequences but fail to provide relevant information on the processes at play and on the 79 spatial and temporal scales at which they operate.

80 The elementary processes constitutive of bioturbation usually take place at small (i.e., 81 typically less than a few cm and s) spatial and temporal scales. From a spatial standpoint, 82 bioturbation generates microenvironments where the nature and the intensity of 83 biogeochemical processes are modified (Kristensen et al., 2012; Meysman et al., 2006). From 84 a temporal standpoint, it induces short-term oscillations in redox conditions affecting the 85 microbial communities responsible for organic matter mineralization (Aller, 2014). Such 86 oscillations result from the fact that bioturbation is caused by intermittent activities associated 87 with different behaviours of benthic macrofauna. This qualitative link has been 88 acknowledged for a long time (e.g., Kristensen et al., 2012; Meysman et al., 2008). However, 89 direct quantitative assessments have been achieved only recently due to technological 90 difficulties in "penetrating" the sediment column. Corresponding studies have mostly dealt 91 with bioirrogation in relation with several technological developments such as image analysis 92 (Grémare et al., 2004; Hollertz and Duchêne, 2001; Jordana et al., 2000), pressure captors 93 (Wethey et al., 2008; Wethey and Woodin, 2005; Woodin et al., 2016) and planar optodes 94 (Jovanovic et al., 2014; Przesławski et al., 2009; Timmermann et al., 2006) allowing for the 95 simultaneous high-frequency recording of behaviours, hydraulic activities and associated

96 consequences in terms of oxygenation (Volkenborn et al., 2012a, 2012b). Studies are clearly less advanced regarding sediment reworking. To our knowledge, the only available study 97 98 quantitatively linking sediment reworking and feeding activity in benthic macrofauna is the 99 one by Maire et al. (2007) carried out on the deposit-feeding bivalve Abra ovata. This 100 particular species induces sediment reworking within only the top few centimeters of the 101 sediment column and mostly through a biodiffusive mode resulting from its feeding activity 102 (Bernard et al., 2016; Maire et al., 2007). There is thus still a clear need to carry out similar 103 studies on different kinds of species transporting sediments over larger spatial scales (e.g. through non-local transport) and presenting a larger variety of behaviours potentially 104 105 generating bioturbation. The overall aim of the present study was therefore to establish a 106 qualitative and quantitative link between the behaviour, bioturbation processes and sediment 107 biogeochemical processes in a large bioturbating species.

108 We selected a mud shrimp as model organism. Mud shrimp are considered among the 109 most influential ecosystem engineers in marine soft-bottom ecosystems (Atkinson and 110 Taylor, 2005; Cadée, 2001; Pillay and Branch, 2011). They dig large and complex burrows 111 which extend deep (i.e., down to 2 m) within the sediment column and connect to the 112 overlying water by several distant openings (Coelho et al., 2000; Kinoshita, 2002; Nickell 113 and Atkinson, 1995). The maintenance and ventilation of their burrows result in strong 114 sediment reworking and bioirrigation that profoundly affect the physical (e.g., granulometry, 115 porosity and permeability), chemical (e.g., organic carbon content, oxygen concentration) and 116 biological (e.g., structure and diversity of both prokaryotic and eukaryotic communities) 117 properties of the sediment (Aller and Dodge, 1974; Berkenbusch et al., 2000; Bird et al., 118 2000; Laverock et al., 2011; Pillay et al., 2007). By doing so, mud shrimp deeply affect the 119 biogeochemical functioning of benthic ecosystems (D'Andrea and DeWitt, 2009; Pascal et 120 al., 2016b; Pillay et al., 2007; Ziebis et al., 1996). Numerous studies have already been

121 dedicated to the autecology of mud shrimp including the assessments of their behaviours and time allocation patterns between behaviours (e.g., "Wander", "Ventilate", "Burrow", "Rest"; 122 Stamhuis et al., 1996), sediment reworking, and bioirrigation rates (Berkenbusch and 123 124 Rowden, 1999; Branch and Pringle, 1987; Dworschak, 1981; Dworschak et al., 2006; Pascal et al., 2016b; Rowden et al., 1998; Stamhuis et al., 1997), and effects on spatio-temporal 125 126 changes in the oxygenation of their burrows and surrounding sediments (Forster and Graf, 1995; Volkenborn et al., 2012b). These studies have however been mainly carried out on 127 128 deposit-feeding mud shrimp even though one cannot exclude that feeding types may have a 129 significant effect on how time is allocated between behaviours (Stamhuis et al., 1996). 130 Moreover, they have mostly focused on the direct relationship between burrow ventilation 131 and bioirrigation and largely ignored the assessment of the relationship between behaviour 132 types and sediment reworking. In addition, the assessments of sediment reworking rates have 133 been mostly restricted to the quantification of the expulsion of sediment at the sediment-134 water interface and have largely neglected the component of sediment reworking taking place 135 below sediment surface, around burrow walls. To our knowledge, these studies (but see Pascal et al., 2016b) have also largely ignored seasonal changes in time allocation patterns, 136 137 and bioirrigation rates.

138 The present study aimed at filling these gaps by focusing on a suspension-feeding 139 mud shrimp and investigating how behaviour (types and time allocation) affects (1) sediment 140 reworking, (2) bioirrigation and (3) oxygen dynamics within its burrow. This first required to 141 achieve comprehensive descriptions of the behaviours, between-behaviour time allocation 142 pattern and sediment reworking of our biological model: Upogebia pusilla (Petagna 1792). 143 This suspension-feeding mud shrimp occurs all along the East Atlantic coast from Norway to 144 Mauritania (De Saint Laurent and Le Boeuf, 1979; Hayward and Ryland, 1990) and in the 145 Mediterranean Sea (Dworschak, 1987a). It presents dense populations in the Arcachon Bay

(i.e., our study site; Pascal et al., 2016a) where it significantly affects benthic biogeochemical
processes (Pascal et al., 2016b).

148 **2. Material and methods**

149

2.1.Collection and maintenance of animals and sediment

150 Three sets of controlled laboratory experiments were carried out in winter (January), 151 spring (April) and summer (August) 2014. During each season, 30 adult specimens of the 152 mud shrimp Upogebia pusilla were collected at low tide in an intertidal Zostera noltei meadow (44° 40.782' N, 1° 08.321' W) of the Arcachon Bay (Southwest coast of France) 153 154 using a bait piston pump. Mud shrimp were immediately isolated in individual containers. 155 Back at the laboratory, they were measured (Total Length from the tip of the rostrum to the 156 tip of the telson, ± 1 mm) and weighted (Blotted Wet Weight, ± 0.1 mg). Twenty undamaged 157 mud shrimp (4.6 \pm 0.4 cm in TL and 1.9 \pm 0.3 g in BWW) were selected. They were then individually introduced in (1) 10 thin aquaria (25 (L) \times 1.9 (W) \times 50 (H) cm) for the 158 159 assessments of burrow characteristics, behaviour, sediment reworking and oxygen dynamics, 160 and (2) 10 sediment cores (9.4 cm inner diameter, 40 cm long) for the assessment of 161 bioirrigation. Ten other cores were kept without mud shrimp and used as controls. Thin 162 aquaria and sediment cores were previously filled with a 30 cm layer of sieved (500 µm 163 mesh) muddy sand sediment collected at the sampling site at each season (consistently D_{50} : 164 159 µm, organic carbon: 0.35 % DW). Mud shrimp were not fed during experiments. 165 Experiments started 48 h after mud shrimp introduction, which allowed for the construction of a whole (i.e., open-ended) burrow during all seasons. All aquaria and sediment cores were 166 167 kept in a dark thermo-regulated room (at 12, 16 and 22 °C in January, April and August, respectively, corresponding to the measured seawater temperature during field sampling) 168 169 during the whole experiment durations (a month). All the aquaria and sediment cores were

fuelled with a continuous natural seawater flow (~0.25 L min⁻¹, same temperature as above)
to ensure a good oxygenation of experimental enclosures.

172 The use of thin aquaria clearly constitute a compromise between the necessity of getting a visual access to the lumen of the burrows in view of assessing mud shrimp 173 174 behaviour, and possible artefacts due to space limitation. There are nevertheless several rationale suggesting that these artefacts remain limited in the case of the present study: (1) 175 176 care was taken to use small mud shrimp that are likely less affected by space limitation, (2) at 177 the beginning of the experiment (i.e., 48h after mud shrimp addition) burrows shapes and 178 characteristics were fully consistent with those previously reported for U. pusilla 179 (Dworschak, 1983), (3) those characteristics were similar to those assessed through resin 180 casting for individual burrows from the sampled field population (see Table 1 and supplementary material Table S3), and (4) at the end of the winter and to a lesser extent 181 182 spring experiments significant portions of the burrow lumens remained hidden thereby 183 suggesting that aquarium width probably does not constitute a limiting factor.

