Progressive Multifocal Leukoencephalopathy Incidence and Risk Stratification Among Natalizumab Users in France

JAMA Neurology

JAMA Neurol. 2020 Jan; 77(1): 94-102.

Published online 2019 Sep 3. doi: 10.1001/jamaneurol.2019.2670: 10.1001/jamaneurol.2019.2670

PMCID: PMC6724170

PMID: <u>31479149</u>

Sandra Vukusic, MD, ^{II} ^{1,2,3} Fabien Rollot, MSc,^{1,4,5,6} Romain Casey, PhD,^{1,4,5,6} Julie Pique, MD,^{1,2} Romain Marignier, MD,^{1,2,7} Guillaume Mathey, MD,^{8,9} Gilles Edan, MD,¹⁰ David Brassat, MD,¹¹ Aurélie Ruet, MD,^{12,13,14} Jérôme De Sèze, MD,¹⁵ Elisabeth Maillart, MD,¹⁶ Hélène Zéphir, MD,¹⁷ Pierre Labauge, MD,^{18,19} Nathalie Derache, MD,²⁰ Christine Lebrun-Frenay, MD,²¹ Thibault Moreau, MD,²² Sandrine Wiertlewski, MD,^{23,24} Eric Berger, MD,²⁵ Xavier Moisset, MD,²⁶ Audrey Rico-Lamy, MD,^{27,28} Bruno Stankoff, MD,^{29,30} Caroline Bensa, MD,³¹ Eric Thouvenot, MD,^{32,33} Olivier Heinzlef, MD,³⁴ Abdullatif Al-Khedr, MD,³⁵ Bertrand Bourre, MD,³⁶ Mathieu Vaillant, MD,³⁷ Philippe Cabre, MD,³⁸ Alexis Montcuquet, MD,³⁹ Abir Wahab, MD,⁴⁰ Jean-Philippe <u>Camdessanché</u>, MD,⁴¹ <u>Ayman Tourbah</u>, MD,^{42,43} <u>Anne-Marie Guennoc</u>, MD,⁴⁴ <u>Karolina</u> <u>Hankiewicz</u>, MD,⁴⁵ <u>Ivania Patry</u>, MD,⁴⁶ <u>Chantal Nifle</u>, MD,⁴⁷ <u>Nicolas Maubeuge</u>, MD,⁴⁸ <u>Céline Labeyrie</u>, MD,⁴⁹ <u>Patrick Vermersch</u>, MD,¹⁷ and <u>David-Axel Laplaud</u>, MD^{23,24}, for the OFSEP Investigators

¹Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, Faculté de Médecine Lyon Est, Lyon, France

²Service de Neurologie, Sclérose en Plaques, Pathologies de la Myéline et Neuro-Inflammation, Hôpital Neurologique Pierre Wertheimer, Hospices Civils de Lyon, Lyon/Bron, France

³Centre des Neurosciences de Lyon, Observatoire Français de la Sclérose en Plaques, INSERM 1028 et CNRS UMR5292, Lyon, France

⁴Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, Lyon, France

⁵Hospices Civils de Lyon, Lyon, France

⁶EDMUS Foundation, Lyon/Bron, France

⁷Centre des Neurosciences de Lyon, FLUID Team, INSERM 1028 et CNRS UMR5292, Lyon, France

⁸Department of Neurology, Nancy University Hospital, Nancy, France

⁹Université de Lorraine, EA 4360 APEMAC, Vandoeuvre-Lès-Nancy, Nancy, France

¹⁰CHU Pontchaillou, CIC1414 INSERM, Rennes, France

¹¹Department of Neurology, CHU de Toulouse, Toulouse, France

¹²University of Bordeaux, Bordeaux, France

¹³INSERM U1215, Neurocentre Magendie, Bordeaux, France

¹⁴CHU de Bordeaux, CIC Bordeaux CIC1401, Bordeaux, France

¹⁵Clinical Investigation Center, Department of Neurology, CHU de Strasbourg, INSERM 1434, Strasbourg, France

¹⁶Department of Neurology, Assistance Publique des Hôpitaux de Paris, Pitié-Salpêtrière Hospital, Paris, France

¹⁷LIRIC (Lille Inflammation Research International Center), University of Lille, CHU de Lille, INSERM UMR995, Lille, France

¹⁸CHU de Montpellier, MS Unit, Montpellier, France

¹⁹University of Montpellier (MUSE), Montpellier, France

²⁰Department of Neurology, CHU de la Côte de Nacre, Caen, France

²¹CHU de Nice, Université Nice Côte d'Azur, CRCSEP Nice, Neurologie Pasteur 2, Nice, France

²²Department of Neurology, CHU de Dijon, EA4184, Dijon, France

²³CHU de Nantes, Service de Neurologie, CIC015 INSERM, Nantes, France

²⁴INSERM CR1064, Nantes, France

²⁵Department of Neurology, CHU de Besançon, Besançon, France

²⁶Department of Neurology, Neuro-Dol, CHU Clermont-Ferrand, Université Clermont Auvergne, INSERM U1107, Clermont-Ferrand, France

²⁷Pôle de Neurosciences Cliniques, Service de Neurologie, APHM, Hôpital de la Timone, Marseille, France

²⁸CRMBM UMR 7339, CNRS, Aix-Marseille Université, Marseille, France

²⁹Brain and Spine Institute, Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Paris 06, ICM, Hôpital de la Pitié Salpêtrière, INSERM UMR S 1127, CNRS UMR 7225, Paris, France

³⁰Department of Neurology, AP-HP, Saint-Antoine Hospital, Paris, France

³¹Department of Neurology, Fondation Rothschild, Paris, France

³²Department of Neurology, CHU de Nîmes, Nîmes, France

³³Institut de Génomique Fonctionnelle, UMR5203, INSERM 1191, Université de Montpellier, Montpellier, France

³⁴Department of Neurology, Hôpital de Poissy, Poissy, France

³⁵Department of Neurology, CHU d'Amiens, Amiens, France

³⁶Department of Neurology, CHU de Rouen, Rouen, France

³⁷Department of Neurology, CHU Grenoble Alpes, Grenoble, France

³⁸Department of Neurology, CHU de la Martinique, Fort-de-France, France

³⁹Department of Neurology, CHU de Limoges, Hôpital Dupuytren, Limoges, France

⁴⁰Department of Neurology, Assistance Publique des Hôpitaux de Paris, Hôpital Henri Mondor, Université Paris Est, Créteil, France

⁴¹Department of Neurology, CHU de Saint-Étienne, Hôpital Nord, Saint-Étienne, France

⁴²Department of Neurology, CHU de Reims, Faculté de Médecine de Reims, URCA, Reims, France

⁴³LPN EA 2027 Université Paris 8, Saint-Denis, France

⁴⁴Department of Neurology, CHU de Tours, Hôpital Bretonneau, CRC SEP, Tours, France

⁴⁵Department of Neurology, Hôpital Pierre Delafontaine, Centre Hospitalier de Saint-Denis, Saint-Denis, France

⁴⁶Department of Neurology, Hôpital Sud Francilien, Corbeil-Essonnes, France

⁴⁷Department of Neurology, Centre Hospitalier de Versailles, Le Chesnay, France

⁴⁸Department of Neurology, CHU de Poitiers, Hôpital La Milétrie, Poitiers, France

⁴⁹Department of Neurology, CHU de Bicêtre, Le Kremlin-Bicêtre, France

Corresponding author. Article Information

Group Information: The members of the OFSEP Investigators group appear at the end of the article.

Accepted for Publication: May 30, 2019.

