1 Assembly mechanism and cryoEM structure of RecA recombination

2 nucleofilaments from *Streptococcus pneumoniae*.

- 3
- 4 Hertzog Maud*#^{1,7}, Perry Thomas Noé²#, Dupaigne Pauline³#, Serres Sandra¹, Morales
- 5 Violette¹, Soulet Anne-Lise¹, Bell Jason C⁴, Margeat Emmanuel⁵, Kowalczykowski Stephen⁶,
- 6 Le Cam Eric³, Fronzes Rémi²* and Polard Patrice¹*
- 7 * Co-corresponding authors;
- 8 # These authors contributed equally to this work.
- 9

10 Affiliations

- 11 1. Laboratoire de Microbiologie et de Génétique Moléculaire (UMR 5100). Centre de
- 12 Biologie Intégrative; 169, avenue Marianne Grunberg-Manago; CNRS Université Paul
- 13 Sabatier Bât 4R4; 118, route de Narbonne; 31062 Toulouse cedex 09
- 14 France
- 15 2. Structure and Function of Bacterial Nanomachines Institut Européen de Chimie et
- 16 Biologie, Microbiologie fondamentale et pathogénicité, UMR 5234, CNRS, University of
- 17 Bordeaux, 2 rue Robert Escarpit, 33600, Pessac, France
- 18 3. Genome Maintenance and Molecular Microscopy UMR 9019 CNRS, Université Paris-
- 19 Saclay, Gustave Roussy, F-94805, Villejuif Cedex, France.
- 20 **4.** 10x Genomics, Inc., Pleasanton, CA, USA.
- 21 5. CBS (Centre de Biologie Structurale), Univ Montpellier, CNRS, INSERM, Montpellier,
- 22 France.
- 23 6. Department of Microbiology and Molecular Genetics and Department of Molecular and
- 24 Cellular Biology, University of California, Davis, CA 95616, USA.
- 25 7. Present address: Unité de biologie Moléculaire, Cellulaire et du Développement (UMR
- 26 5077) Centre de Biologie Intégrative; 169, avenue Marianne Grunberg-Manago; CNRS -
- 27 Université Paul Sabatier Bât 4R4; 118, route de Narbonne; 31062 Toulouse cedex 09
- 28 France
- 29
- 30
- 31
- 32

33 Abstract

34 RecA-mediated Homologous Recombination (HR) is a key mechanism for genome 35 maintenance and plasticity in bacteria. It proceeds through RecA assembly into a 36 dynamic filament on ssDNA, the presynaptic filament, which mediates DNA homology 37 search and ordered DNA strand exchange. Here, we combined structural, single 38 molecule and biochemical approaches to characterize the ATP-dependent assembly mechanism of the presynaptic filament of RecA from Streptococcus pneumoniae 39 40 (SpRecA), in comparison to the Escherichia coli RecA (EcRecA) paradigm. EcRecA 41 polymerization on ssDNA is assisted by the Single-Stranded DNA Binding (SSB) protein. 42 which unwinds ssDNA secondary structures that block *Ec*RecA nucleofilament growth. 43 We report that neither of the two paralogous pneumococcal SSBs could assist SpRecA 44 polymerization on ssDNA. Instead, we found that the conserved RadA helicase promotes this SpRecA nucleofilamentation in an ATP-dependent manner. This allowed us to solve 45 46 the atomic structure of such a long native SpRecA nucleopolymer by cryoEM stabilized 47 with ATP γ S. It was found to be equivalent to the crystal structure of the *Ec*RecA filament with a marked difference in how RecA mediates nucleotide orientation in the stretched 48 49 ssDNA. Then, our results show that SpRecA and EcRecA HR activities are different, in 50 correlation with their distinct ATP-dependent ssDNA binding modes.