184

2.2.Sediment reworking and burrow characteristics

185 Sediment reworking was first quantified during a 30 days period using luminophores (i.e., inert particle tracers fluorescing under UV light). Previous tests have shown that 186 187 luminophores stay strictly at the sediment surface in the absence of mud shrimp. Sediment 188 reworking by meiofauna or other small organisms was thus negligible. Fifteen gDW of luminophores (100 - 160 µm size range) were homogeneously spread at the sediment surface 189 190 of each thin aquarium. Both sides of each aquarium were then photographed with a digital 191 camera (D100, Nikon ®, 3008 × 2000 pixels) under UV light ($\lambda = 380$ nm) immediately following luminophore input (D0) and after 3, 6, 12, 24, 48, 72, 96, 120, 144, 168, 192, 216, 192 193 240, 288, 336, 408, 480 and 720 h. Two vertically adjacent pictures (27×18 cm each) were

taken for each side of the aquarium and assembled afterwards as a single image $(27 \times 35 \text{ cm})$ in size, 92 µm² pixel⁻¹ in resolution). Composite images were saved in JPEG format and analysed as described in Maire et al. (2006), allowing for the assessment of 1D luminophore vertical concentration profiles. Vertical biodiffusion (D_{bv}) and bioadvection (V_{bv}) coefficients were then estimated by fitting a biodiffusion-bioadvection transport model to these vertical profiles (Gérino et al., 1998; Meysman et al., 2003):

$$\frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial t} = D_{bv} \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial z^2} - v_{bv} \frac{\partial C}{\partial z}$$
(1)

where *C* is the luminophore concentration (%), *t* is the time (year) and *z* is the vertical depth in the sediment column (cm). D_{bv} and V_{bv} were estimated by convergent iterations and weighted least-squared regressions of model prediction on observed luminophore profiles (see Maire et al., 2006 for further details).

The volume of sediment expelled at the sediment-water interface (V_{ES} , cm³) was estimated by measuring the average height of sediment over the original sediment-water interface (\overline{H} , Fig. 1) and using the following equation:

207
$$V_{ES} = \overline{H} \times L \times W \quad (2)$$

where *L* and *W* are the length of and the width of the aquarium, respectively.

The average thickness of the reworked layer surrounding burrows (T_{RS} , cm) was estimated by measuring the distance between the burrow wall and the most distant luminophore in five different sections randomly distributed within the burrow (Fig. 1). The average volume of reworked sediment surrounding the burrow within the aquarium (B_{RS} , cm³) was then computed using the following equation:

$$B_{RS} = \frac{[L_b \times \pi (r_b + T_{RS})^2] - [L_b \times \pi r_b^2]}{2}$$
(3)

The diffusion coefficient accounting for the component of sediment reworking occurring perpendicularly to burrow wall (D_{bw}) was modelled after 4 days of incubation (*i.e.*, when T_{RS} reaches is maximum value; see discussion for more details) by using a simple biodiffusive model (Cochran, 1985) to fit the profile of lumniphore concentration perpendicular to burrow wall:

221
$$\frac{\partial^2 c}{\partial t} = D_{bw} \frac{\partial^2 c}{\partial x^2} \qquad (4)$$

Where *C* is the luminophore concentration (%), *t* is the time (year) and *x* is the orthogonal distance from the burrow wall (cm). This was achieved on three aquaria per season. Selected aquaria were those with the longest proportion of visible burrow wall and thus those allowing for the best assessments of decrease in luminophore concentration with increasing orthogonal distances from burrow wall.

Burrow lengths and maximal depths within the sediment column as well as the numbers of openings and chambers (*i.e.*, dilatations of the burrow tube that serve mainly as turning chambers) (Fig. 1) were also measured on thin aquaria pictures at D0, and after 4 (D4) and 30 days (D30) of experiments using ImageJ software.

231 **2.3.Behaviour**

Mud shrimp behaviours were recorded within thin aquaria for a 3-day time period starting one day after luminophore input. Behaviours were recorded using an automated image acquisition system composed of a video sensor (μ Eye UI-1480SE, IDS Imaging®, 2560 × 1920 pixels) connected to a microcomputer and driven by the AviExplore software (Romero-Ramirez et al., 2016). This sensor was positioned in front of the aquarium side displaying the 237 longest visible part of the burrow lumen. Images were acquired in total darkness under 238 infrared light ($\lambda > 700$ nm) during 72 h with an acquisition frequency of 0.1 Hz. Collected images with a surface resolution of 98 μ m² pixel⁻¹ were assembled in a time-lapse AVI video 239 file. Time-lapse sequences were then visually inspected, which allowed for the identification 240 241 of the main behaviours and the assessments of: (1) the proportion of time allocated to each 242 behaviour, (2) the average bout-duration of each behaviour, and (3) the average time between two bouts of the same behaviour (interval-duration). Despite the small thickness width of our 243 aquaria, mud shrimp could sometimes remain hidden in parts of their burrow, which was 244 245 covered by a thin layer of sediment. In order not to bias the estimates of the relative 246 proportions of time devoted to the different behaviours (Stamhuis et al., 1996), only records 247 during which mud shrimp were visible more than 90 % of the time were considered for 248 analysis. Accordingly, the behaviours of only 4, 5 and 5 mud shrimps were investigated during winter, spring and summer, respectively. Despite this relatively low replication, 249 250 interindividual variability in time allocation pattern within a same season was quite low (Fig. 251 2). Overall, this corresponded to 840 h of monitoring.

252 **2.4.Oxygen dynamics**

253 The O₂ saturation within the burrow was recorded during a 72 h time-period starting immediately after the end of the sediment reworking experiment. By the end of sediment 254 reworking experiments during winter and spring, mud shrimp were not visible enough to 255 allow accurate behavioural observations. Oxygen dynamics study was thus achieved only 256 257 during summer on the 5 thin aquaria within which mud shrimp were visible more than 90 % 258 of the time. O₂ saturations were measured at a frequency of 1 Hz, simultaneously with a 259 second sequence of behaviour monitoring as described above. A micro-optode (OXF1100, 260 Pyroscience) was positioned using a micromanipulator in the lumen of the "U" part of the

261 burrow (i.e., ~10 cm deep in the sediment column; 2 mm from the burrow wall). A minioptode (OXROB10, Pyroscience) was placed in the overlying water ~10 cm above the 262 263 sediment surface to check for the full oxygenation of the water column. Both sensors were 264 connected to a Firesting oxygen meter (Pyroscience) driven by the Firesting logger software (Ver. 2.364). A two-point linear calibration of both sensors was initially achieved between 265 266 the O₂ concentration of the overlying water measured by Winkler titration (Grasshoff et al., 1999) and a zero O₂ concentration in a sodium ascorbate solution. After 72 h of continuous 267 recording, the micro-optode was carefully removed from the sediment and the aquarium was 268 269 hermetically sealed with a PVC lid. The mini-optode was then inserted into the lid and 270 repositioned in the overlying water ~10 cm above the sediment surface. The overlying water 271 was continuously stirred with a Teflon-coated magnetic disc at ~100 rpm during incubations. 272 Measurements were stopped as soon as the O₂ level in the overlying water felt below 70 % of its initial value. 273

274

2.5.Porewater exchange

275 Porewater exchange rates were assessed as a proxy of bioirrigation and were quantified following Pascal et al. (2016b). A fluororimetric probe (Cyclops ©-7, Turner 276 277 Design, $\lambda_{\text{excitation}}$ =490nm; $\lambda_{\text{measured}}$ =514nm) was positioned in the overlying water of each 278 sediment core. Uranine (sodium fluorescein, C₂₀H₁₀Na₂O₅) was used as transport tracer to 279 quantify the volumetric exchange of water and dissolved solutes across the sediment-water 280 interface (Na et al., 2008). At the start of each experiment a solution of uranine was added in the overlying water to reach an initial concentration of 10 μ g L⁻¹. Constant aeration and 281 282 homogenization of the tracer in the overlying water were insured by air bubbling. The 283 decrease in uranine concentration was then continuously (1 Hz) measured during 36 h. The porewater exchange rate (Q in mL h⁻¹) was estimated by fitting a simplified version of the 284

mathematical model developed by Meysman et al. (2007) to the moving average (*i.e.*, over 1 min) of the tracer concentration:

287
$$C_{ow}(t) = \frac{A}{B}C_{ow}^{0} + \left(1 - \frac{A}{B}\right)C_{ow}^{0}e^{-Bt}$$
(5)

288
$$A = \frac{Q}{V_{pw} + M_s K_{ad}}, B = \frac{Q}{V_{ow}} \left(1 + \frac{V_{ow}}{V_{pw} + M_s K_{ad}}\right) (6)$$

where C_{ow} is the concentration of the dissolved tracer in overlying water (µg L⁻¹), V_{ow} and V_{pw} the volumes (mL) of overlying and of porewaters, M_s the sediment wet weight (gWW) and K_{ad} (mL gDW⁻¹) the mean adsorption rate of uranine onto sediment particles (see Pascal et al., 2016b for further details). The first 30 min of the experiments were not considered for the calculation of porewater exchange rate since the decrease during this time period was mostly due to (1) the mixing of overlying and burrow water and (2) the rapid adsorption of uranine onto sediment organic particles (Pascal et al., 2016b).

296 **2.6.** Data analysis

297 All data in the main text are expressed as average \pm standard error. For each 298 behaviour, the significance of between seasons differences in: (1) proportions of allocated 299 time, (2) bout-durations, and (3) interval-durations were assessed using One-Way 300 PERmutation Multivariate ANalyses Of VAriances (PERMANOVAs). Since all records 301 lasted exactly the same time, these analyses were performed on raw durations. The effect of 302 seasons on the component of sediment reworking occurring perpendicularly to the burrow 303 wall (as indicated by D_{bw}) was assessed using a One-Way PERMANOVA. The effects of 304 experiment durations and seasons on burrow characteristics and sediment reworking 305 parameters were assessed using Two-Way PERMANOVA. The design consisted in two fixed 306 factors (Experiment duration and Season) with a third random factor (Replicate) nested 307 within Season. In case of significant interaction between fixed factors, pairwise tests were

308 performed to characterise their modalities. The effect of seasons on porewater exchange rate 309 (Q) was assessed using a Two-Way PERMANOVA. The effects of the tested factors on the 310 dispersion (*i.e.*, among experiment variability) of each variable were checked using the 311 PERMDISP procedure (Anderson, 2006) (same design as described above). All 312 PERMANOVAs and associated tests were performed on untransformed data using Euclidean 313 distance and the PRIMER v6 package with the PERMANOVA+ add-on software (Anderson 314 et al., 2008; Clarke and Warwick, 2001).