Corresponding Author: Sandra Vukusic, MD, Service de Neurologie, Sclérose en Plaques, Pathologies de la Myéline et Neuro-inflammation, Hôpital Neurologique Pierre Wertheimer, 59 Boulevard Pinel, 69677 Bron CEDEX, France (<u>rf.noyl-uhc@cisukuv.ardnas</u>).

Published Online: September 3, 2019. doi:10.1001/jamaneurol.2019.2670

Author Contributions: Dr Vukusic had full access to all of the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

Concept and design: Vukusic, Rollot, Casey, Vermersch.

Acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data: All authors.

Drafting of the manuscript: Vukusic, Rollot, Marignier, Guennoc, Laplaud.

Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: Rollot, Casey, Pique, Mathey, Edan, Brassat, Ruet, De Sèze, Maillart, Zéphir, Labauge, derache, Lebrun-Frénay, Moreau, Wiertlewski, Berger, Moisset, Rico-Lamy, Stankoff, Bensa, Thouvenot, Heinzlef, Al Khedr, Bourre, Vaillant, Cabre, Montcuquet, Wahab, Camdessanché, Tourbah, Hankiewicz, Patry, Nifle, Maubeuge, Labeyrie, Vermersch, Laplaud.

Statistical analysis: Vukusic, Rollot, Casey.

Obtained funding: Vukusic.

Administrative, technical, or material support: Vukusic, Pique, Lebrun-Frénay, Berger, Al Khedr, Camdessanché.

Supervision: Vukusic, Edan, De Sèze, Lebrun-Frénay, Heinzlef, Bourre, Vermersch.

Other: Maillart, Vaillant.

Conflict of Interest Disclosures: Dr Vukusic reported receiving grants, personal fees, and nonfinancial support from Biogen, Merck, Novartis, Roche, and Sanofi Genzyme; personal fees from Celgene; grants from Medday; and personal fees from Teva outside the submitted work. Dr Marignier reported receiving consulting and lecturing fees, travel grants, and research support from Biogen, Sanofi Genzyme, Novartis, Merck Serono, Roche, Sanofi-Aventis, and Teva. Dr Mathey reported receiving nonfinancial support from Biogen outside the submitted work. Dr Edan reported receiving consultancy and lecturing fees from Bayer-Schering, Biogen, LFB, Merck, Novartis, Roche, and Sanofi; receiving research grants from Bayer, Biogen, Genzyme, Merck, Novartis, Roche, Teva, and ARSEP Foundation; and being principal investigator in clinical studies conducted by Bayer, Biogen, Merck, Novartis, Sanofi-Aventis Teva, and 4 academic programs on multiple sclerosis sponsored by Rennes University Hospital. Dr Brassat reported receiving personal fees and travel support from Biogen, Novartis, Sanofi, and Roche; grants from Medday; personal fees from Teva; and consultancy fees from Chugai outside the submitted work. Dr Ruet reported receiving nonfinancial support from Biogen; grants and nonfinancial support from Teva and Roche; grants, personal fees, and nonfinancial support from Merck, Sanofi Genzyme, and Novartis; personal fees and nonfinancial support from Medday; grants from Bayer; and consultancy fees, speaker fees, research grants (nonpersonal), and honoraria approved by the institutions from Novartis, Biogen Idec, Genzyme, Medday, Roche, Teva, and Merck outside the submitted study. Dr De Sèze reported receiving grants, personal fees, and nonfinancial support from Biogen outside the submitted work, as well as consulting and lecturing fees, travel grants, and unconditional research support from Biogen, Genzyme, Novartis, Merck Serono, Roche, Sanofi-Aventis, and Teva Pharma. Dr Maillart reported receiving grants, personal fees, and nonfinancial support from Roche and Novartis; personal fees and nonfinancial support from Merck, Teva, Biogen, and Sanofi Genzyme; personal fees from Ad Scientiam outside the submitted work; consulting and lecturing fees from Biogen, Novartis, Genzyme, Teva Pharmaceuticals, Merck Serono, Roche, and Ad Scientiam; and research support from Novartis and Roche. Dr Zéphir reported receiving personal fees and nonfinancial support from Biogen Idec, Merck, Novartis, Sanofi, Bayer, and Genzyme, and grants, personal fees, and nonfinancial support from Teva and Roche outside the submitted work; consulting or lecture fees and invitations for national and international congresses from Biogen, Merck, Teva, Sanofi Genzyme, Novartis, and Baver: research support from Teva and Roche: and academic research grants from Académie de Médecine, Ligue Française Contre la Sclérose en Plagues (SEP), Fédération Hospitalo-Universitaire, and ARSEP Foundation. Dr Labauge reported receiving consulting and lecturing fees, travel grants, and unconditional research support from Biogen, Genzyme, Novartis, Merck Serono, Roche, and Teva Pharma. Dr Derache reported receiving personal fees from Biogen, Novartis, and Teva; personal fees and nonfinancial support from Sanofi Genzyme; nonfinancial support from Roche outside the submitted work; and speaker's honoraria from Merck Serono, Biogen Idec, Sanofi

Genzyme, Novartis, and Roche. Dr Lebrun-Frénay reported receiving personal fees and nonfinancial support from Biogen, Merck, Roche, Genzyme, and Novartis, as well as personal fees from Medday during the conduct of the study. Dr Moreau reported receiving personal fees from Biogen, Teva, Sanofi Genzyme, and Novartis, and grants, personal fees, and nonfinancial support from Roche and Merck Serono, as well as grants and personal fees from Medday outside the submitted work; and fees as a scientific adviser to Biogen, Medday, Novartis, Genzyme, and Sanofi. Dr Wiertlewski reported receiving consulting and lecturing fees as well as travel grants from Biogen, Genzyme, Novartis, Merck Serono, Roche, Sanofi, and Teva Pharma. Dr Berger reported receiving research support from Biogen as well as honoraria and consulting fees from Novartis, Sanofi-Aventis, Biogen, Genzyme, Roche, and Teva Pharma. Dr Moisset reported receiving personal fees from Biogen, Novartis, Teva, Sanofi Genzyme, Merck Serono, and Roche; nonfinancial support from SOS Oxygène, Pfizer, and Sanofi Pasteur MSD; grants from Lique Française Contre la SEP; funding from Teva, Novartis, Sanofi Genzyme, Merck Serono, and Astellas; and nonfinancial support from Biogen, Sanofi Pasteur MSD, GSK, AstraZeneca, Pfizer, and Roche outside the submitted work. Dr Stankoff reported receiving personal fees from Biogen, Novartis, and Teva and grants from Merck Serono, Roche, and Genzyme outside the submitted work; consulting and lecturing fees and travel grants from Biogen Idec, Merck Serono, Novartis, and Genzyme; and unconditional research support from Merck Serono, Genzyme, and Roche. Dr Bensa reported receiving personal fees and nonfinancial support from Novartis, Biogen, and Roche and personal fees from Merck and Genzyme outside the submitted work; and consulting and lecturing fees and travel grants from Biogen, Genzyme, Novartis, Merck Serono, Roche, and Teva Pharma. Dr Thouvenot reported receiving personal fees from Actelion, Genzyme, Merck, and Teva Pharma; grants and personal fees from Roche, Novartis, and Biogen outside the submitted work; consulting and lecturing fees, travel grants, or unconditional research support from Actelion, Biogen, Genzyme, Merck Serono, Novartis, Roche, and Teva Pharma; a patent pending for biomarkers of neurodegeneration and neuroregeneration; and academic research support from Programme Hospitalier de Recherche Clinique, and the ARSEP Foundation. Dr Heinzlef reported receiving consulting and lecturing fees from Bayer Schering, Merck, Teva, Genzyme, Novartis, Almirall, and Biogen Idec, travel grants from Novartis, Teva, Genzyme, Merck Serono, and Biogen Idec; and research support from Roche, Merck, and Novartis. Dr Bourre reported serving on scientific advisory board for Biogen, Genzyme, Merck Serono, Novartis, and Roche, as well as funding for travel and honoraria from Biogen Idec, Merck Serono, Novartis, Sanofi Genzyme, Roche, and Teva. Dr Vaillant reported receiving personal fees from Novartis, Roche, and Genzyme outside the submitted work, as well as funding from Roche, Novartis, Biogen, Merck Serono, and Teva. Dr Montcuquet reported receiving nonfinancial support from Teva and personal fees and nonfinancial support from Novartis, Biogen, Roche, Merck, and Sanofi Genzyme outside the submitted work; and funding for travel from Merck Serono, Teva, Novartis, Sanofi Genzyme, and Biogen. Dr Tourbah reported receiving grants, personal fees, and nonfinancial support from Medday and Biogen; grants and personal fees from Novartis; personal fees from Sanofi Genzyme, Merck, and Teva outside the submitted work; consulting and lecturing fees, travel grants, and research support from Medday, Biogen, Sanofi Genzyme, Novartis, Merck Serono, Teva Pharma, and Roche. Dr