- 51
- 52

53

54 Introduction

55

56 Homologous recombination (HR) is a DNA strands exchange process essential for multiple 57 pathways of genome maintenance and plasticity in all kingdoms of life (Cox, 2007; 58 Kowalczykowski, 2016). Defects in any of these pathways lead to deleterious consequences, 59 such as cell death or various types of cancer (Liu et al., 2011). HR relies on the pairing of a 60 single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) molecule with one complementary strand in a double-stranded 61 DNA (dsDNA) to generate a three-stranded DNA structure, commonly referred to as a 62 synaptic product or a D-loop structure (for Displacement-loop) (Michel and Leach, 2012). 63 This reaction is catalyzed by a widespread and conserved group of enzymes, defined 64 hereafter as HR recombinases and named RecA in bacteria, Rad51/Dmc1 in eukaryotes, 65 RadA in archaea. They form the RecA/Rad51 protein family, unified by a conserved ATP 66 binding and hydrolysis core domain and a common HR mechanism (Bell and 67 Kowalczykowski, 2016). They promote the pairing and exchange of homologous DNA 68 molecules by polymerizing first on a ssDNA molecule to generate the so-called presynaptic 69 nucleofilament (Kowalczykowski, 2015). Once assembled, the presynaptic nucleofilament 70 scans for an homologous sequence in dsDNA and promotes ssDNA pairing with a 71 complementary DNA sequence to generate the D-loop (Renkawitz et al., 2014). The 72 assembly and disassembly of RecA/Rad51 nucleofilaments is finely tuned by the binding and 73 hydrolysis of nucleotides at the interface between monomers in the polymer. As such, 74 RecA/Rad51 nucleofilaments are dynamic and the regulation of the DNA-dependent NTP 75 binding and hydrolysis cycle is at the heart of the HR process.

76

77 RecA from Escherichia coli (Ec) is a model protein for the bacterial HR recombinases (Del 78 Val, 2019). Crystal structures of a chimera made of 6 or 5 fused protomers of EcRecA 79 truncated for N-terminal (1-30) and C-terminal (336–353) residues and bound to DNA in the 80 presence of a non-hydrolyzable ATP derivative have revealed many key features about the 81 organization of presynaptic filament assembly and the mechanism of its pairing with a 82 complementary ssDNA molecule (Chen et al., 2008). First, ssDNA and ATP bind to RecA-83 RecA interfaces cooperatively, explaining the ATP dependency for RecA polymerization on 84 ssDNA. Second, the γ -phosphate of ATP is sensed across the RecA-RecA interface by two 85 lysine residues that stimulate ATP hydrolysis, providing a mechanism for DNA release. 86 Third, the nucleoprotein filament adopts a right-handed helical shape with six EcRecA 87 monomers per turn, which stretch the ssDNA about 1.6-fold in comparison with a B-form

88 dsDNA. Remarkably, the ssDNA is organized in the filament in regularly separated B-form 89 triplets of nucleotides, including two bases exposed externally that restricts the homology 90 search to Watson–Crick-type base pairing within a recipient DNA. In addition, using a 91 chimera of 9 fused *Ec*RecA subunits, Yang et al. recently solved by high-resolution cryoEM 92 the structure of a D-loop assembled by this chimeric *Ec*RecA polymer in the presence of a non-hydrolysable ATP derivative. This has revealed a small loop in the C-terminal region of 93 94 *Ec*RecA, conserved in other bacterial RecA, which helps to open the recipient dsDNA. Also, 95 the displaced non-complementary strand of the recipient dsDNA in the nucleoprotein D-loop 96 structure is bound by a secondary DNA-binding site in EcRecA (Yang et al., 2020). In 97 parallel to these advances in the structural organization of EcRecA nucleofilaments HR 98 intermediates, the development of single molecule (SM) studies using fluorescently labelled 99 *Ec*RecA revealed important aspects in the dynamics of its ATP-dependent polymerization on 100 DNA (Bell and Kowalczykowski, 2016a). First, these studies highlighted the bidirectional 101 growth of *Ec*RecA filaments along ssDNA, with a more rapid extension from 5' to 3' 102 direction (Bell et al., 2012). Secondly, they provided a direct imaging of the slow initial 103 *Ec*RecA interaction on ssDNA prior to the rapid growth of the nucleofilament, referred to as 104 the nucleation and extension stages, respectively (Joo et al., 2006). Third, these SM studies 105 unveiled key features of the DNA homology search and subsequent pairing stages mediated 106 by EcRecA presynaptic filament, which proceeds through an inchworm mechanism and a 3-107 nucleotide stepping during DNA strand exchange, respectively (Chen et al., 2008). Similar 108 structural and SM analysis conducted on Rad51/DMC1 pointed at the conservation of 109 EcRecA properties in eukaryotic HR recombinases, pointing at their general character of 110 these properties to all members of the RecA/Rad51 family (Lee et al., 2015).