The relationships between: (1) reworked sediment surrounding the burrow (B_{RS}) and 315 316 the volume of sediment expelled at the sediment-water interface (V_{ES}) , (2) the durations of 317 the time period preceding each ventilation event and the magnitudes of the decrease in O₂ 318 concentration in the overlying water column during ventilation (summer experiments), and (3) the O_2 saturations in the burrow at the onset of ventilation and the distances of the mud 319 320 shrimp from the micro-optode (summer experiments) were tested using simple linear 321 regression models. The relationships between the (1) different types of activity, and (2) total 322 volume of reworked sediment was assessed using a (forward stepwise) multiple linear 323 regression model. All regression analyses were performed using the SIGMAPLOT, v12.5 324 software.

325 **3. Results**

326 **3.1.Burrow characteristics**

Mud shrimp built a complete open-ended burrow within less than 24 hours during winter and less than 12 hours during spring and summer. All initial U-shape burrows progressively evolved into Y-shape burrows during spring and summer *versus* only 30 % during winter. Mud shrimp burrows were on average between 34.5 ± 7.3 and 96.7 ± 27.5 cm 331 long with an average maximal depth between 14.0 \pm 3.3 and 26.7 \pm 8.9 cm within the sediment column (Table 1). They had 2 to 3 openings and a rather constant diameter close to 332 333 1.5 cm with on average 2.2 ± 0.5 to 5.1 ± 1.2 chambers located 10 to 20 cm apart (Table 1). Burrow depth and the number of openings significantly differed between experiment 334 durations but not between seasons (Table 2). Both parameters tended to increase with 335 336 experiment durations (Table 1). Burrow length and the number of chambers significantly 337 differed between seasons and experiment durations with a significant interaction between 338 these two factors (Table 2) due to a more pronounced increase in the values of these two 339 parameters with experiment durations during summer and a less marked one during winter 340 (Table 1).

341 **3.2.***Behaviours*

Four main behaviours were identified, namely "Walking", "Ventilating", 342 "Burrowing" and "Resting" (Table 3). During "Walking", mud shrimp alternatively walked 343 344 and turned in their burrow without transporting sediment. During "Ventilating", mud shrimp generated a unidirectional water flow by beating their four pairs of pleopods. "Ventilating" 345 behaviour was further divided into two subclasses, namely: (1) "Flushing" during which mud 346 347 shrimp quickly (*i.e.*, < 1 min on average) renewed the water of their burrow, usually immediately following a period of intense burrowing; and (2) "Pumping" which consisted in 348 349 a regular beating movement of pleopods inducing a constant water flow through the burrow, 350 without sediment transport towards the sediment-water interface. These two behaviours could easily be discriminated based on: (1) the pleopod beating frequency, and (2) the ejection of 351 unconsolidated sediment in the overlying water. "Burrowing" consisted in digging and 352 carrying sediment. During "Resting", no visible movement was observed, although mud 353 shrimp periodically bended their abdomen under their cephalothorax. 354

355 For each behaviour, the proportions of allocated time significantly differed between seasons (Fig. 2, One-Way PERMANOVA, p < 0.001 in all cases). Mud shrimp significantly 356 spent more time both "Ventilating" and "Burrowing" during summer than during winter (43.0 357 358 \pm 2.5 vs. 14.1 \pm 1.3 % and 36.7 \pm 0.7 vs. 25.3 \pm 2.7 %, respectively) whereas the time allocated to "Resting" was reduced (18.7 \pm 1.9 vs. 55.7 \pm 2.3 %). Moreover, the way mud 359 360 shrimp seasonally changed their time allocation differed between behaviours. Changes in the proportion of time allocated to "Ventilating" mainly resulted from changes in the mean 361 362 interval-duration between successive ventilation bouts, whereas the mean duration of each 363 ventilation bout did not significantly differ between seasons (Table 3). Conversely, changes 364 in the proportions of time allocated to "Burrowing" resulted from significant changes in both 365 bout- and interval-durations (Table 3). Changes in the proportion of time allocated to 366 "Resting" resulted from significant differences between bout-durations whereas interval-367 durations did not significantly differ between seasons mostly due to a high variability during 368 spring (Table 3).

369

3.3.Sediment reworking

370 The thickness of the reworked sediment layer surrounding the burrow (T_{RS}) , the 371 volume of reworked sediment surrounding the burrow (B_{RS}) and the volume of sediment expelled at the sediment-water interface (V_{ES}) all significantly differed between experiment 372 373 durations and seasons with significant interactions between these two factors (Table 2). T_{RS} 374 sharply increased during the first 4 days of the experiments (*i.e.*, until D4) and then remained 375 relatively constant until the end of the experiment (Fig. 3A). This initial increase was more 376 pronounced during summer than during spring and winter. B_{RS} also sharply increased until 377 D4 but did not stabilize afterward due to continuous increases in burrow lengths (Fig. 3B). 378 Here again the initial increase was more pronounced during summer than during spring and 379 winter. Conversely, V_{ES} tended to increase constantly with experiment durations but at a 380 higher rate during summer versus an intermediate and a lower one during spring and winter, 381 respectively (Fig. 3C). At D4, B_{RS} and V_{ES} correlated positively (simple linear regression, F = 382 61.554, p < 0.001; Fig. 3D). B_{RS} then represented 66.0, 56.4 and 56.6 % of total cumulative reworked sediment (*i.e.*, B_{RS} + V_{ES}) during winter, spring and summer, respectively. Overall, 383 384 changes in the total volume of reworked sediment were best described by the amount of time allocated to "Burrowing" (multiple linear regression, F = 75.372, p < 0.001; supplementary 385 material Fig. S1). Associated model was: 386

$$y = 0.00617x - 2.433 \tag{5}$$

388 where *y* represents the total volume of reworked sediment and *x* the amount of time (min) 389 allocated to "Burrowing".

390 Vertical biodiffusion (D_{bv}) and bioadvection (V_{bv}) coefficients significantly differed 391 between experiment durations and seasons (Table 2) without significant interactions between 392 these two factors. Changes in D_{by} with experiment durations showed similar patterns during 393 all 3 seasons (Fig. 4A). D_{bv} increased during the first days of the experiment to reach maximal values (90.2 \pm 44.0, 347.7 \pm 114.1 and 1181.7 \pm 189.8 cm 2 y $^{-1}$, during winter, spring 394 and summer, respectively) around D4. D_{bv} then consistently decreased until the end of the 395 396 experiment. The lack of interaction between seasons and experimental durations in affecting V_{bv} likely resulted from the very high variability in V_{bv} during the very first days of the 397 398 experiments. Indeed, the relationships between V_{bv} and experiment durations seemed to show 399 different patterns between seasons (Fig. 4B). During summer, V_{bv} increased during the first days of the experiment to reach a maximal value of 234.7 ± 111.8 cm y⁻¹ at D3. V_{by} then 400 decreased to 144.5 \pm 10.3 cm y⁻¹ at D17 before remaining almost constant until the end of the 401 experiment. In spring, V_{bv} reached its maximal value (101.2 ± 10.1 cm y⁻¹) only at D17 and 402 then slightly decreased until the end of the experiment. In winter, V_{bv} remained nil until D10. 403

404 It then steadily increased to reach its maximal value (46.6 \pm 18.5 cm y⁻¹) at D30. Overall, 405 mean D_{bv} and V_{bv} were always higher in summer than in spring and in spring than in winter.

Biodiffusion coefficients associated with the component of sediment reworking occurring around burrow wall (D_{bw}) significantly differed between seasons (One-Way PERMANOVA, F = 38.745, p = 0.004). Average D_{bw} were higher during summer, intermediate during spring and lower during winter (7.9 ± 1.4, 3.6 ± 0.9 and 1.1 ± 0.0 cm² y⁻¹, respectively).

411 *3.4.Porewater exchange*

412 Porewater exchange rates (Q) significantly differed in the presence and the absence of 413 the mud shrimp and between seasons with a significant interaction between these two factors 414 (Two-way PERMANOVA, p < 0.001). Porewater exchange rates in the absence of the mud shrimp were low $(1.2 \pm 0.2, 1.3 \pm 0.2 \text{ and } 1.4 \pm 0.2 \text{ mL ind.}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1} \text{during winter, spring and}$ 415 416 summer, respectively) and did not significantly differed between seasons. Porewater 417 exchange rates were significantly higher in the presence than in the absence of the mud 418 shrimp. In the presence of the mud shrimp porewater exchange rates significantly differed 419 between seasons with lower values during winter than during spring and during spring than during summer $(5.7 \pm 2.3, 8.1 \pm 1.6 \text{ and } 21.2 \pm 5.6 \text{ mL ind.}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$, respectively). 420 421 Representative examples of temporal changes in uranine concentration recorded in the 422 overlying water during core incubations in the presence of a mud shrimp are presented in 423 supplementary material Fig. S2. Uranine concentration decreased non-linearly over time with 424 a succession of periods of low and rather constant decrease lasting for 47.3 ± 19.6 , 17.4 ± 5.0 425 and 9.5 ± 2.0 min on average during winter, spring and summer, respectively, interspersed by 426 sudden drops in uranine concentration.