Guennoc reported receiving personal fees from Roche and Sanofi outside the submitted work, as well as consulting or lecture fees from Biogen, Merck, Sanofi Genzyme, and Roche. Dr Patry reported receiving honoraria and consulting fees from Novartis. Genzyme, and Roche; research support from Biogen and Novartis; travel grants from Genzyme, Novartis, and Roche: grants and personal fees from Novartis, Genzyme. Biogen, and Roche outside the submitted work. Dr Nifle reported receiving personal fees from Novartis, SAS Pharma, and Genzyme during the conduct of the study; honoraria and consulting fees from Roche and Genzyme; research support from Biogen and Novartis; and travel and inscription fees for conferences from Biogen, Sanofi Genzyme, Bayer, Novartis, Teva, Roche, and Merck Serono. Dr Labeyrie reported receiving consulting and lecturing fees from Biogen, Novartis, and Genzyme. Dr Vermersch reported receiving honoraria and consulting fees from Biogen, Sanofi Genzyme, Novartis, Teva, Merck, Roche, Servier, Celgene, Medday, and Almirall, as well as research support from Biogen, Novartis, Sanofi Genzyme, Roche, and Merck. Dr Laplaud reported receiving grants from the ARSEP Foundation and Medday; personal fees from Biogen, Sanofi Genzyme, Merck, and Teva; grants and personal fees from Novartis outside the submitted work; and consulting and lecturing fees, travel grants, and unconditional research support from Biogen, Genzyme, Novartis, Merck Serono, Roche, Sanofi-Aventis, and Teva Pharma. No other disclosures were reported.

Funding/Support: OFSEP (Observatoire Français de la Sclérose en Plaques) is supported by grant ANR-10-COHO-002 from the French state and handled by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche, within the framework of the Investments for the Future program; by the Eugène Devic EDMUS Foundation Against Multiple Sclerosis; and by the ARSEP Foundation.

Role of the Funder/Sponsor: The study protocol was elaborated by Dr Vukusic in collaboration with the OFSEP national coordinating center, and it was reviewed by the OFSEP Scientific Committee. The funders had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

OFSEP Investigators: Francis Guillemin, MD, PhD, Nancy University Hospital; Michel Clanet, MD, PhD, Toulouse University Hospital; Bruno Brochet, MD, PhD, Bordeaux University Hospital; Jean Pelletier, MD, PhD, Assistance Publique des Hôpitaux de Marseille; François Cotton, MD, PhD, Hospices Civils de Lyon; Bertrand Fontaine, MD, PhD, Sorbonne University; Muriel Malbezin, MD, Hospices Civils de Lyon; Javier Olaiz, Claude Bernard Lyon 1 University; Claire Rigaud-Bully, EDMUS Foundation for Multiple Sclerosis; Nadine Debard, Observatoire Français de la Sclérose en Plaques; Françoise Durand-Dubief, MD, PhD, Hospices Civils de Lyon; Iuliana Ionescu, MD, Hospices Civils de Lyon; Amalle Abdelalli, Hospices Civils de Lyon; Marc Debouverie, MD, PhD, Nancy University Hospital; Amandine Ziegler, Nancy University Hospital; Emmanuelle Le Page, MD, Rennes University Hospital; Emmanuelle Leray, PhD, Ecole des Hautes Etudes en Santé Publique; Laure Michel, MD, Rennes University Hospital; Romain Muraz, Rennes

University Hospital: Damien Le Port, Rennes University Hospital: Jonathan Ciron, MD. Toulouse University Hospital; Damien Biotti, MD, Toulouse University Hospital; Jean-Christophe Ouallet, MD, Bordeaux University Hospital: Katy-Kim Kounkou, Bordeaux University Hospital; Nicolas Collongues, MD, PhD, Strasbourg University Hospital; Carole Berthe, Strasbourg University Hospital: Patrick Hautecoeur, MD, PhD, Lille Catholic University; Olivier Outteryck, MD, PhD, Lille University Hospital; Fabienne Deruelle, Lille University Hospital; Caroline Papeix, MD, Assistance Publique des Hôpitaux de Paris, Pitié-Salpêtrière Hospital; Catherine Lubetzki, MD, PhD, Assistance Publique des Hôpitaux de Paris, Pitié-Salpêtrière Hospital; Mikael Cohen, MD, Nice University Hospital; Céline Callier, Nice University Hospital; Gilles Defer, MD, PhD, Caen University Hospital; Pierre Branger, MD, Caen University Hospital; Xavier Ayrignac, MD, PhD, Montpellier University Hospital; Clarisse Carra-Dalliere, MD, Montpellier University Hospital; Frédéric Pinna, Montpellier University Hospital; Agnès Fromont, MD, PhD, Dijon University Hospital; Alexia Protin, Dijon University Hospital; Natacha Jousset, Nantes University Hospital; Nathalie Devys-Meyer, MD, Besancon University Hospital; Mathieu Bereau, MD, Besancon University Hospital; Chrystelle Cappe, Besancon University Hospital; Pierre Clavelou, MD, PhD, Clermont-Ferrand University Hospital; Frédéric Taithe, MD, Clermont-Ferrand University Hospital; Emilie Dumont, Clermont-Ferrand University Hospital; Bertrand Audoin, MD, PhD, Assistance Publique des Hôpitaux de Marseille; Bernadette Di Lelio, Assistance Publique des Hôpitaux de Marseille; Giovanni Castelnovo, MD, Nîmes University Hospital; Claire Giannesini, MD, Assistance Publique des Hôpitaux de Paris, Saint-Antoine Hospital; Ombeline Fagniez, MD, Poissy-Saint-Germain Hospital; Clémence Laage, MD, Poissy-Saint-Germain Hospital; Romain Lefaucheur, MD, Rouen University Hospital; David Maltete, MD, Rouen University Hospital; Christine Vimont, MD, Rouen University Hospital; Sabrina Sehaki, Amiens University Hospital; Olivier Gout, MD, PhD, Fondation Rothschild; Antoine Guegen, MD, Fondation Rothschild; Irène Tabellah Kasonde, MD, Martinique University Hospital; Aymeric De Vilmarrest, MD, Martinique University Hospital; Laurent Magy, MD, PhD, Limoges University Hospital; Marie Nicol, Limoges University Hospital; Olivier Casez, MD, Grenoble-Alpes University Hospital, Grenoble, France; Maty Diop Kane, CRA, Grenoble-Alpes University Hospital; Vincent Visneux, Saint-Etienne University Hospital; Stéphane Beltran, MD, Tours University Hospital; Géraldine Meunier, Tours University Hospital; Alain Creange, MD, PhD, Assistance Publique des Hôpitaux de Paris, Henri Mondor Hospital; Jérôme Hodel, MD, PhD, Assistance Publique des Hôpitaux de Paris, Henri Mondor Hospital; Mohamed Abdellaoui, MD, Assistance Publique des Hôpitaux de Paris, Henri Mondor Hospital; Corinne Pottier, MD, Pontoise Hospital; Iuliana Slesari, MD, Pontoise Hospital; Mathilde Sampaio, CRA, MD, Pontoise Hospital; Jean-Philippe Neau, MD, PhD, Poitiers University Hospital; Emilie Rabois, Poitiers University Hospital; Edwige Lescieux, Corbeil-Essones Hospital; Jérôme Servan, MD, Versailles University Hospital; Fernando Pico, MD PhD, Versailles University Hospital; Virginie Chatagner, CRA, Versailles University Hospital; Carole Henry, MD, Saint-Denis Hospital; Thomas De Broucker, MD, Saint-Denis Hospital; Cédric Castex, Amiens University Hospital; and Sadou-Safa Diallo, Assistance Publique des Hôpitaux de Paris.