111

112 Another common feature of HR recombinases is the assistance of accessory factors that 113 modulate their polymerization/depolymerization from DNA templates and/or their DNA 114 strands exchange activities (Antony and Lohman, 2019). These HR modulators are 115 differentially conserved, with some being found in a whole kingdom of life and others limited 116 to few species. They compose distinct and partially overlapping subsets of HR effectors that 117 define specific pathways of genome maintenance and plasticity. In bacteria, a widely 118 conserved HR effector is the SSB protein (Single-Stranded DNA Binding). SSB is firstly 119 known as being essential to cell growth *via* its action at the replication forks where it protects 120 ssDNA and assists its replication. Reconstitution of E. coli HR in vitro has highlighted three 121 distinct roles of its cognate SSB in counteracting or assisting its DNA interacting activities

122 (Bianco, 2017): one is to prevent RecA nucleation if bound first on the ssDNA; a second is to 123 promote RecA polymerization along ssDNA, by removing the secondary structures that 124 impede filament growth; a third is to bind to the extruded parental strand during the DNA 125 strand exchange reaction, stabilizing the recombination product and favoring the 126 incorporation of ssDNA (a step referred to as a DNA branch migration). Another key and 127 conserved bacterial effector acting in these postsynaptic stages of HR is the RadA helicase, 128 which has been found to facilitate ssDNA recombination from D-loop stuctures via 129 interaction with RecA and by driving DNA branch migration (Cooper and Lovett, 2016) 130 (Torres et al., 2019)(Marie et al. 2017).7/28/2022 4:39:00 AM Other known HR effectors act 131 at one or more of these steps of the HR recombinase activity cycle through static or dynamic 132 protein-protein interactions and/or DNA remodeling activities (Liu et al., 2011).

133

134 Not all bacterial RecAs exhibit the same intrinsic activities as *Ec*RecA. Notable examples are 135 RecA from *Deinococcus radiodurans* (Dr) and from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (Pa). These 136 two bacterial species undergo high HR rate in their natural environment to sustain their 137 growth under extreme radiative or oxidative conditions, respectively (Baitin et al., 2006; Cox 138 and Battista, 2005). DrRecA is less homologous to EcRecA than PaRecA, i.e. 61% and 71% 139 of sequence identity, respectively. Their DNA binding affinity was found higher than that of 140 *Ec*RecA, along with a stronger ability to displace its cognate SSB from ssDNA for *Pa*RecA 141 and with a reverse recombination from dsDNA to ssDNA for DrRecA. Another reported 142 deviation to the *Ec*RecA paradigm is RecA from *Streptococcus pneumoniae* (Sp, the 143 pneumococcus), which is well known to undergo high HR rate during the process of genetic 144 transformation induced in response to multiple stress during the state of competence 145 (Johnston et al., 2014). Previous biochemical studies comparing SpRecA and EcRecA 146 activities highlighted two marked differences between those two HR recombinases, which 147 share 63% of identity. First, SpRecA was found intrinsically less efficient than EcRecA in 148 directing DNA strand exchange between a long circular ssDNA with a complementary strand 149 in a linear duplex DNA; second, SpRecA interaction with ssDNA appears to be negatively 150 challenged by any of its two cognate paralogous SSB proteins, namely SsbA and SsbB, the 151 former being essential and involved in DNA replication and genome maintenance processes 152 and the latter being restrictively expressed during competence and involved in the mechanism 153 of natural transformation (Attaiech et al., 2011; Grove and Bryant, 2006). What precisely 154 determine these functional deviations of SpRecA in comparison with EcRecA remains 155 enigmatic.