427 **3.5.Oxygen dynamics**

428 In summer, all temporal changes in O₂ saturation in the overlying water column showed a typical pattern in stair-shape (Fig. 5). Stair-shape curves consisted in a succession 429 of periods of relatively low oxygen decline $(-0.08 \pm 0.04 \% \text{ min}^{-1})$ interspersed by periods of 430 relatively rapid oxygen decline (-0.33 \pm 0.09 % min⁻¹). Periods of relatively low decline 431 432 lasted on average 11.8 ± 5.2 min during which mud shrimp were either "Burrowing", "Walking" or "Resting" while periods of rapid decline lasted on average 2.9 ± 1.3 min during 433 434 which mud shrimp were always "Ventilating". Ventilation bouts sometimes lasted longer 435 than the period of oxygen declines *per se* as can be seen on the third and the sixth steps in 436 Fig. 5.

437 Oxygen saturations in the burrow lumen were between 95 and 10 % (Fig. 6A, B and 438 C). The directions and magnitudes of temporal changes in O₂ saturations were tightly related 439 to the behaviours of mud shrimp (Fig. 6A, B and C). Slow decreases (-0.9 \pm 0.3 % min⁻¹) in O₂ saturation were detected when mud shrimp were "Resting" or "Walking" (Fig. 6A, C). 440 Rapid O₂ saturation decreases (-6.7 \pm 3.4 % min⁻¹) were detected when mud shrimp were 441 "Burrowing" (Fig. 6B, C). Conversely, sharp increases in O₂ saturation (27.4 \pm 4.3 % min⁻¹) 442 443 were always associated with "Ventilating" (Fig. 6A, B and C). The mean ventilation duration 444 necessary for O₂ saturation to reach its maximum value during a single ventilation event was 445 2.9 ± 0.7 min. There was a significant positive linear relationship (F = 165.364, p < 0.001) 446 between the distance of the mud shrimp from the sensor and the values of O₂ saturation at the onset of ventilation (Fig. 6D). O₂ saturation inducing the onset of "Ventilating" (i.e., 447 measured when mud shrimp were in the immediate vicinity of the optical sensor) was 448 449 consistently between 12 and 25 %.

450 4. Discussion

451

4.1.Behaviours and time allocation pattern

452 Previous studies regarding mud shrimp behaviours have mostly been carried out on 453 deposit-feeding species whereas Upogebia pusilla is a suspension-feeder (Dworschak, 454 1987b). The four behaviours identified during the present study were nevertheless similar to 455 those described for the deposit feeding mud shrimp Calocaris templemani (Gagnon et al. 456 2013) and Callianassa subterranea (Stamhuis et al., 1996). The average proportion of time 457 allocated to active behaviours (i.e., "Burrowing", "Ventilating" and "Walking") by U. pusilla 458 were 43, 60 and 82% during winter, spring and summer, respectively. This is quite similar to 459 the 47 and 66% reported during winter for C. templemani (Gagnon et al., 2013) and during 460 spring for C. subterranea (Stamhuis et al., 1996), respectively. This tends to suggest that the 461 overall level of activity in mud shrimp is not related to feeding modes. There were however 462 differences in time allocation between U. pusilla and the two deposit-feeding mud shrimp. 463 Those differences were mainly associated to "Ventilating" and "Walking". During winter, U 464 pusilla spent 14.1 % of its time ventilating versus only 5.0 % for C. Templemani. 465 Accordingly, during Spring U. pusilla spent 26.6% of its time ventilating versus only 9.9% for C. subterranea. Conversely, and during the same seasons, U. pusilla spent only 4.9 % of 466 its time "Walking" versus 27.3 % for C. templemani and 2.6% versus 14.8% for C. 467 subterranea. These differences probably relate to trophic modes since: (1) "Ventilating" may 468 469 occur more frequently in suspension feeders because it is related both to respiration and 470 nutrition, and (2) deposit-feeders rely on "Walking" to forage for food within their burrows 471 (Pillay and Branch, 2011).

To our knowledge, this study is the first one assessing seasonal changes in betweenbehaviour time allocation in a mud shrimp. Between winter and summer, the proportion of 474 time allocated by U. pusilla to an active behaviour increased by a factor 2.5. More specifically, the proportions of time allocated to "Burrowing" and "Ventilating" increased by 475 476 a factor 1.4 and 3, respectively. These increases both resulted from marked decreases in interval-durations. In the case of "Burrowing", a counter effect was however associated with 477 the reduction of bout duration during summer. This is consistent with an indirect control of 478 479 temperature on time allocation pattern. During summertime, oxygen consumptions by U. pusilla and associated microbial communities indeed increased by a factor 3.8 (Pascal et al., 480 2016b). Since our results also suggest that the level of O₂ saturation triggering ventilation in 481 482 U. pusilla is constant (between 12 and 25 %; Fig. 6D) and assuming this level constant over 483 seasons, elevated temperature during summer contributes to: (1) the reduction in the time of 484 "Burrowing" required to reach this threshold and thereby to the diminution of the duration of 485 "Burrowing" bouts, and (2) the increase in the frequency of "Ventilating" bouts. Overall, our 486 results therefore clearly support the major role of temperature in controlling seasonal changes 487 in between-behaviour time allocation pattern by U. pusilla. In this sense, they are in good 488 agreement with previous observations by Berkenbusch and Rowden (1999) who reported a 489 strong positive correlation between sediment turnover (*i.e.* the ejection of deep material from the burrow forming mounds at the sediment surface) rate by Callinassa filholi and seawater 490 491 temperature. It should however be stressed that the influence of temperature on between 492 behaviour time allocation is not necessarily direct (i.e. acting on mud shrimp metabolism), 493 but may also be indirect (i.e., through enhancement of organic matter mineralization) since 494 both pathways contribute to limit oxygen availability within the burrows.

495 *4.2. Sediment reworking and "Burrowing"*

496 *4.2.1.* The different components of sediment reworking and their relative importance

497 There are three distinct patterns in the sediment reworking induced by Upogebia 498 *pusilla* (see Fig. 1 for visual description). Individual of this species rework sediment: (1) 499 outside their burrows by expelling excavated sediment at the sediment-water interface (P1), 500 (2) below the sediment surface by introducing and then moving downward sediment particles 501 within their burrows (P2), and (3) by compacting sediment against the walls of their burrow 502 (P3). P1 and P2 are mostly vertical, whereas P3 occurs perpendicularly to burrow walls (i.e., 503 mostly horizontally due to the general morphology of the burrows, Fig. 1). Previous studies 504 assessing sediment reworking by mud shrimp have only considered P1 through the 505 assessment of sediment turnover rates (e.g., Berkenbusch and Rowden, 1999; Pillay et al., 506 2012; Rowden et al., 1998; Suchanek, 1983). During the present study, we also assessed: (1) P1 by measuring the volume of sediment expelled at the sediment-water interface (V_{ES}), (2) 507 508 the resultant of P1 and P2 by using a 1D vertical biodiffusion-bioadvection transport model 509 (characterized by a biodiffusion and a bioadvection coefficient: D_{bv} and V_{bv}, respectively), 510 and (2) P3 by experimentally measuring the volume of reworked sediment surrounding the 511 burrow (B_{RS}) and by using a simple biodiffusion model (characterized by a biodiffusion 512 coefficient: Dbw).

The relative importance of P1 and P3 can be assessed based on the comparison of sediment reworked volumes (*i.e.*, V_{ES} and B_{RS}). During all three seasons the thickness of the reworked sediment layer surrounding the burrow (T_{RS}) stabilized after *ca.* 4-5 days. We thus decided to limit our comparisons to the periods of initial increases in T_{RS} (*i.e.*, until D4). V_{ES} then accounted on average for about 40 % of the total (*i.e.*, $V_{ES} + B_{RS}$) volume of reworked sediment. By comparing the volumes of the burrows and expelled sediments, Dworschak 519 (1983) estimated this proportion to be 50 % in natural environment. These two values clearly 520 underline the importance of sediment reworking occurring below the sediment surface and 521 the necessity of considering this component when assessing sediment reworking in U. pusilla. 522 This statement is likely to hold for other mud shrimp irrespective of their feeding mode and 523 the associated pattern of extension of their burrow (Dworschak, 1983; Nickell and Atkinson, 524 1995). Although less straightforward, due to the interactions between P1, P2 and P3 and the 525 fact that two different models were used, the comparison between D_{bv} and D_{bw} also provides 526 information on the relative intensity of vertical (*i.e.*, mostly P2 and to a lesser extent P1) and 527 horizontal (i.e., mostly P3) sediment reworking intensities, respectively. After four days (i.e., 528 when T_{RS} reached their maximum values), D_{bw} were about 100-folds lower than D_{bv} . This is 529 due to the fact that, although affecting larger volumes of sediment, sediment compaction 530 against the burrow wall (i.e., P3) transports particles over small distances, which results in a thin (typically 5 mm) layer of sediment around burrow wall and thus a low D_{bw}. This is 531 532 coherent with the fact that in thalassinid the maintenance of the burrow is typically insured by 533 sediment compaction (Dworschak, 1983). P1 and a fortiori P2 induce particle displacements 534 over a much larger (typically several tenth of cm) spatial scale in association with upward 535 non-local transport (mostly P1) and enhanced downward biodiffusion (mostly P2). Overall, 536 P1, P2 and P3 all constitute important but highly different components of sediment 537 reworking.