Additional Contributions: We are indebted to the patients for their participation in the OFSEP project. Irena Vukusic, RN, assisted in the project management as the academic project coordinator for OFSEP.

Received 2019 Mar 5; Accepted 2019 May 30.

Copyright 2019 American Medical Association. All Rights Reserved.

Key Points

Question

Has the incidence of natalizumab-associated progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy decreased since the introduction of the John Cunningham virus serologic test and risk-stratification recommendations?

Findings

In this multicenter study of 6318 patients with multiple sclerosis enrolled in the French multiple sclerosis registry, incidence rates were found to have decreased significantly by 23% each year since January 2013, when risk-minimization guidelines were implemented, compared with a 45% yearly increase observed before 2013.

Meaning

This study suggests that risk-minimization strategies should be continued and reinforced in the future to manage disease-modifying drug therapy in multiple sclerosis.

Abstract

Importance

Risk of developing progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy (PML) is the major barrier to using natalizumab for patients with multiple sclerosis (MS). To date, the association of risk stratification with PML incidence has not been evaluated.

Objective

To describe the temporal evolution of PML incidence in France before and after introduction of risk minimization recommendations in 2013.

Design, Setting, and Participants

This observational study used data in the MS registry OFSEP (Observatoire Français de la Sclérose en Plaques) collected between April 15, 2007, and December 31, 2016, by participating MS expert centers and MS-dedicated networks of neurologists in France. Patients with an MS diagnosis according to current criteria, regardless of age, were

eligible, and those exposed to at least 1 natalizumab infusion (n = 6318) were included in the at-risk population. A questionnaire was sent to all centers, asking for a description of their practice regarding PML risk stratification. Data were analyzed in July 2018.

Exposures

Time from the first natalizumab infusion to the occurrence of PML, natalizumab discontinuation plus 6 months, or the last clinical evaluation.

Main Outcomes and Measures

Incidence was the number of PML cases reported relative to the person-years exposed to natalizumab. A Poisson regression model for the 2007 to 2016 period estimated the annual variation in incidence and incidence rate ratio (IRR), adjusted for sex and age at treatment initiation and stratified by period (2007-2013 and 2013-2016).

Results

In total, 6318 patients were exposed to natalizumab during the study period, of whom 4682 (74.1%) were female, with a mean (SD [range]) age at MS onset of 28.5 (9.1 [1.1-72.4]) years; 45 confirmed incident cases of PML were diagnosed in 22 414 person-years of exposure. The crude incidence rate for the whole 2007 to 2016 period was 2.00 (95% CI, 1.46-2.69) per 1000 patient-years. Incidence significantly increased by 45.3% (IRR, 1.45; 95% CI, 1.15-1.83; P = .001) each year before 2013 and decreased by 23.0% (IRR, 0.77; 95% CI, 0.61-0.97; P = .03) each year from 2013 to 2016.

Conclusions and Relevance

The results of this study suggest, for the first time, a decrease in natalizumab-associated PML incidence since 2013 in France that may be associated with a generalized use of John Cunningham virus serologic test results; this finding appears to support the continuation and reinforcement of educational activities and risk-minimization strategies in the management of disease-modifying therapies for multiple sclerosis.

Introduction

Progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy (PML) is a rare opportunistic infection caused by John Cunningham virus (JCV), a widespread polyomavirus present at latent stage in healthy individuals. In persons who are immunocompromised, JCV can infect oligodendrocytes, leading to their destruction and to clinically devastating and lifethreatening consequences.¹ Natalizumab, a monoclonal anti– α 4 integrin antibody, selectively inhibits binding of lymphocytes on the surface of vascular endothelial cells, precluding their migration in areas of inflammation. Natalizumab has been demonstrated to be highly active in preventing disease activity in multiple sclerosis (MS).^{2,3} Progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy was not reported in MS, despite the wide use of immunosuppressants, until the description in 2005 of 2 cases in patients treated with natalizumab. 4,5,6 The prevalence of natalizumab-associated PML was estimated to be 1 per 1000 patients with MS (95% CI, 0.2-2.8) after approximately 18 doses.⁷ This risk was constantly reevaluated by Biogen, the drug's marketing authorization holder, and with 753 confirmed cases in approximately 177 800 exposed patients with MS, the most recent estimate reached 4.19 per 1000 patients (95% CI, 3.89-4.49) in December 2017.⁸

The risk of PML is a major limitation to the use of natalizumab. Considerable efforts are needed to better identify patients in whom the risk might outweigh treatment advantages. Since 2012, 3 factors have been associated with an increased risk: exposure to natalizumab for more than 24 months, previous use of immunosuppressants, and a positive JCV serologic test result.^{9,10} Since 2014, the JCV index has delineated the risk in patients with JCV-positive results.¹¹

However, several experts pointed out the surprising absence of change in the incidence of PML and asked why knowledge of the risk factors did not lead to a decline in overall incidence. $\frac{12,13,14}{12}$

In the present study, the objectives were to describe the temporal evolution of the incidence of natalizumab-associated PML in France between April 15, 2007, and December 31, 2016, and to evaluate the association of risk-minimization procedures with PML incidence before and after January 2013.

Methods

We conducted an observational, multicenter study on data collected in OFSEP (Observatoire Français de la Sclérose en Plaques; ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: <u>NCT02889965</u>), the registry of patients with MS in France. Patients enrolled in OFSEP provided written consent for participation. Confidentiality and safety of OFSEP data are ensured by the recommendations of the French Commission Nationale de l'Informatique et des Libertés (CNIL). OFSEP received approval from the Comité Consultatif sur le Traitement de l'Information en matière de Recherche dans le domaine de la Santé and CNIL for storing clinical, biological, and imaging data for research purposes. This study was covered by this general approval and did not require any additional procedure, according to French law.