157	Here, we combined classical biochemical techniques with single-molecule and structural
158	approaches to closely examine the DNA interacting properties of SpRecA in comparison with
159	EcRecA. Together, these experiments highlight significant variations in the ATP-dependent
160	dynamics and structure of the SpRecA presynaptic filament, including the lack of assistance
161	in its elongation by any SSB protein. Unexpectedly, however, we found that the SpRadA
162	helicase could promote such an extension of the SpRecA presynaptic filament in an ATP-
163	dependent manner. Altogether, this detailed analysis provides important molecular insights
164	into the distinct efficiency of SpRecA in catalyzing HR in comparison with EcRecA.

167

168 **Results**

169 SpRecA forms short presynaptic filaments

170 First, we purified SpRecA and analyzed by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) it ATP-171 dependent polymerizing activity on ssDNA in comparison with purified *Ec*RecA, by using 172 circular form of $\Phi X174$ bacteriophage (5386 nucleotides long) as a template. SpRecA added 173 in saturating concentration to fully cover all ssDNA molecules forms dense structures on 174 ssDNA in the presence of ATP (Figure 1b). These structures result from SpRecA binding to 175 ssDNA as they are not observed with naked ssDNA (Figure 1a). The same experiment 176 performed with an ATP regenerating system did not change the result (Figure 1c). By 177 contrast, short polymers could be detected in the presence of the poorly hydrolysable ATPYS 178 derivative (Figure 1d). We also observed similar extended filaments in the presence of ATP 179 and of BeF₃, a Pi analogue known to notably inhibit ATP hydrolysis (Figure 1e and 180 Supplemental Figure 1). The same TEM analysis performed with *Ec*RecA showed that it also 181 forms small dense structures on ssDNA (Figure 1f) in the presence of ATP. By contrast, 182 *Ec*RecA was able to form extended filaments in the presence of ATP together with an ATP 183 regenerating system (Figure 1g), showing not only its binding but also its assembly along 184 ssDNA. Furthermore, *Ec*RecA appeared to polymerize extensively along $\Phi X174$ ssDNA in 185 the presence of ATP γ S (Figure 1h), and for a 6-fold longer distance than SpRecA in the same 186 conditions (mean value of 767 nm and 122 nm, respectively; see Figure 1i). Both 187 recombinases could generate several filaments on the same $\Phi X174$ ssDNA molecule in 188 experiments performed with ATP γ S, indicative of several nucleation events for both 189 recombinases followed by their polymerization. The total length of SpRecA nucleofilaments 190 per individual ssDNA molecule was $\sim 0.34 \ \mu m$ in the presence of ATPyS (and $\sim 0.47 \ \mu m$ in 191 the presence of ATP and BeF₃), contrasting with the $\sim 2.06 \,\mu m$ measured for EcRecA in the 192 presence of ATPYS. Also, in these conditions, EcRecA does not fully cover the circular 193 ssDNA template, indicating that its polymerization is blocked at some sites, most probably 194 secondary structures that formed on ssDNA (Bell et al., 2012). Interestingly, SpRecA seems 195 to be less efficient than *Ec*RecA to unfold such structures, explaining why *Sp*RecA generate 196 more and shorter filaments on the long ssDNA template. Altogether, these results revealed a 197 marked difference between SpRecA and EcRecA in their ability to extend their 198 nucleofilamentation under these stabilizing conditions that block ATP hydrolysis and their 199 release from ssDNA.