538

4.2.2. Evidences for the induction of sediment reworking by "Burrowing"

There are several lines of evidence suggesting that the different component of sediment reworking tightly correlate between each other. First, all proxies of the different components (*i.e.*, V_{ES} for P1, D_{bv} for P2, B_{RS} and D_{bw} for P3) of sediment reworking showed a similar seasonal pattern with higher values during summer, intermediate ones during spring

and lower ones during winter. Second, at D4 there was a significant correlation between V_{ES} 543 544 and B_{RS} . Third, during summer (*i.e.*, when sediment reworking was highest), D_{bv} and V_{bv} . 545 showed similar temporal changes during the whole experiment duration. This suggests that 546 all three components are probably controlled by the same behaviour of the mud shrimp. Even 547 though cross correlation effects cannot be absolutely excluded, their probability of occurrence 548 can indeed be considered low. Based on the multiple linear regression model linking the total 549 volumes (*i.e.*, $V_{ES} + B_{RS}$) of reworked sediment at D4 and the proportions of time allocated to 550 the 4 different behaviours, our results suggest that "Burrowing" is the behaviour that is 551 mostly inducing sediment reworking. This statement if further supported by the similarity of 552 the seasonal changes in the proportion of time allocated to "Burrowing" and all the proxies of 553 the different components of sediment reworking. This is in good agreement with the 554 mechanisms inducing P1 (*i.e.*, sediment excavation) and P3 (*i.e.*, sediment compaction along 555 burrow walls) but a little less clear for P2 (*i.e.*, sediment introduction within the burrow), 556 which could a priori result from a wider set of mechanisms/activities including "Burrowing".

557

4.3. Porewater exchange, oxygen dynamics and behaviours

558 4.3.1. Evidences for the direct effect of "Ventilating" on porewater exchange and oxygen 559 dynamics

560 During the present study, porewater exchange rates were assessed based on the 561 monitoring of the temporal dynamics of uranine concentrations in the overlying water. This 562 dynamics clearly presented a cyclical pattern with alternation between relatively long periods 563 of low decrease and short events of sharp decrease in concentration. This is clearly indicative 564 of the discontinuous nature of porewater exchange. The mean time duration of low decrease 565 (*i.e.*, low porewater exchange) periods differed between seasons. They were longer during 566 winter and shorter during summer (47.3 *vs.* 9.5 min, respectively). Moreover, during all three seasons, they tended to be similar to the time intervals between two consecutive ventilation
bouts (47.3 *vs.* 42.5 min, 17.4 *vs.* 17.7 min, and 9.5 *vs.* 9.5 min, during winter, spring and
summer, respectively). This suggests that, similarly to the Polychaete *Marenzelleria viridis*(Quintana et al., 2011), in *U. pusilla*, "Ventilating" is the behaviour inducing porewater
exchange.

572 This is further supported by the analysis of the dynamics of O₂ saturation in the 573 overlying water of the thin aquaria during summer experiments. Oxygen saturation also 574 showed a cyclical pattern superimposed to an overall decreasing trend quite similar to 575 uranine. The mean time interval between two consecutive drops was 11.8 minutes and the 576 duration of each drop was 2.9 minutes. These values are consistent with those available for U. 577 pusilla (Dworschak, 1981) and other mud shrimp (Forster and Graf, 1995; Stamhuis et al., 1996; Volkenborn et al., 2012b). During the present study, the average duration of an 578 579 individual cycle of O₂ saturation in the overlying water was thus 14.7 minutes, which is fully 580 compatible with the mean length of a ventilation cycle (i.e., 16.4 minutes) considering 581 associated variability. Moreover, the direct examination of the two time-series further 582 supports that temporal changes in the O₂ saturation of the overlying water are clearly controlled by the "Ventilating" behaviour of the mud shrimp since drops in O₂ saturation of 583 584 overlying waters were always associated with ventilation events.

585 *4.3.2.* Evidences for the effect of "Burrowing" on the onset of "ventilating"

586 During summer experiment, oxygen saturation within the burrow showed a cyclical 587 pattern as already observed for other mud shrimp such as *C. subterranea* (Forster and Graf, 588 1995) and *N. californiensis* (Volkenborn et al., 2012b) and for the polychaete *Allita succinea* 589 (Murphy and Reidenbach, 2016). Temporal changes were clearly related with the behaviour 590 of the mud shrimp. Oxygen decreases were very low when mud shrimp were "Resting" or

"Walking". Since thalassinid mud shrimp show low basal metabolic rates (Atkinson and 591 592 Taylor, 2005), microbial community respiration and diffusive transport of O₂ through the 593 burrow wall probably account for the main part of oxygen consumption during these time 594 periods. Conversely, O₂ saturation dropped quickly when mud shrimp were "Burrowing" due to: (1) the increase in mud shrimp metabolism and (2) the release of reduced compounds 595 596 previously trapped within the sediment column, which are quickly re-oxidized through a 597 series of coupled oxidation-reduction reactions that ultimately consume oxygen (Aller et al., 598 1983; Kristensen, 2000; Kristensen and Kostka, 2005).

599 We also found a significant positive relationship between O₂ saturation measured by 600 the optode within the burrow and the distances of the mud shrimp to this sensor at the onset 601 of ventilation events. Given that mud shrimp initiate a ventilation event at a given O₂ saturation, this suggests that the spatial distribution of O₂ saturation within the burrow is not 602 603 uniform. More specifically, this result supports the fact that O₂ saturation would be minimal 604 close to the mud shrimp and would increase with increasing distance from the mud shrimp. 605 There are some rationales to support this assumption: (1) the mud shrimp is respiring and 606 thus consuming O₂ (Forster and Graf, 1995; Koike and Mukai, 1983), and (2) its activity 607 contribute to release reduced compounds, which are readily oxidized in the oxygenated 608 burrow water (Aller et al., 1983; Kristensen, 2000; Kristensen and Kostka, 2005). According 609 to these hypotheses, the O₂ saturation threshold at which U. pusilla initiates ventilation would 610 be between 12 and 25%, which is fully coherent with the minimal O₂ saturation levels 611 observed within the burrow water of C. japonica (12 %, Koike and Mukai, 1983), N. 612 californiensis (20 %, Volkenborn et al., 2012b) and U. major (30 %, Koike and Mukai, 1983). No data on oxygen dynamics were available for winter and spring. However, the 613 existence of an O₂ saturation threshold triggering burrow ventilation together with 614 615 behavioural data suggest that the oscillation frequency in oxygen saturation within the burrow

would be the lowest during winter and intermediate during spring. Here again there are some
rationales supporting this assumption: (1) a lower oxygen consumption by the sediment at
low temperature (Epping and Helder, 1997; Hall et al., 1989; Thamdrup et al., 1998), and (2)
as observed during this study and similarly to what has been observed for other thalassinids
(Stanzel and Finelli, 2004), an increase in ventilation and burrowing event frequencies with
temperature.

622 **5. Conclusion**

623 We assessed how different behaviours by the mud shrimp Upogebia pusilla affect 624 sediment reworking, bioirrigation and oxygen dynamics at and below the sediment-water 625 interface. Our results show that U. pusilla is an efficient bioturbating species causing both 626 high sediment reworking and porewater exchange rates. There were three components of sediment reworking: (1) the expulsion of excavated sediment at the sediment-water interface 627 628 (2) the introduction and then the downward transport of surface sediment particles within the 629 burrow, and (3) the compaction of sediment around burrow walls. The intensities of all three 630 components correlated positively so that sediment reworking as a whole appeared mainly induced by the "Burrowing" behaviour. In summer, porewater exchange and oxygen 631 dynamics were directly cued by the "Ventilating" which is initiated by an oxygen saturation 632 level between 12 and 25%. "Ventilating" was thus also indirectly cued by "Burrowing", 633 634 which, conversely to "Walking" and "Resting" induces marked decreases in O2 saturation 635 within the burrow. This underlines the importance of taking into account changes in animal 636 behaviour types/intensities at the appropriate temporal scales when assessing the impact of 637 bioturbation on biogeochemical processes taking place at the sediment-water interface.

638 Acknowledgements

639 We thank the captain and the crewmembers of the R/V Planula IV (CNRS-INSU-FOF) for assistance in fieldwork. We also thank the SOMLIT program for access to 640 641 temperature data. This work is part of LP PhD thesis (Université de Bordeaux). LP was 642 supported by a doctoral grant of the French "Ministère de l'Enseignement Supérieur et de la 643 Recherche" (Université de Bordeaux - 2013/AF/25). This work is a contribution to the 644 UPOBA project funded by the CNRS-INSU program (EC2CO-DRIL). It has been carried out 645 in the framework of the Investments for the Future Program, within the COTE Cluster of 646 Excellence (ANR-10-LABX-45).