Patients and Data Collection

OFSEP gathers data on patients with MS, routinely collected by all OFSEP-participating MS expert centers and MS-dedicated networks of neurologists in France, using EDMUS (European Database for Multiple Sclerosis) software to build a comprehensive database of clinical information for their patients with MS.^{15,16} Patients with an MS diagnosis according to current criteria, regardless of age, are included. Clinical data are collected during routine follow-up visits, usually at least once a year, retrospectively at the first visit and prospectively thereafter. Data collection is based on a minimal mandatory data set,

including demographic and socioeconomic characteristics, description of MS, and disease-modifying therapies (DMTs), although much more data can be collected at the investigator's discretion. Serious adverse events have also been systematically collected since January 2017, but PML occurrence was usually well documented previously.

Population of Interest

The population of interest comprised patients with a diagnosis of MS and PML associated with natalizumab treatment since April 15, 2007 (date of release to market in France). Eligible cases were selected from the OFSEP database. For quality control, the list of identified PML cases was sent to each center to validate the case, the certainty of PML diagnosis (whether definitive or suggestive of the disease, according to the acknowledged criteria¹⁷), the date of PML onset, and whether each patient was followed up by the center before onset or was referred because of the suggestion of PML. The OFSEP participating centers were also asked to complete PML reports that were missing. Patients in whom the presence of PML was merely suspected were excluded, as were patients referred for PML diagnostic workup who were not already included in the OFSEP database. Those cases did not contribute to the at-risk population and did not reflect the practice of the OFSEP investigators.

At-Risk Population

All patients exposed to at least 1 infusion of natalizumab since April 15, 2007, were included in the at-risk population, allowing an estimation of the person-years for exposure. Patients exposed only in the setting of clinical trials before April 2007 were excluded. The year 2017 was not included in the analysis owing to a delay in data entry in some centers that would have underestimated the at-risk population, whereas the collection of PML cases was likely to be exhaustive until December 2018. This data-entry delay has been described in other registry studies.¹⁸ Previous unpublished analyses of OFSEP data have also shown that data can be considered valid after 18 months; therefore, we censored all data on December 31, 2016.

The period of interest for each patient was defined from the date of the first natalizumab infusion to the occurrence of either the event of interest (ie, PML), natalizumab discontinuation plus 6 months (as PML cases can be diagnosed in the 6 months after stopping treatment, which still constitutes an at-risk period), or the last clinical evaluation if the patient was still under treatment.

Risk Mitigation Practice Survey

A questionnaire was sent to all centers, asking for a description of their practice regarding PML risk stratification. The survey included questions about risk factors, the timeline and process for modifying the practice in case of an indication of natalizumab, the treatment duration, and specific follow-up plans (JCV testing frequency and magnetic resonance imaging [MRI] follow-up).

Outcomes

The primary outcome was the incidence of natalizumab-associated PML by year, between 2007 and 2016, and stratified in 2 periods of before and after 2013. This outcome allowed us to evaluate the potential implications of the risk mitigation strategy. January 2013 was predefined as the cutoff date, as the JCV serologic test has been commonly used in France since 2012. We hypothesized that it would take at least 1 year to disseminate and apply the mitigation strategy and observe the consequences.

Secondary outcomes were the conditional survival by treatment duration and description of risk mitigation procedures among OFSEP centers.

Statistical Analysis

Crude annual PML incidence rates were estimated as the number of PML cases identified by calendar year relative to the number of person-years exposed to natalizumab each year from 2007 to 2016. A univariate Poisson regression model integrated the year as a linear covariate and patient-years exposed to natalizumab as the offset term. This model allowed estimation of the annual variation in incidence rate ratios (IRRs). A multivariate analysis was performed, adjusting for sex and age at treatment initiation, along with a stratified analysis by period before and after 2013. The variances of the regression estimators were identified through the sandwich method in which robust estimators and CIs of IRR estimators were calculated by using the delta method. Performance of the models was assessed through residuals and overdispersion of the data by Pearson residuals, residual deviance, and the Cameron and Trivedi test.¹⁹

To identify the probabilities of developing PML as a function of treatment duration, we estimated the conditional probabilities for each 12-month interval from 0 to 96 months. Each interval [Mi, Mj]i < j provides the probability of developing PML at month Mj, assuming there was no PML at month Mi. Conditional survival probabilities (S) were estimated by dividing the probability of survival at month Mj by the probability of survival at month Mi; conditional probabilities of developing PML were deduced by complementarity (1–S). Variances were given by a variation of the usual Greenwood formula for unconditional survival.²⁰

Data analyses were performed in July 2018 with SAS, version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Inc), and R, version 3.4.3 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing). Two-sided P < .05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Baseline Characteristics

By June 15, 2018, the OFSEP cohort comprised 59 876 patients with MS. In total, 6352 (10.6%) received at least 1 infusion of natalizumab, 17 of whom were excluded because they were referred after the diagnosis of PML. A total of 6318 (10.6%) were included in the at-risk population (Figure 1), of whom 4682 (74.1%) were female, with a mean (SD

[range]) age at MS onset of 28.5 (9.1 [1.1-72.4]) years. The mean (SD [range]) treatment duration was 39.6 (30.2 [0.03-164.8]) months. Of the 6318 at-risk patients, 1372 (21.7%) were exposed to at least 1 immunosuppressant before natalizumab.

Originally, 61 cases of PML were registered in the OFSEP, and 10 additional cases were reported during the validation procedure. Twenty-six cases were excluded from the analysis, because 2 were only suggestive of PML but not confirmed by polymerase chain reaction testing of cerebrospinal fluid or brain biopsy, 7 occurred after 2016 (5 in 2017 and 2 in 2018), and 17 were not followed up by centers before the diagnosis of PML. Forty-five patients with definite PML were analyzed, of whom 31 (68.9%) were female and the mean (SD [range]) age was 28.7 (6.7 [17.4-42.6]) years at MS onset and 43.5 (7.0 [27.1-58.8]) years at PML onset. In 8 cases (17.7%), PML started after natalizumab discontinuation (5 in the first 3 months, and 3 between 3 and 6 months). The mean (SD [range]) treatment duration was 52.0 (24.2 [4.7-117.1]) months. One case (2.2%) occurred in the first 12 months of treatment, 3 in year 2, 5 in year 3, 18 in year 4, and 18 after 4 years. Ten patients (22.2%) were exposed to at least 1 immunosuppressant before natalizumab. Eleven patients (24.4%) died.

Evolution of Annual Crude Incidence Rates of PML

The crude incidence rate of PML between 2007 and 2016 was 2.00 (95% Cl, 1.46-2.69) per 1000 person-years, corresponding to 45 patients with PML and 22 414 person-years exposed to natalizumab (Figure 2). Yearly incidence rates increased until 2013 (3.54 [95% Cl, 1.7-6.5] per 1000 person-years) and decreased thereafter to 1.66 (95% Cl, 0.45-4.26) in 2015 and 1.82 (95% Cl, 0.5-4.67) in 2016. Although the natalizumab-exposed population cannot yet be exactly assessed for 2017 and 2018, we can provide the annual numbers of incident PML cases: 5 in 2017 and 2 in 2018. These data are consistent with the data for the pharmacovigilance reporting to Biogen France and confirm the demonstrated trend.

Stratification by Period

For the 2007 to 2013 period, the univariate Poisson regression showed a statistically significant increase in the incidence of PML (IRR, 1.44; 95% CI, 1.15-1.80; P = .001), corresponding to a modeled yearly increase by 43.9% (<u>Table; Figure 3</u>). The multivariate analysis, introducing sex and age at treatment onset as covariates, confirmed the statistically significant incidence increase by 45.3% (IRR, 1.45; 95% CI, 1.15-1.83; P = .001). Sex was not associated with the risk of PML. Younger patients (<30 years) were statistically significantly less likely to develop PML (IRR, 0.20; 95% CI, 0.06-0.67; P = .009), with a reduction by more than 80% of their risk as compared with the oldest patients. Pearson residual analysis and residuals deviance confirmed good quality (P = .71) and good fit (P = .70) of the model, with no overdispersion (P = .24).

