

# Micafungin susceptibility of the most common Candida species in 16 French university hospitals: comparison between the Etest® and the EUCAST methods (MICACAND study)

Marie-Elisabeth Bougnoux, Eric Dannaoui, Isabelle Accoceberry, Adela Angoulevant, E. Bailly, Jean-Philippe Bouchara, Françoise Botterel, Sylviane Chevrier, Taieb Chouaki, Muriel Cornet, et al.

# ▶ To cite this version:

Marie-Elisabeth Bougnoux, Eric Dannaoui, Isabelle Accoceberry, Adela Angoulevant, E. Bailly, et al.. Micafungin susceptibility of the most common Candida species in 16 French university hospitals: comparison between the Etest® and the EUCAST methods (MICACAND study). 25. ECCMID, European Congress of Clinical Microbiology and infectious deseases, Apr 2015, Copenhague, Denmark., 332 p., 2015, Antifungal susceptibility. hal-02743560

HAL Id: hal-02743560 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02743560

Submitted on 3 Jun 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

### P1276

## Paper Poster Session VI

# Antifungal susceptibility

"Micafungin susceptibility of the most common Candida species in 16 French university hospitals: comparison between the Etest® and the EUCAST methods (MICACAND study)"

M.E. Bougnoux<sup>1</sup>, E. Dannaoui<sup>2</sup>, I. Accoceberry<sup>3</sup>, A. Angoulvant<sup>4</sup>, E. Bailly<sup>5</sup>, J.P. Bouchara<sup>6</sup>, F. Botterel<sup>7</sup>, S. Chevrier<sup>8</sup>, T. Chouaki<sup>9</sup>,

M. Cornet<sup>10</sup>, A. Fekkar<sup>11</sup>, F. Dalle<sup>12</sup>, J.P. Gangneux<sup>13</sup>, j. Guitard<sup>14</sup>, C. Hennequin<sup>14</sup>, P. Le Pape<sup>15</sup>, D. Maubon<sup>10</sup>, S. Ranque<sup>16</sup>,

B. Sendid<sup>17</sup>, J. Chandenier<sup>18</sup>

**Objectives**: Micafungin is currently used in France. The aim of this study is to determine its activity against a recent (2014) French collection of *Candida* isolates. Although EUCAST is the reference method for *in vitro* antifungal susceptibility testing, it is not commonly used in routine clinical microbiology laboratories. Thus, it is important to evaluate alternative methods. We compared EUCAST and Etest for micafungin susceptibility testing of *Candida* spp. and we monitored the emergence of resistance.

**Methods**: Sixteen centers (6 in Paris area and 10 across France) participated in a two-months prospective study. Clinical isolates of various *Candida* species (mainly *C. albicans, C. glabrata, C. tropicalis, C. parapsilosis, C. kefyr* and *C. krusei*, about 10 isolates of each species per center) were tested by Etest, according to manufacturer's instructions. All isolates were subsequently centralized in one center for MIC determination by EUCAST method. For comparison purposes, Etest MICs were raised to the next higher EUCAST concentration. Resistance was defined based on EUCAST clinical breakpoints or on epidemiological cut-off values when clinical breakpoints were not available

**Results**: A total number of 933 *Candida* isolates were tested. The overall agreement (+/- 2 log<sub>2</sub> dilutions) between EUCAST and Etest was 97.9%.

Species	n E-Test		EUCAST	
	MIC range	MIC range	% agreement with Etest	% resistance
C. albicans	159 ≤ 0.015 - 0.0	$6 \le 0.015 - 0.06$	100	1.3
C. tropicalis	152 ≤ 0.015 - 0.5	≤ 0.015 - 1	98.7	0.7
C. parapsilosis	152 ≤ 0.015 - 4	≤ 0.125 - 4	96.1	1.3
C. glabrata	152 ≤ 0.015 - 0.1	25 ≤ 0.015 - 1	98.7	3.9
C. kefyr	136 ≤ 0.015 - 0.2	5 ≤ 0.015 - 0.125	5 97.8	ND
C. krusei	127 ≤ 0.015 - 1	≤ 0.015 - 0.25	96.9	0
Other Candida species*	* 55 ≤ 0.015 - 1	≤ 0.015 - 1	94.5	ND
Total	933 ≤ 0.015 - 4	≤ 0.015 - 4	97.9	ND

<sup>\*:</sup> C. lusitaniae, C. guilliermondii, C. norvegensis, C. inconspicua, C. famata, C. pelliculosa, C. lambica, C. sphaerica, C. ciferii, C. catenulata, C. utilis, C. colliculosa, C. nivariensis

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Hopital Necker, Paris, France

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Hopital Pompidou, Paris, France

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Hôpital Pellegrin, Bordeaux, France

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Hôpitla de Bicêtre, Kremlin Bicêtre, France

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Hôpital Bretonneau, Tours, France

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>CHU Angers, Angers, France

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Hôpital Mondor, Créteil, France

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>CHU Rennes, Rennes, France

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>CHU d'amiens, Amiens, France

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>CHU de Grenoble, Grenoble, France

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>Hôpital Pitié, Paris, France

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup>Hôpital du Bocage, Dijon, France

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>CHU de Rennes, Rennes, France

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup>Hôpital Saint Antoine, Paris, France

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup>CHU de Nantes, Nantes, France

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup>CHU de Marseille, Marseille, France

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup>CHU de Lille, Lille, France

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup>CHU de Tours, Tours, France

Conclusions: This study demons MIC determination. Micafungin res	trated a very good agreement	between Etest, performed on a	a routine basis, and EUCAST for	· micafungin
Wile determination. Wilediangin ree		ida species was ancommen.		