647 **References**

Aller, R.C., 2014. Sedimentary Diagenesis, Depositional Environments, and Benthic Fluxes,
in: Holland, H.D., Turekian, K.K. (Eds.), Treatise on Geochemistry. Elsevier Ltd.,

650 Oxford, pp. 293–334. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-095975-7.00611-2

- Aller, R.C., 1994. Bioturbation and remineralization of sedimentary organic matter: effects of
 redox oscillation. Chem. Geol. 114, 331–345. https://doi.org/10.1016/00092541(94)90062-0
- Aller, R.C., Dodge, R.E., 1974. Animal-Sediment Relations in a Tropical Lagoon: Discovery
 Bay, Jamaica. J. Mar. Res. 32, 209–232.
- Aller, R.C., Yingst, J.Y., Ullman, W.J., 1983. Comparative biogeochemistry of water in
 intertidal *Onuphis* (Polychaeta) and *Upogebia* (Crustacea) burrows: temporal patterns
- 658 and causes. J. Mar. Res. 41, 571–604. https://doi.org/10.1357/002224083788519722
- Anderson, M.J., 2006. Distance-based tests for homogeneity of multivariate dispersions.
 Biometrics 62, 245–253. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1541-0420.2005.00440.x
- 661 Anderson, M.J., Gorley, R.N., Clarke, K.R., 2008. PERMANOVA+ for PRIMER: Guide to

- Atkinson, R.J.A.J.A., Taylor, A.C.A., 2005. Aspects of the physiology, biology and ecology
 of thalassinidean shrimps in relation to their burrow environment, in: Oceanography and
 Marine Biology, Oceanography and Marine Biology An Annual Review. CRC Press,
- 667 pp. 211–278. https://doi.org/doi:10.1201/9781420037449.ch5
- Berkenbusch, K., Rowden, A.A., 1999. Factors influencing sediment turnover by the
 burrowing ghost shrimp *Callianassa filholi* (Decapoda: Thalassinidea). J. Exp. Mar. Bio.
 Ecol. 238, 283–292.
- Berkenbusch, K., Rowden, A.A., Probert, P., 2000. Temporal and spatial variation in
 macrofauna community composition imposed by ghost shrimp *Callianassa filholi*bioturbation. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 192, 249–257.
- Bernard, G., Duchêne, J.-C., Romero-Ramirez, A., Lecroart, P., Maire, O., Ciutat, A., 674 Deflandre, B., Grémare, A., 2016. Experimental Assessment of the Effects of 675 676 Temperature and Food Availability on Particle Mixing by the Bivalve Abra alba Using Techniques. PLoS 677 New Image Analysis One 11, e0154270. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0154270 678
- Bird, F.L., Boon, P.I., Nichols, P.D., 2000. Physicochemical and Microbial Properties of
 Burrows of the Deposit-feeding Thalassinidean Ghost Shrimp *Biffarius arenosus*(Decapoda: Callianassidae). Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 51, 279–291.
 https://doi.org/10.1006/ecss.2000.0676
- Branch, G.M., Pringle, A., 1987. The impact of the sand prawn *Callianassa kraussi* Stebbing
 on sediment turnover and on bacteria, meiofauna, and benthic microflora. J. Exp. Mar.
 Bio. Ecol. 107, 219–235. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0981(87)90039-6
- 686 Cadée, G.C., 2001. Sediment dynamics by bioturbating organisms, in: Reise, K. (Ed.),

- Ecological Comparisons of Sedimentary Shores, Ecological Studies. Springer, Berlin,
 Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-56557-1
- Clarke, K.R., Warwick, R.M., 2001. Change in marine communities: an approach to
 statistical analysis and interpretation. 2nd ed. PRIMER-E: Plymouth, UK; 2001.
- 691 Cochran, J.K., 1985. Particle mixing rates in sediments of the eastern equatorial Pacific:
 692 Evidence from 210Pb, 239,240Pu and 137Cs distributions at MANOP sites. Geochim.
- 693 Cosmochim. Acta 49, 1195–1210. https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(85)90010-9
- 694 Coelho, V.R., Cooper, R.A., deAlmeida Rodrigues, S., 2000. Burrow morphology and
- 695 behavior of the mud shrimp *Upogebia omissa* (Decapoda: Thalassinidea: Upogebiidae).
- 696 Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 200, 229–240.
- D'Andrea, A.F., DeWitt, T.H., 2009. Geochemical ecosystem engineering by the mud shrimp *Upogebia pugettensis* (Crustacea: Thalassinidae) in Yaquina Bay, Oregon: Densitydependent effects. Limnol. Oceanogr. 54, 1911–1932.
 https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2009.54.6.1911
- De Saint Laurent, M., Le Boeuf, P., 1979. Crustaces Decapodes Thalassinidea. 1.
 Upogebiidae Et Callianassidae, in: Campagnes de La Calypso Au Large Des Cotes
 Atlantiques Africaines. pp. 29–201.
- Dworschak, P.C., 1987a. The Biology of Upogebia pusilla (Petagna) (Decapoda,
 Thalassinidea) II. Environments and Zonation. Mar. Ecol. 8, 337–358.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0485.1987.tb00193.x
- 707 Dworschak, P.C., 1987b. Feeding behaviour of *Upogebia pusilla* and *Callianassa tyrrhena*708 (Crustacea, Decapoda, Thalassinidea). Investig. Pesq. 51, 421–429.
- 709 Dworschak, P.C., 1983. The biology of *Upogebia pusilla* (Petagna) (Decapoda,
 710 Thalassinidea) I. The burrows. Mar. Ecol. 4, 337–358. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-
- 711 0485.1987.tb00193.x

- Dworschak, P.C., 1981. The pumping rates of the burrowing shrimp Upogebia pusilla
 (Petagna) (Decapoda:Thalassinidea). J. Exp. Mar. Bio. Ecol. 52, 25–35.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0981(81)90168-4
- 715 Dworschak, P.C., Koller, H., Abed-Navandi, D., 2006. Burrow structure, burrowing and
- feeding behaviour of Corallianassa longiventris and Pestarella tyrrhena (Crustacea,
- 717 Thalassinidea, Callianassidae). Mar. Biol. 148, 1369–1382.
 718 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-005-0161-8
- Epping, E.H.G., Helder, W., 1997. Oxygen budgets calculated fromin situ oxygen
 microprofiles for Northern Adriatic sediments. Cont. Shelf Res. 17, 1737–1764.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0278-4343(97)00039-3
- 722 Fanjul, E., Escapa, M., Montemayor, D., Addino, M., Alvarez, M.F., Grela, M.A., Iribarne,
- O., 2015. Effect of crab bioturbation on organic matter processing in South West
 Atlantic intertidal sediments. J. Sea Res. 95, 206–216.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seares.2014.05.005
- Forster, S., Graf, G., 1995. Impact of irrigation on oxygen flux into the sediment: intermittent
 pumping by *Callianassa subterranea* and "piston-pumping" by *Lanice conchilega*. Mar.
- 728 Biol. 123, 335–346. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00353625
- Froelich, P.N., Klinkhammer, G.P., Bender, M.L., Luedtke, N.A., Hearth, G.R., Cullen, D.,
 Dauphin, P., Hammond, D., Hartman, B., Maynard, V., 1979. Early oxidation of organic
 matter in pelagic sediments of the eastern equatorial Atlantic: suboxic diagenesis.
- 732 Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 43, 1075–1090.
- Gagnon, J.-M., Beaudin, L., Silverberg, N., Mauviel, A., 2013. Mesocosm and in situ
 observations of the burrowing shrimp *Calocaris templemani* (Decapoda: Thalassinidea)
 and its bioturbation activities in soft sediments of the Laurentian Trough. Mar. Biol.
- 736 160, 2687–2697. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-013-2262-0

- 737 Gerino, M., Aller, R.C., Lee, C., Cochran, J., Aller, J.Y., Green, M.A., Hirschberg, D., 1998.
- Comparison of Different Tracers and Methods Used to Quantify Bioturbation During a
 Spring Bloom: 234-Thorium, Luminophores and Chlorophyll a. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.

740 46, 531–547.

- Grasshoff, K., Ehrhardt, M., Kremling, K., 1999. Methods of Seawater Analysis, Wiley-Vch.
 ed.
- Grémare, A., Duchêne, J.-C., Rosenberg, R., David, E., Desmalades, M., 2004. Feeding
 behaviour and functional response of *Abra ovata* and *A. nitida* compared by image
 analysis. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 267, 195–208.
- Hall, P.O.J., Anderson, L.G., van der Loeff, M.M.R., Sundby, B., Westerlund, S.F.G., 1989.
 Oxygen uptake kinetics in the benthic boundary layer. Limnol. Oceanogr. 34, 734–746.
 https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1989.34.4.0734
- Hayward, P.J., Ryland, J.S., 1990. The marine fauna of the British Isles and North-West
 Europe: 1. Introduction and protozoans to arthropods. Clarendon Press: Oxford. ISBN 019-857356-1. xvi, 1-627 pp.
- Hollertz, K., Duchêne, J.-C., 2001. Burrowing behaviour and sediment reworking in the heart
 urchin *Brissopsis lyrifera* Forbes (Spatangoida). Mar. Biol. 139, 951–957.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s002270100629
- Jordana, E., Duchêne, J.-C., Charles, F., Grémare, A., Amouroux, J.-M., 2000. Experimental
 study of suspension-feeding activity in the serpulid polychaete *Ditrupa arietina* (O.F.)
- 757 Müller). J. Exp. Mar. Bio. Ecol. 252, 57–74. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022758 0981(00)00231-8
- Jovanovic, Z., Larsen, M., Organo Quintana, C., Kristensen, E., Glud, R., 2014. Oxygen
 dynamics and porewater transport in sediments inhabited by the invasive polychaete *Marenzelleria viridis*. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 504, 181–192.