- 200
- 201

202 SpRecA polymerisation on ssDNA is not assisted by any SSB protein.

203 *Ec*RecA presynaptic filamentation is assisted by its cognate SSB via its melting activity of 204 secondary structures that form on ssDNA (Kowalczykowski et al., 1987). This has been 205 generalized to all bacterial RecA similarly studied in vitro (Bianco, 2017). In S. pneumoniae, 206 SSB appears to counteract the presynaptic HR step of SpRecA, as indirectly evaluated by 207 measuring the rate of ssDNA induced SpRecA ATP hydrolysis, while still stimulating the 208 subsequent DNA strand exchange step (Grove and Bryant, 2006). This negative competitive 209 effect of SSB on SpRecA interaction with ssDNA was observed with any of the two 210 paralogous pneumococcal SSB, SsbA and SsbB, as well as with *EcSSB* (Attaiech et al., 2011; 211 Grove and Bryant, 2006; Nayak and Bryant, 2015; Steffen and Bryant, 2001). Conversely, 212 SsbA and SsbB behave similarly as *Ec*SSB in stimulating *Ec*RecA ATPase and HR activities. 213 These earlier studies pointed at a distinct HR activity of SpRecA in comparison with 214 *Ec*RecA, which is differently challenged by SSB proteins. We studied by TEM this interplay 215 between SpRecA and SSB proteins. Purified SSB proteins were used at a concentration 216 allowing a full coverage of all ssDNA molecules. Typical images obtained by TEM at these 217 saturating amounts of SsbA and SsbB are presented in Figure 2a and 2b, respectively, 218 resulting in an identical pattern of interaction with the circular $\Box X174$ ssDNA template used. 219 Subsequently, addition of either of these two SSB proteins to SpRecA pre-bound to ssDNA in 220 the presence of ATP alone or with an ATP regenerating system did not promote its 221 polymerization but led to the same nucleocomplexes observed with the SSBs alone. In the 222 same vein, addition of SsbA or SsbB to the short SpRecA filaments formed in the presence of 223 ATP γ S did not promote their elongation but led to the binding of either of these two SSBs to 224 the ssDNA unoccupied by SpRecA (Figures 2c and 2e, respectively). By contrast, EcSSB225 added to EcRecA pre-incubated with ssDNA in the presence of ATPyS promotes a full 226 coverage of the circular ssDNA molecules by EcRecA (Figure 2d). The same result has been 227 obtained by adding *Ec*SSB to *Ec*RecA incubated with ssDNA in the presence of ATP and an 228 ATP regenerating system. Furthermore, we also found that *Ec*RecA polymerization along 229 ssDNA could also be assisted by the two pneumococcal SSBs and, conversely, that *Ec*SSB 230 failed to assist SpRecA nucleofilamentation in any conditions. Altogether, these findings 231 highlight that SpRecA ATP-dependent filamentation on ssDNA is not assisted by any SSB, 232 highlighting a main functional diversity? /deviation/disparity between SpRecA and the

233 *Ec*RecA paradigm and all other RecA proteins similarly studied so far.

234

235 The SpRadA HR helicase extends SpRecA polymerization on ssDNA.

236 We next wondered whether SpRecA nucleofilamentation on ssDNA could be assisted by 237 another HR effector. An obvious candidate was the SpRadA protein, which we found to 238 interact with RecA and to be a DnaB-type hexameric helicase that canonically translocates 239 along ssDNA fueled by ATP hydrolysis in the 5' to 3' direction (Marie et al., 2017). We 240 investigated by TEM analysis whether SpRadA could modulate SpRecA extension along 241 ssDNA, by using the circular form of the M13 bacteriophage. While a few small polymers 242 were formed in the presence of hydrolysable ATP in the absence of SpRadA, incubation of 243 SpRecA with SpRadA in the presence of ATPyS promoted the formation of nucleofilaments 244 10-fold longer than those observed with SpRecA alone in those conditions (Figure 3a and 3c). 245 Sub-stoichiometric amounts of SpRadA with respect to SpRecA concentration (1:4) were 246 sufficient to generate these polymers. Observation by negative staining showed that these 247 longer filaments formed by mixing SpRecA with SpRadA are comparable to the helical 248 filaments generated by SpRecA alone, indicating that SpRadA promoted SpRecA 249 polymerization extension along ssDNA. Next, we reproduced these experiments with SpRadA^{K101A} point mutant, which was previously shown to be unable to hydrolyze ATP 250 (Marie et al., 2017). This SpRadA^{K101A} mutant, which still assembled into hexamers as wild-251 252 type protein (as visible on the EM grid; Figure 3b), was no longer able to promote the 253 formation of long filaments when mixed with SpRecA. This result shows that ATPYS 254 hydrolysis is necessary to extend SpRecA filamentation along ssDNA. The need for SpRadA 255 ATPase activity supports that it acts by translocating on the ssDNA to unwind the secondary 256 structures that impede SpRecA filament growth, but without physically blocking SpRecA 257 assembly on ssDNA as SSB does. However, we could not exclude that SpRadA assists 258 SpRecA filamentation by another ATP-dependent mechanism relying on their interaction. 259 Finally, based on this TEM analysis, we could not conclude whether SpRadA is associated to 260 these nucleofilaments.