By contrast, in the 2013 to 2016 period, the univariate analysis found a statistically significant decrease in the incidence of PML (IRR, 0.76; 95% CI, 0.70-0.84; P < .001), that is, a yearly reduction by 23.6%. This decrease remained statistically significant in the

multivariate analysis (IRR, 0.77; 95% CI, 0.61-0.97; P = .03), with a trend toward a more important risk in male patients (IRR, 1.60; 95% CI, 0.98-2.63; P = .06) and a statistically significantly lower risk in younger patients (IRR, 0.44; 95% CI, 0.21-0.89; P = .02). Pearson residual analysis and residuals deviance confirmed good quality (P = .95) and good fit (P = .79) of the model, with no overdispersion (P = .99).

Conditional Probability of Developing PML by Treatment Duration

The probability of developing PML in a time interval, assuming the patient developed no PML before and was still exposed to natalizumab, increased from the second year onward to reach the highest figure in the fourth year of exposure, 6.1 per 1000 patients (95% CI, 3.2-8.99) (Figure 4). The decrease observed thereafter had to be considered with caution, given that CIs were wide, numbers of patients were low, and a depletion in at-risk persons for longer duration occurred as mainly patients with seronegative JCV test results continued natalizumab.

Risk Mitigation Procedures in Practice

The questionnaire was completed by 33 (97.1%) of the 34 participating centers. All centers declared using JCV test results in the care of their patients: 33 (97.1%) at natalizumab initiation and 1 (2.9%) after 18 months (eTable in the <u>Supplement</u>).

Three centers (9.1%) never used natalizumab in patients with JCV-positive results. Of 30 centers, 26 (86.7%) used JCV index, with variable high-risk thresholds: 0.7 in 2 centers, 0.9 in 22, and 1.5 in 4.

In patients with JCV-negative results, retesting is done every 6 months in 30 (90.9%) of 33 centers, more frequently (3-4 months) in 2 (6.1%), and only annually in 1 (3.0%). An MRI follow-up is done annually in 27 centers (81.8%) and every 6 months in 6 (18.2%).

In patients with JCV-positive results with a low index (according to the local threshold), retesting is done every 6 months in 24 (75%) of 32 centers, more frequently (3-4 months) in 7 (21.9%), and only annually in 1 (3.1%). An MRI follow-up is done more frequently in 28 centers (87.5%; quarterly in 11, biannually in 17 centers), after 12 (8 centers), 18 (9 centers), or 24 (8 centers) months of exposure and since onset in 3 centers (9.4%). Retesting is done annually in 4 centers (12.5%).

In patients with JCV-positive results with a high index, MRI follow-up is increased to every 3 months in 27 of 29 centers (93.1%), every 6 months in 2 centers (6.9%), after 12 months in 8 centers (27.6%), after 18 months in 12 centers (41.4%), and after 24 months in 4 centers (13.8%). After 24 months of exposure in high-index patients, 13 of 31 centers (41.9%) systematically stop natalizumab, 20 (64.5%) discuss the balance between advantages and risks as well as alternative drugs in expert consensus meetings and with the patients, and 6 (19.4%) also include CD62L dose in the discussion.

Discussion

The risk of PML is the main limitation to the use of natalizumab, one of the most effective DMTs available for preventing inflammation in MS. To our knowledge, this study is the first to describe at the national level a statistically significant decrease in the incidence of natalizumab-associated PML since 2013. In the study, 2 cases that were only suggestive of PML were excluded. Both cases occurred before 2013, and including them in the analysis would have strengthened the main result.

OFSEP is a national registry representing more than half of patients with MS in France. Recruitment into OFSEP is mainly hospital-based, but coverage of patients receiving immunoactive treatments, especially those administered in hospital centers only, is even higher. Recording of serious adverse events is mandatory since 2017. However, for such a severe condition with consequences on future treatment decisions, notification was preexisting in most cases. Identification of PML cases was likely to reach exhaustivity within the OFSEP network. Owing to the high-level expertise at all OFSEP centers, underdetection leading to underestimation of the number of cases is unlikely to have occurred, especially in the latter years of our study. The at-risk population can be considered reliable, as treatment start and stop dates are mandatory. In considering extrapolation to the whole French population, it would seem likely that, owing to the high level of expertise of OFSEP centers, risk mitigation procedures might have been applied earlier and more systematically than at non-OFSEP centers.

Until now, the only available data on the evolution of PML incidence come from Biogen.⁸ In December 2017, the incidence of PML was reported to be 4.19 per 1000 patients (95% CI, 3.89-4.49), without substantial evolution over time. This rate is not truly incidence, but rather the number of PML cases divided by the number of exposed patients. In 2016, Campagnolo et al²¹ reported that PML incidence increased by 0.067 per month until September 2013 but only 0.027 from September 2013 to June 2016, a 50% decrease in the slope of the curve. No methodologic details were given on how incidence was calculated, but we found from a previous paper that each point was computed from the total number of PML cases and the total exposure to natalizumab.²² Recently updated results confirm a stabilization of this incidence rate since mid-2016.²³

Assigning causal relationships for the observed decrease in PML incidence in France since 2013 is not possible. However, we can speculate that the decrease in high-risk individuals could have been a factor. In France, natalizumab is indicated as a second-line therapy in highly active MS. Access was accompanied by a risk mitigation plan, including educational documents for neurologists, patients, and families. Testing for JCV was made available to all French neurologists and was supplied by Biogen in early 2012, and the JCV index was made available in August 2015. According to our survey, OFSEP participants not only applied the recommendations but also were even more cautious: 9.1% never used natalizumab in patients with JCV-positive results, whatever the JCV index; 82.8% used a threshold of 0.9 or less for treatment decision, rather than 1.5; 96.5% discontinued natalizumab in patients with JCV-positive results, after 24 months in one-half of patients and as early as 12 to 18 months in the other half. By contrast, few clinicians (12.1%) increase interval doses, as recently described.²⁴

Data from the present study showed a decrease in the number of person-years exposed to natalizumab since 2012, which might be associated with the application of risk mitigation procedures and access to new DMTs, especially fingolimod, since 2012. The annualized seroconversion rate of patients using natalizumab is higher than 5%, cumulatively leading to more than 25% of patients with seronegative results receiving seropositive results after 4 years and to the discontinuation of natalizumab use in a considerable number of patients.²⁵

In addition, this study showed that age was an independent risk factor for PML, as previously reported by the Italian registry.²⁶ This finding might be associated with the increase in JCV seroprevalence with age²⁷ as well as with immune senescence, to some extent.²⁸

Although the overall incidence of PML decreased recently, PML did not disappear. Use of natalizumab was maintained despite risk factors in patients for whom the fear of a poorer control of MS activity exceeded the risk perception. Our survey showed that only 41.9% of the OFSEP centers systematically discontinued natalizumab in patients with JCV-positive results and a high index after 24 months. Unfortunately, we had no access to the JCV status in this study, as it is not systematically requested in OFSEP. However, the details of real-world practice could be explored in the future by incorporating the risk factors in systematic data collection. The situation could also change rapidly with approval of new DMTs, such as anti-CD20 agents, that are efficacious for inflammation but pose much less risk of PML. Patients at increased risk of PML, therefore, either would not be exposed to natalizumab at all or would be switched after 18 to 24 months. Among the challenges of the coming years would be to evaluate the implications of these new therapeutic strategies for long-term disability progression and for the risk of PML and other serious adverse events in patients exposed sequentially to different DMTs.