762 https://doi.org/10.3354/meps10737

- Kinoshita, K., 2002. Burrow structure of the mud shrimp *Upogebia major* (Decapoda:
 Thalassinidea: Upogebiidae). J. Crustac. Biol. 22, 474–480.
 https://doi.org/10.1651/0278-0372(2002)022[0474:BSOTMS]2.0.CO;2
- Koike, I., Mukai, H., 1983. Oxygen and inorganic nitrogen contents and fluxes in burrows of
 the shrimps *Callianassa japonica* and *Upogebia major*. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 12, 185–
 190.
- Kristensen, E., 2000. Organic matter diagenesis at the oxic/anoxic interface in coastal marine
 sediments, with emphasis on the role of burrowing animals. Hydrobiologia 426, 1–24.
 https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1003980226194
- Kristensen, E., Kostka, J., 2005. Macrofaunal burrows and irrigation in marine sediment:
 microbiological and biogeochemical interactions, in: Macro- and Microorganisms in
 Marine Sediments. pp. 125–157. https://doi.org/10.1029/60CE08
- Kristensen, E., Penha-Lopes, G., Delefosse, M., Valdemarsen, T., Quintana, C., Banta, G.,
 2012. What is bioturbation? The need for a precise definition for fauna in aquatic
 sciences. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 446, 285–302. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps09506
- Laverock, B., Gilbert, J.A., Tait, K., Osborn, A.M., Widdicombe, S., 2011. Bioturbation:
 impact on the marine nitrogen cycle. Biochem. Soc. Trans. 39, 315–20.
 https://doi.org/10.1042/BST0390315
- Lohrer, A.M., Thrush, S.F., Gibbs, M.M., 2004. Bioturbators enhance ecosystem function
 through complex biogeochemical interactions. Nature 431, 1092–1095.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/nature03042
- Maire, O., Duchêne, J.-C., Bigot, L., Grémare, A., 2007. Linking feeding activity and
 sediment reworking in the deposit-feeding bivalve *Abra ovata* with image analysis, laser
 telemetry, and luminophore tracers. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 351, 139–150.

- 787 https://doi.org/10.3354/meps07147
- Maire, O., Duchêne, J.-C., Rosenberg, R., Braga de Mendonça Jr., J., Grémare, A., 2006.
 Effects of food availability on sediment reworking in *Abra ovata* and *A. nitida*. Mar.
 Ecol. Prog. Ser. 319, 135–153.
- Mermillod-Blondin, F., Rosenberg, R., 2006. Ecosystem engineering: the impact of
 bioturbation on biogeochemical processes in marine and freshwater benthic habitats.
 Aquat. Sci. 68, 434–442. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00027-006-0858-x
- Mermillod-Blondin, F., Rosenberg, R., François-Carcaillet, F., Norling, K., Mauclaire, L.,
 2004. Influence of bioturbation by three benthic infaunal species on microbial
 communities and biogeochemical processes in marine sediment. Aquat. Microb. Ecol.
 36, 271–284. https://doi.org/10.3354/ame036271
- Meysman, F.J.R., Boudreau, B.P., Middelburg, J.J., 2003. Relations between local, nonlocal,
 discrete and continuous models of bioturbation. J. Mar. Res. 61, 391–410.
 https://doi.org/10.1357/002224003322201241
- 801 Meysman, F.J.R., Galaktionov, O.S., Cook, P.L.M., Janssen, F., Huettel, M., Middelburg,
- J.J., 2007. Quantifying biologically and physically induced flow and tracer dynamics in
 permeable sediments. Biogeosciences 4, 627–646. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-4-6272007
- Meysman, F.J.R., Malyuga, V.S., Boudreau, B.P., Middelburg, J.J., 2008. Quantifying
 particle dispersal in aquatic sediments at short time scales: Model selection. Aquat. Biol.
- 807 2, 239–254. https://doi.org/10.3354/ab00054
- 808 Meysman, F.J.R., Middelburg, J.J., Heip, C.H.R., 2006. Bioturbation: a fresh look at
- 809
 Darwin's
 last
 idea.
 Trends
 Ecol.
 Evol.
 21,
 688–695.

 810
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2006.08.002
 688–695.
 688–695.
- 811 Murphy, E.A.K., Reidenbach, M.A., 2016. Oxygen transport in periodically ventilated

- 812 polychaete burrows. Mar. Biol. 163, 208. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-016-2983-y
- Na, T., Gribsholt, B., Galaktionov, O.S., Lee, T., Meysman, F.J.R., 2008. Influence of
 advective bio-irrigation on carbon and nitrogen cycling in sandy sediments. J. Mar. Res.
 66, 691–722. https://doi.org/10.1357/002224008787536826
- Nickell, L.A., Atkinson, R., 1995. Functional morphology of burrows and trophic modes of
 three thalassinidean shrimp species, and a new approach to the classification of
 thalassinidean burrow morphology. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 128, 181–197.
- Papaspyrou, S., Kristensen, E., Christensen, B., 2007. Arenicola marina (Polychaeta) and
 organic matter mineralisation in sandy marine sediments: *In situ* and microcosm
 comparison. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 72, 213–222.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2006.10.020
- Pascal, L., de Montaudouin, X., Grémare, A., Maire, O., 2016a. Dynamics of the *Upogebia pusilla–Gyge branchialis* marine host–parasite system. Mar. Biol. 163, 195.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-016-2969-9
- 826 Pascal, L., Maire, O., Volkenborn, N., Lecroart, P., Bichon, S., de Montaudouin, X.,
- 827 Grémare, A., Deflandre, B., 2016b. Influence of the mud shrimp Upogebia pusilla
- 828 (Decapoda: Gebiidea) on solute and porewater exchanges in an intertidal seagrass
- 829 (Zostera noltei) meadow of Arcachon Bay: An experimental assessment. J. Exp. Mar.
- Bio. Ecol. 477, 69–79. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2016.01.008
- Pillay, D., Branch, G.M., 2011. Bioengineering effects of burrowing thalassinidean shrimps
 on marine soft-bottom ecosystems. Oceanogr. Mar. Biol. 49, 137–192.
- 833 Pillay, D., Branch, G.M., Forbes, A.T., 2007. The influence of bioturbation by the sandprawn
- 834 *Callianassa kraussi* on feeding and survival of the bivalve *Eumarcia paupercula* and the
- 835 gastropod Nassarius kraussianus. J. Exp. Mar. Bio. Ecol. 344, 1-9.
- 836 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2006.10.044

- Pillay, D., Williams, C., Whitfield, A., 2012. Indirect effects of bioturbation by the burrowing
 sandprawn *Callichirus kraussi* on a benthic foraging fish, *Liza richardsonii*. Mar. Ecol.
 Prog. Ser. 453, 151–158. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps09642
- 840 Przesławski, R., Zhu, Q., Aller, R.C., 2009. Effects of abiotic stressors on infaunal burrowing
- and associated sediment characteristics. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 392, 33–42.
 https://doi.org/10.3354/meps08221
- Quintana, C.O., Hansen, T., Delefosse, M., Banta, G., Kristensen, E., 2011. Burrow
 ventilation and associated porewater irrigation by the polychaete *Marenzelleria viridis*.
- 845 J. Exp. Mar. Bio. Ecol. 397, 179–187. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2010.12.006
- Romero-Ramirez, A., Grémare, A., Bernard, G., Pascal, L., Maire, O., Duchêne, J.-C., 2016.
 Development and validation of a video analysis software for marine benthic
 applications. J. Mar. Syst. 162, 4–17. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2016.03.003
- Rowden, A.A., Jones, M.B., Morris, A.W., 1998. The role of *Callianassa subterranea*(Montagu) (Thalassinidea) in sediment resuspension in the North Sea. Cont. Shelf Res.
- 851 18, 1365–1380. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0278-4343(98)00048-X
- Stamhuis, E.J., Reede-Dekker, T., van Etten, Y., de Wiljes, J.J., Videler, J.J., 1996.
 Behaviour and time allocation of the burrowing shrimp *Callianassa subterranea*(Decapoda, Thalassinidea). J. Exp. Mar. Bio. Ecol. 204, 225–239.
- Stamhuis, E.J., Schreurs, C.E., Videler, J.J., 1997. Burrow architecture and turbative activity
 of the thalassinid shrimp *Callianassa subterranea* from the central North Sea. Mar.
 Ecol. Prog. Ser. 151, 155–163.
- Stanzel, C., Finelli, C., 2004. The effects of temperature and salinity on ventilation behavior 858 of two species of ghost shrimp (Thalassinidea) from the northern Gulf of Mexico: a 859 860 laboratory study. J. Mar. Bio. Ecol. 312, 19–41. Exp. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2004.06.001 861

- Suchanek, T.H., 1983. Control of seagrass communities and sediment distribution by
 Callianassa (Crustacea, Thalassinidea) bioturbation. J. Mar. Res. 41, 281–298.
- Thamdrup, B., Hansen, J.W., JÃ, rgensen, B.B., 1998. Temperature dependence of aerobic
 respiration in a coastal sediment. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 25, 189–200.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.1998.tb00472.x
- 867 Timmermann, K., Banta, G.T., Glud, R.N., 2006. Linking Arenicola marina irrigation
 868 behavior to oxygen transport and dynamics in sandy sediments. J. Mar. Res. 64, 915–
 869 938. https://doi.org/10.1357/002224006779698378
- 870 Volkenborn, N., Meile, C., Polerecky, L., Pilditch, C.A., Norkko, A., Norkko, J., Hewitt, J.E.,
- 871 Thrush, S.F., Wethey, D.S., Woodin, S.A., 2012a. Intermittent bioirrigation and oxygen
 872 dynamics in permeable sediments: An experimental and modeling study of three tellinid
- bivalves. J. Mar. Res. 70, 794–823. https://doi.org/10.1357/002224012806770955
- 874 Volkenborn, N., Polerecky, L., Wethey, D.S., DeWitt, T.H., Woodin, S.A., 2012b. Hydraulic
- activities by ghost shrimp *Neotrypaea californiensis* induce oxic–anoxic oscillations in
 sediments. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 455, 141–156. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps09645
- 877 Volkenborn, N., Woodin, S.A., Wethey, D.S., Polerecky, L., 2016. Bioirrigation in Marine
- 878 Sediments, Reference Module in Earth Systems and Environmental Sciences.
 879 https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-409548-9.09525-7
- Wethey, D.S., Woodin, S.A., 2005. Infaunal hydraulics generate porewater pressure signals.
 Biol. Bull. 209, 139–45.
- Wethey, D.S., Woodin, S.A., Volkenborn, N., Reise, K., 2008. Porewater advection by
 hydraulic activities of lugworms, *Arenicola marina*: A field, laboratory and modeling
 study. J. Mar. Res. 66, 255–273. https://doi.org/10.1357/002224008785837121
- 885 Woodin, S.A., Volkenborn, N., Pilditch, C.A., Lohrer, A.M., Wethey, D.S., Hewitt, J.E.,
- 886 Thrush, S.F., 2016. Same pattern, different mechanism: Locking onto the role of key