261

262 CryoEM analysis of SpRecA filaments assembled on ssDNA and dsDNA.

SpRecA nucleofilaments formed on long ssDNA molecules in the presence of ATP γ S are too short to allow their structural analysis by cryoEM. This drawback has been overcome via the action of SpRadA (see above). Long nucleoprotein filaments formed on M13 ssDNA were

9

266 deposited on cryoEM Lacey grids and visualized using a 200 KeV Talos Arctica cryo-267 electron microscope (See Supplemental Figure 2a). We used helical reconstruction in Relion 268 to obtain a 3.9Å resolution map. Using a non-symmetrized 3D reconstruction, we could 269 determine in real space that these filaments display a helical symmetry and estimate the 270 helical parameters (See Supplemental figure 3). These helical parameters were then imposed 271 and refined during reconstruction to obtain the final map with 15.38 Å rise and 58.46 degrees 272 of twist (corresponding to 6.16 subunits per turn of helix) (See methods and table 1). The 273 final cryoEM map displayed key structural features of SpRecA with the bulky side chains 274 clearly visible (Supplemental Figure 2g). A homology structural model of the SpRecA was 275 obtained using Swissmodel using EcRecA crystal structure as template. SpRecA and EcRecA 276 proteins share 63% identity (sequence-based alignment) and we postulated that their structure 277 should be similar in term of secondary structure elements and overall fold. This initial model 278 was docked into the map and the SpRecA structure was entirely rebuilt in our cryoEM map 279 using coot (Waterhouse et al., 2018). Densities for the backbone and bases of ssDNA were 280 clearly visible. Since the sequence of M13 ssDNA is different from one filament to another, a 281 poly-dA (adenosine) DNA molecule was built in these averaged densities. Finally, ATPYS 282 molecules were built in the corresponding densities of the map. SpRecA model with ssDNA 283 and ATPyS was refined against the cryoEM map using real-space refinement in Phenix 284 (Phenix et al., 2010).

The *Sp*RecA nucleofilament structure assembled and stabilized on ssDNA with ATP γ S was found to be globally superimposable with the crystal structure of the *Ec*RecA-ssDNA nucleofilament (Figure 4a and 4c) obtained in presence of ADP-AlF₄-Mg²⁺ (Chen et al., 2008). Each protomer binds to 3 nucleotides, organizing the ssDNA in triplets of a nearly Bform conformation that are separated from each other by 7.2 Å (Figure 4b and 4d).

290 The structure of each SpRecA protomer in the nucleofilament appears to be very similar to 291 the crystal structure of the EcRecA protomer unbound to DNA 7/28/2022 4:39:00 AM. It is 292 composed of a N-terminal extension (residues 9-55), a typical α/β ATPase core domain 293 (residues 56-286) containing a canonical nucleotide binding motif and the conserved DNA 294 interacting loops L1 and L2, and a globular C-terminal domain (residues 287-341). The 295 charged C-terminal tail (residues 342 to 388) could not be resolved. In the SpRecA filament, 296 we numbered consecutive SpRecA protomers along ssDNA in the 5' end to the 3' end 297 direction. Within the nucleofilaments, the SpRecA protomers interact mostly through their 298 ATP binding domains. In addition, the N-terminal extension of the $SpRecA^n$ protomer lies on

299 the ATPase domain of the adjacent $SpRecA^{n-1}$ protomer.