Limitations

There are 2 major limitations to our study. First, although OFSEP is the sole French national MS registry, it is not population-based and represents only one-half of patients with MS in France. OFSEP patients are more actively treated and more frequently exposed to second-line therapies.¹⁶ They are also very well described, with an exhaustive reporting of PML cases by expert MS neurologists and a comprehensive description of exposure to DMTs. Although our results may not be generalizable to the whole population of patients with MS, our results may at least reflect the situation in patients followed in MS expert centers. Second, it is not possible to assess causality in such a study, especially in the absence of JCV serologic testing results in the database. We tried to overcome this limitation by providing an almost exhaustive (33/34 centers) declarative description of the participating centers' risk mitigation practices. In the future, causality could be explored further by collecting risk factors in detail at the individual patient level and by reproducing the same analysis in other similar MS registries, in particular those collaborating in the BigMSData network.

Conclusions

In this study, we demonstrated that natalizumab-associated PML incidence in France has decreased since 2013, in a temporal concomitance with the introduction of risk mitigation guidelines. Although a causal relationship cannot be established, we believe this finding encourages the continuation and reinforcement of educational activities to prevent the risk of PML.

Notes

Supplement.

eTable. Survey on PML Risk Minimization Procedures Among the 34 OFSEP Participating Centers

References

1. Major EO, Yousry TA, Clifford DB. Pathogenesis of progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy and risks associated with treatments for multiple sclerosis: a decade of lessons learned. Lancet Neurol. 2018;17(5):467-480. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(18)30040-1 [PubMed: 29656742] [CrossRef: 10.1016/S1474-4422(18)30040-1]

2. Polman CH, O'Connor PW, Havrdova E, et al.; AFFIRM Investigators . A randomized, placebo-controlled trial of natalizumab for relapsing multiple sclerosis. N Engl J Med. 2006;354(9):899-910. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa044397 [PubMed: 16510744] [CrossRef: 10.1056/NEJMoa044397]

3. Rudick RA, Stuart WH, Calabresi PA, et al.; SENTINEL Investigators . Natalizumab plus interferon beta-1a for relapsing multiple sclerosis. N Engl J Med. 2006;354(9):911-923. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa044396 [PubMed: 16510745] [CrossRef: 10.1056/NEJMoa044396]

4. Berger JR. Classifying PML risk with disease modifying therapies. Mult Scler Relat Disord. 2017;12:59-63. doi: 10.1016/j.msard.2017.01.006 [PubMed: 28283109] [CrossRef: 10.1016/j.msard.2017.01.006]

5. Kleinschmidt-DeMasters BK, Tyler KL. Progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy complicating treatment with natalizumab and interferon beta-1a for multiple sclerosis. N Engl J Med. 2005;353(4):369-374. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa051782 [PubMed: 15947079] [CrossRef: 10.1056/NEJMoa051782]

 Langer-Gould A, Atlas SW, Green AJ, Bollen AW, Pelletier D. Progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy in a patient treated with natalizumab. N Engl J Med.
2005;353(4):375-381. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa051847 [PubMed: 15947078] [CrossRef: 10.1056/NEJMoa051847] Yousry TA, Major EO, Ryschkewitsch C, et al.. Evaluation of patients treated with natalizumab for progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy. N Engl J Med.
2006;354(9):924-933. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa054693 [PMCID: PMC1934511] [PubMed: 16510746] [CrossRef: 10.1056/NEJMoa054693]

8. PML assessment update. https://www.biogen-

international.com/content/corporate/en/medical-professionals/dcsecure/tysabriupdate.html. Accessed July 24, 2019.

9. Bloomgren G, Richman S, Hotermans C, et al.. Risk of natalizumab-associated progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy. N Engl J Med. 2012;366(20):1870-1880. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1107829 [PubMed: 22591293] [CrossRef: 10.1056/NEJMoa1107829]

10. Sørensen PS, Bertolotto A, Edan G, et al.. Risk stratification for progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy in patients treated with natalizumab. Mult Scler. 2012;18(2):143-152. doi: 10.1177/1352458511435105 [PubMed: 22312009] [CrossRef: 10.1177/1352458511435105]

11. Plavina T, Subramanyam M, Bloomgren G, et al.. Anti-JC virus antibody levels in serum or plasma further define risk of natalizumab-associated progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy. Ann Neurol. 2014;76(6):802-812. doi: 10.1002/ana.24286 [PMCID: PMC4282070] [PubMed: 25273271] [CrossRef: 10.1002/ana.24286]

12. Cutter GR, Stüve O. Does risk stratification decrease the risk of natalizumabassociated PML? Where is the evidence? Mult Scler. 2014;20(10):1304-1305. doi: 10.1177/1352458514531843 [PubMed: 24812045] [CrossRef: 10.1177/1352458514531843]

13. Ho PR, Koendgen H, Campbell N, Haddock B, Richman S, Chang I. Risk of natalizumab-associated progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy in patients with multiple sclerosis: a retrospective analysis of data from four clinical studies. Lancet Neurol. 2017;16(11):925-933. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(17)30282-X [PubMed: 28969984] [CrossRef: 10.1016/S1474-4422(17)30282-X]

14. Derfuss T, Kappos L. PML risk and natalizumab: the elephant in the room. Lancet Neurol. 2017;16(11):864-865. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(17)30335-6 [PubMed: 28969985] [CrossRef: 10.1016/S1474-4422(17)30335-6]

 Confavreux C, Compston DA, Hommes OR, McDonald WI, Thompson AJ. EDMUS, a European database for multiple sclerosis. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry.
1992;55(8):671-676. doi: 10.1136/jnnp.55.8.671 [PMCID: PMC489202] [PubMed: 1527537] [CrossRef: 10.1136/jnnp.55.8.671]

16. Vukusic S, Casey R, Rollot F, et al.; the OFSEP Investigators . Observatoire Français de la Sclérose en Plaques (OFSEP): a unique multimodal nationwide MS registry in France [published online December 13, 2018]. Mult Scler. 2018. doi: 10.1177/1352458518815602 [PubMed: 30541380] [CrossRef: 10.1177/1352458518815602]

17. Berger JR, Aksamit AJ, Clifford DB, et al.. PML diagnostic criteria: consensus statement from the AAN neuroinfectious disease section. Neurology. 2013;80(15):1430-1438. doi: 10.1212/WNL.0b013e31828c2fa1 [PMCID: PMC3662270] [PubMed: 23568998] [CrossRef: 10.1212/WNL.0b013e31828c2fa1]

18. Institut de Veille Sanitaire, INSERM. Registres epidemiologiques et acces aux sources de donnees standardisees: etat des lieux et perspectives d'amelioration [in French]. Comite National des Registres. <u>https://solidarites-</u>

<u>sante.gouv.fr/IMG/pdf/Contribution_du_Comite_national_des_registres_InVs-Inserm_-</u> <u>propositions.pdf</u>. Accessed July 24, 2019.