- species in seafloor ecosystem process. Sci. Rep. 6, 26678.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/srep26678
- Ziebis, W., Forster, S., Huettel, M., Jørgensen, B.B., 1996. Complex burrows of the mud
- shrimp *Callianassa truncata* and their geochemical impact in the sea bed. Nature 382,
- 891 619–622. https://doi.org/10.1038/382619a0

Time / Season		Length (cm)			D		Numbor	ofonor	inga	Number of			
	n				(cm)			number of openings			chambers		
		Mean	Min	Max	Mean	Min	Max	Mean	Min	Max	Mean	Min	Max
D0													
Winter	10	34.5 ± 7.3	24.6	49.0	14.0 ± 3.3	9.6	18.7	2.0 ± 0.0	2	2	2.2 ± 0.5	2	3
Spring	10	39.9 ± 13.4	19.8	61.0	16.5 ± 5.9	6.5	24.5	2.0 ± 0.0	2	2	2.9 ± 0.5	2	4
Summer	10	42.3 ± 13.1	16.4	58.4	15.7 ± 4.9	8.4	24.7	2.0 ± 0.0	2	2	2.8 ± 0.8	2	4
D4													
Winter	10	35.9 ± 9.4	24.6	57.1	14.3 ± 3.3	10.0	18.7	2.0 ± 0.0	2	2	2.2 ± 0.5	2	3
Spring	10	44.5 ± 17.6	21.6	81.3	17.9 ± 7.3	7.0	26.1	2.0 ± 0.0	2	2	2.9 ± 0.5	2	4
Summer	10	52.3 ± 9.9	35.6	66.1	19.3 ± 5.4	13.9	27.3	2.0 ± 0.0	2	2	2.8 ± 0.8	2	4
D30													
Winter	10	47.1 ± 16.8	28.3	81.8	15.5 ± 3.8	12.9	23.5	2.6 ± 0.6	2	3	3.8 ± 1.5	2	6
Spring	10	76.8 ± 26.0	48.3	127.0	22.4 ± 8.2	14.2	29.8	2.5 ± 0.5	2	3	4.4 ± 1.3	3	7
Summer	10	96.7 ± 27.5	66.6	154.9	26.7 ± 8.9	16.1	31.0	2.3 ± 0.5	2	3	5.1 ± 1.2	4	8

Table 1 Seasonal changes in the mean (± SE), minimum (Min) and maximum (Max) values of main burrow characteristics (length, depth, number of

893 openings and number of chambers) recorded immediately after luminophore input (D0), at day 4 (D4) and at day 30 (D30).

892

Table 2 Results of the PERMANOVA and PERMDISP analyses for assessing differences in burrow length, burrow depth, number of burrow openings, number of chambers, mean thickness of the reworked sediment layer surrounding the burrow (T_{RS}), volume of reworked sediment surrounding the burrow (B_{RS}) and volume of sediment expelled at the sediment-water interface (V_{ES}), between Seasons and (experiment) Durations. p values in bold indicate significant factor effect. *: PERMDISP, p < 0.05

Factors		Burrow length	Burrow depth	Number of burrow openings	Number of chambers	T _{RS}	B _{RS}	V _{ES}	$\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{bv}}$	$\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{bv}}$
Seasons	df	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
	MS	4478.8	234.63	0.0333	6.7111	0.184	32969	83391	1.618E7	6.832E5
	Pseudo-F	7.047*	2.727*	0.443	4.387	43.979	42.573*	54.447*	78.580	12.052*
	p(perm)	0.005	0.097	0.883	0.023	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
Durations	df	2	2	2	2	15	15	15	15	15
	MS	10595	287.09	0.9	22.411	2.285	121490	410850	2.208E5	24467
	Pseudo-F	82.991*	29.399*	11.951*	42.315*	1192.700*	255.160*	296.570*	2.182	1.942*
	p(perm)	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.013	0.034
Replicate (Seasons)	df	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27
• · · · ·	MS	635.52	86.038	0.0753	1.530	0.004	774.43	1531.6	2.0479E5	56379
	Pseudo-F	4.978*	8.811*	1.000*	2.888*	2.184	1.627	1.106	2.024	4.475
	p(perm)	0.001	0.001	0.483	0.003	0.003	0.046	0.378	0.004	0.001
Season x Durations	df	4	4	4	4	30	30	30	30	30
	MS	1408.2	61.061	0.033	1.478	0.0462	49489	49748	1.115E5	14616
	Pseudo-F	11.030*	6.253*	0.443*	2.790	24.131*	25.985*	35.911*	1.102	1.160
	p(perm)	0.001	0.002	0.8	0.049	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.338	0.274
Residuals	df	54	54	54	54	300	300	300	300	300
	MS	127.7	9.7651	0.0753	0.5296	0.0019	476.14	1385.3	1.012E5	12599

901 **Table 3** Description, average bout-duration (minutes ± SE and interval-duration (*i.e.*, average time duration between two bouts of the same behaviour;

902 minutes \pm SD) of each behaviour during winter (n = 4), spring (n = 5) and summer (n = 5) experiments. * indicates significant between seasons differences

903 (one-way PERMANOVA, p < 0.05)

Behaviour	Description		Bout-d	luration (minu	ites)	Interval-duration (minutes)					
			Winter	Spring	Summer		Winter	Spring	Summer		
Walking ^a	Walking or turning through the burrow without sediment transport	*	1.8 ± 0.1	2.0 ± 0.2	1.0 ± 0.2		42.5 ± 9.4	80.4 ± 11.9	80.4 ± 16.7		
Ventilating ^b	Movements of the four pairs of pleopods		5.7 ± 1.1	7.9 ± 2.0	6.9 ± 0.7	*	42.4 ± 12.2	17.7 ± 2.3	9.5 ± 1.0		
- Flushing	Strong ventilation causing the ejection of sediment out of the burrow	*	0.7 ± 0.1	0.8 ± 0.0	0.9 ± 0.0		512.6 ± 222.5	159.4 ± 64.0	69.8 ± 14.3		
-Pumping	<i>Movement of the pleopods without sediment transport</i>		6.2 ± 1.1	9.9 ± 2.7	8.3 ± 0.7	*	46.9 ± 12.4	23.1 ± 3.4	12.1 ± 1.4		
Burrowing ^c	Sediment transport	*	12.7 ± 1.5	9.2 ± 1.4	7.1 ± 0.6	*	46.1 ± 14.3	21.9 ± 4.3	12.7 ± 1.3		
Resting ^d	Stay stationary	*	16.9 ± 2.6	17.1 ± 2.5	7.7 ± 0.8		18.6 ± 1.9	28.8 ± 6.0	31.8 ± 2.6		

904 Corresponding terminology in Stamhuis et al. (1996) ^aWander, ^bVentilate, ^cBurrow, ^dRest

905 Corresponding terminology in Gagnon et al. (2013) ^aOther activities, ^bIrrigation of burrow, ^cSediment to surface, Transport and digging + manipulation, ^dIn 906 sight inactive

1 **Figure captions:**

Fig. 1 *Burrow*: Schematic view of a representative burrow. Green line: Former sediment-water interface as evidenced by the remaining of the luminophore layer, dotted line: burrow length, T_{RS} : average thickness of the reworked sediment layer surrounding the burrow, \overline{H} : average height of the sediment expelled at the sediment water-interface. Red dotted arrows represent the three identified patterns of sediment reworking (P1, P2 and P3; see text for details).

Fig. 2 *Behaviour*: Seasonal changes in the proportions of time allocated to the different behaviours.
Vertical bars are standard errors. Different letters indicate significant (PERMANOVA and pair-wise test, p < 0.05) differences between seasons.

Fig. 3 *Sediment reworking*: Seasonal changes in the relationships between experiment duration and: (A) the thickness of reworked sediment surrounding the burrow (T_{RS}), (B) the volume of reworked sediment surrounding the burrow (B_{RS}), and (C) the volume of sediment expelled at the sediment-water interface (V_{ES}). Relationship between B_{RS} and V_{ES} at day 4 (D).

Fig. 4 Sediment reworking modelling: Seasonal changes in the relationships between experiment
duration and: (A) average vertical biodiffusion (D_{bv}), and (B) vertical bioadvection (V_{bv}) coefficients.
Vertical bars are standard errors.

21

17

Fig. 5 Oxygen dynamics in the overlying water during core incubations: Representative example of the effect of Upogebia pusilla activity on temporal changes of O₂ saturation in the overlying water. Red, blue, green and black colours depict changes during "Burrowing", "Ventilating", "Walking" and "Resting", respectively.

26

Fig. 6 Oxygen dynamics in the burrow water: Examples of temporal changes in O₂ saturation when the mud shrimp was (A and B) far and (C) close from the micro-optode. Red, blue, green and black colours depict changes during "Burrowing", "Ventilating", "Walking" and "Resting", respectively. Relationship between O₂ saturation at the onset of a ventilation event and the mud shrimp distance from the sensor

31 (D). See text for details.