300 Within the SpRecA nucleofilament, the DNA binding pockets are delineated by three 301 consecutive SpRecA protomers to accommodate a DNA triplet. In each pocket, the L1 and L2 loops of $SpRecA_n$ and $SpRecA^{n+1}$ protomers play a crucial role in contacting the ssDNA by 302 303 encircling the DNA backbone (Figure 5a). Residues from three consecutive SpRecA 304 protomers contribute to ssDNA binding through hydrogen bonds. Within the phosphate 305 backbone of each triplet of nucleotides, from 5' to 3' end, the first phosphate group interacts 306 with the backbone amide groups of E210 in $SpRecA^n$ and R226 in $SpRecA^{n+1}$, the second phosphate interacts with the backbone amide groups of G224 and G225 in $SpRecA^{n+1}$ and the 307 third phosphate interacts with side chains from R209 in $SpRecA^{n+1}$ and S185 in $SpRecA^{n+2}$ 308 309 (Figure 5b). The V212 residue found in the L2 loop inserts between consecutive triplets 310 compensating the lack of base stacking in the inter-triplet junction (Figure 5b). The ATPYS 311 binding pocket is shared by two consecutive SpRecA protomers. In the SpRecAⁿ protomer, 312 the Walker A motif (residues 79-86) contacts ATP_YS with conserved residues G84, K85 and T86 contacting the ATPyS phosphate groups. The third ATPyS phosphate makes several 313 hydrogen bonds with the residues K265 and K267 in the RecAⁿ⁺¹, stabilizing the SpRecAⁿ/ 314 SpRecAⁿ⁺¹ interface. Finally, the highly conserved catalytic glutamate (E109) amongst RecA 315 proteins is also found in the vicinity of the phosphate moieties. 316

317 The SpRecA filament structure is in a native conformation, without protomeric fusion. 318 Indeed, the published crystal structure of the pre- and post-synaptic EcRecA filament was 319 obtained using a chimera of six EcRecA protomers truncated for Nter (1-30) and Cter (336– 320 353) residues and mutated to avoid oligomerization (C117M, S118V and Q119R). This chimera was bound to ssDNA and ADP-AlF₄-Mg²⁺ (Chen et al., 2008). In SpRecA filament, 321 322 the presence of Nter or Cter regions does not modify the overall organization. When 323 superimposed, EcRecA and SpRecA protomers have a RMSD of 1.392 Å. Similar helical 324 parameters are found both for *Ec*RecA and *Sp*RecA presynaptic filaments. Structure-based 325 alignment shows 55.86% identity between EcRecA and SpRecA sequences. Conservation of 326 the residues is distributed across the whole structure. The ssDNA binding pocket and the 327 L1/L2 loops are also particularly conserved between EcRecA and SpRecA All interactions 328 found between ssDNA and EcRecA are also found in SpRecA. The only notable differences 329 are the V177 and V212 residues in SpRecA, which correspond to the M164 and I199 residues 330 in EcRecA, respectively (Figure 5c). They are located at the tip of the L2 and L1 loops,

respectively. These residues belonging to two consecutive RecA protomers close the L1/L2 loops around the primary ssDNA in the pre-synaptic nucleofilament and intercalate between the DNA triplet bound to RecA. However, one marked distinction stands out. Both recombinases stretch the ssDNA molecule the B-form of DNA in a non-uniform manner, and remarkably, while the third base of each triplet was found turned towards the interior of the *Ec*RecA protein filament, the three bases of the nucleotide triplet are all aligned toward the outer surface of the *Sp*RecA protein filament.