19. Cameron AC, Trivedi PK. Regression-based tests for overdispersion in the Poisson model. J Econom. 1990;46(3):347-364. doi: 10.1016/0304-4076(90)90014-K [CrossRef: 10.1016/0304-4076(90)90014-K]

20. Greenwood M. A report on the natural duration of cancer In: Greenwood M. Reports on Public Health and Medical Subjects. Ministry of Health. London, UK: HMSO; 1926: iv. <u>https://www.cabdirect.org/cabdirect/abstract/19272700028</u>. Accessed July 25, 2019. 21. Campagnolo D, Dong Q, Lee L, Ho PR, Amarante D, Koendgen H. Statistical analysis of PML incidences of natalizumab-treated patients from 2009 to 2016: outcomes after introduction of the Stratify JCV® DxSelect[™] antibody assay. J Neurovirol. 2016;22(6):880-881. doi: 10.1007/s13365-016-0482-z [PMCID: PMC5127882] [PubMed: 27730447] [CrossRef: 10.1007/s13365-016-0482-z]

22. Werner MH, Huang D. Natalizumab-treated patients at high risk for PML persistently excrete JC polyomavirus. J Neurovirol. 2016;22(6):871-875. doi: 10.1007/s13365-016-0449-0 [PMCID: PMC5127893] [PubMed: 27198748] [CrossRef: 10.1007/s13365-016-0449-0]

23. Giovannoni G, Kappos L, Berger J, et al. Incidence of natalizumab-associated progressive multifocal leucoencephalopathy and its relationship with the pattern of natalizumab exposure over time. <u>https://onlinelibrary.ectrims-</u>

congress.eu/ectrims/2018/ectrims-

2018/228448/gavin.giovannoni.incidence.of.natalizumab-

associated.progressive.multifocal.html?

<u>f=listing%3D0%2Abrowseby%3D8%2Asortby%3D1%2Asearch%3Dgiovannoni</u>. Accessed January 2, 2019.

24. Yamout BI, Sahraian MA, Ayoubi NE, et al.. Efficacy and safety of natalizumab extended interval dosing. Mult Scler Relat Disord. 2018;24:113-116. doi:

10.1016/j.msard.2018.06.015 [PubMed: 29982107] [CrossRef:

10.1016/j.msard.2018.06.015]

25. Vennegoor A, van Rossum JA, Leurs C, et al.. High cumulative JC virus seroconversion rate during long-term use of natalizumab. Eur J Neurol. 2016;23(6):1079-1085. doi: 10.1111/ene.12988 [PubMed: 27018481] [CrossRef: 10.1111/ene.12988]

26. Prosperini L, Scarpazza C, Imberti L, Cordioli C, De Rossi N, Capra R. Age as a risk factor for early onset of natalizumab-related progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy. J Neurovirol. 2017;23(5):742-749. doi: 10.1007/s13365-017-0561-9 [PubMed: 28791614] [CrossRef: 10.1007/s13365-017-0561-9]

27. Olsson T, Achiron A, Alfredsson L, et al.. Anti-JC virus antibody prevalence in a multinational multiple sclerosis cohort. Mult Scler. 2013;19(11):1533-1538. doi: 10.1177/1352458513477925 [PubMed: 23459571] [CrossRef: 10.1177/1352458513477925]

28. Mills EA, Mao-Draayer Y. Aging and lymphocyte changes by immunomodulatory therapies impact PML risk in multiple sclerosis patients. Mult Scler. 2018;24(8):1014-1022. doi: 10.1177/1352458518775550 [PMCID: PMC6013383] [PubMed: 29774781] [CrossRef: 10.1177/1352458518775550]

Figures and Tables

Figure 1.



Study Flowchart

MS indicates multiple sclerosis; NMOSD, neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder; OFSEP, Observatoire Français de la Sclérose en Plaques (French MS registry); and PML, progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy.

Figure 2.



Evolution of Annual Crude Incidence Rates of Natalizumab-Associated Progressive Multifocal Leukoencephalopathy in France Between 2007 and 2016

Table.

Multivariate Analysis of the Incidence of Natalizumab-Associated Progressive Multifocal Leukoencephalopathy Evolution

| | Univariate | | | Multivariate | | | | | |
|--------------------|-------------------------|------------|------------------------|---------------------------|------------|------------------------|--|--|--|
| Variable | Coefficient (95% Cl) | P Value | IRR (95% CI) | Coefficient (95% CI) | P Value | IRR (95% CI) | | | |
| Overall, 2007-2016 | | | | | | | | | |
| Year | 0.12 (-0.02 to 0.25) | .09 | 1.12 (0.98 to 1.29) | 0.12 (0.01 to 0.24) | .04 | 1.13 (1.00 to 1.27) | | | |
| Sex | | | | | | | | | |
| Male | NA | NA | NA | 0.22 (-0.41 to 0.86) | .49 | 1.25 (0.66 to 2.36) | | | |
| Female | NA | NA | NA | 1 [Reference] | | 1 [Reference] | | | |
| Age group, y | | | | | | | | | |
| <30 | NA | NA | NA | −1.19 (−1.95 to −0.43) | .002 | 0.30 (0.14 to 0.65) | | | |

| | Univariate | | | Multivariate | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|
| Variable | Coefficient (95% CI) | P Value | IRR (95% Cl) | Coefficient (95% CI) | P Value | IRR (95% CI) | | | |
| 30-40 | NA | NA | NA | 1 [Reference] | | 1 [Reference] | | | |
| ≥40 | NA | NA | NA | -0.15 (-0.83 to 0.54) | .68 | 0.86 (0.43 to 1.72) | | | |
| Before Risk Stratification, 2007-2012 | | | | | | | | | |
| Year | 0.36 (0.14 to 0.59) | .001 | 1.44 (1.15 to 1.80) | 0.37 (0.14 to 0.60) | .001 | 1.45 (1.15 to 1.83) | | | |
| Sex | | | | | | | | | |
| Male | NA | NA | NA | 0.10 (-0.77 to 0.98) | .82 | 1.11 (0.46 to 2.65) | | | |
| Female | NA | NA | NA | 1 [Reference] | | 1 [Reference] | | | |
| Age group, y | | | | | | | | | |
| <30 | NA | NA | NA | −1.5927 (−2.7874 to −0.3981) | .009 | 0.203 (0.06 to 0.67) | | | |
| 30-40 | NA | NA | NA | 1 [Reference] | | 1 [Reference] | | | |
| ≥40 | NA | NA | NA | -0.07 (-1.01 to 0.86) | .88 | 0.93 (0.36 to 2.37) | | | |
| After Risk Stratification, 2013-2016 | | | | | | | | | |
| Year | −0.27 (−0.36 to −0.18) | <.001 | 0.76 (0.70 to 0.84) | -0.26 (-0.50 to -0.03) | .03 | 0.77 (0.61 to 0.97) | | | |
| Sex | | | | | | | | | |
| Male | NA | NA | NA | -0.47 (-0.02 to 0.97) | .06 | 1.60 (0.98 to 2.63) | | | |
| Female | NA | NA | NA | 1 [Reference] | | 1 [Reference] | | | |
| Age group, y | | | | | | | | | |
| <30 | NA | NA | NA | −0.83 (−1.54 to −0.12) | .02 | 0.44 (0.21 to 0.88) | | | |
| 30-40 | NA | NA | NA | 1 [Reference] | | 1 [Reference] | | | |
| ≥40 | NA | NA | NA | 0.04 (-0.47 to 0.54) | .89 | 1.04 (0.63 to 1.71) | | | |

Abbreviations: IRR, incidence rate ratio; NA, not applicable.

Figure 3.



Poisson Regression Graphical Representation of the Risk of Natalizumab-Associated Progressive Multifocal Leukoencephalopathy (PML) Before and After 2013





Conditional Survival Estimates of the Risk of Natalizumab-Associated Progressive Multifocal Leukoencephalopathy by Treatment Duration

Articles from JAMA Neurology are provided here courtesy of American Medical Association