338

339 In parallel, we analyzed SpRecA filamentation on dsDNA and, by contrast with the ssDNA 340 matrix, we found that SpRecA could self-assemble on dsDNA into long and stable filaments 341 in the presence of ATP γ S. We successfully analyzed their structure by cryoEM by applying a 342 similar procedure as with filaments obtained on ssDNA. A 3.8 Å resolution map of these 343 filaments has been obtained, in which we built and refined the structure of SpRecA bound to 344 dsDNA and ATPyS with a helical symmetry of 14.97 Å rise and 58.62 Å twist 345 (corresponding to 6.14 subunits per turn). The overall structure of the individual SpRecA 346 protomer, as well as the interactions between SpRecA protomers and with the ATPyS in this 347 filament assembled on dsDNA are identical to those characterized for the filament assembled 348 on ssDNA (Supplemental Figure 2b). Remarkably, however, the overall dsDNA B-form 349 structure has been notably modified by polymerization of SpRecA protomers. These were 350 found to interact with one DNA strand as in the filament built with ssDNA. The 351 complementary strand interacts with this primary DNA strand through Watson-Crick 352 hydrogen bonds and makes very few contacts with SpRecA protomers (Supplemental Figure 353 4). Interestingly, this structural organization of the dsDNA generated by SpRecA 354 polymerization appeared to be identical to the crystal structure of the dsDNA molecule 355 resulting from the pairing of a ssDNA strand pre-bound by EcRecA with its complementary 356 ssDNA strand (Chen et al., 2008).

357 Direct imaging of SpRecA assembly on single molecules of DNA by TIRFm.

Next, we undertook the analysis of nucleofilamentation dynamics of SpRecA. To this end, we performed real-time observation of its polymerization on a single DNA molecule by Total Internal Reflection Fluorescence microscopy (TIRFm), following the same procedure previously developed for the study of *EcRecA* nucleofilamentation (Bell et al., 2012). We used a DNA substrate composed of a central ssDNA gap of 8155 nucleotides flanked by 363 biotinylated dsDNA 'handles' of 21080 and 24590 bases pairs (Figure 6a) and a fluorescently labeled SpRecA (Alexa 488, named SpRecA^{A488} hereafter) characterized for several 364 activities. The purified labeled SpRecAA488 was demonstrated to be active for ssDNA 365 366 binding, D-loop formation and ssDNA-dependent ATP hydrolysis with a slight defect 367 compared to the non-labeled protein (Supplemental Figure 5). DNA molecules were then 368 attached to the surface of a streptavidin-coated glass coverslip in a microfluidic chamber and visualized by TIRFm. We detected interaction of SpRecA^{A488} with DNA in the presence of 369 370 ATPγS, but not with ATP, reproducing our previous TEM experiments (Figure 1d). SpRecA 371 filament formation first appeared as a single spot in the minute range.

Then, the size of individual SpRecA^{A488} filaments gradually grew over time (Figure 6b) to 372 reach a stable length after 15 min. The final filament occupies the place on the DNA 373 374 molecule that is unbound by Sytox and, therefore, corresponds to the ssDNA portion. The 375 averaged SpRecA filament growth rate measured on 3 individual DNA molecules showed a 376 consistent and reproducible polymerization into three distinct stages, referred to as initiation, 377 elongation, termination (Figure 6c). The elongation rate of SpRecA nucleofilament was 165 378 +/- 18 nm min⁻¹, which is similar to the elongation rate previously reported measured for 379 $E_c \text{RecA}$ and measured on the same DNA molecule (50 to 500 nm min⁻¹, Bell et al., 2012). The SpRecA^{A488} filament assembly eventually reached a stable and maximum length of 2.1 380 381 +/- 0.1 μ m, which is 10 to 20-fold longer than SpRecA nucleofilaments measured by TEM in 382 the same experimental conditions (compare Figure 2c and Figure 3). A main difference 383 between the TEM and TIRFm experiments is the application of a buffer flux in the 384 microfluidic chamber in the later situation. Thus, it seems that the ssDNA stretching by the 385 flow helps SpRecA extension on longer distances, possibly by limiting the formation of 386 ssDNA secondary structures that block its polymerization or stabilizing SpRecA filament as it 387 has been already observed for RAD51 (van Mameren et al., 2009). However, this measured 388 length of the nucleofilament does not correspond to the maximum length of 4 µm expected 389 for a saturating coverage of the ssDNA portion of the DNA substrate by one RecA molecule 390 every 3 nucleotides (as demonstrated with the solved cryoEM structure of the SpRecA 391 nucleofilament; Figure 4).

Altogether, this TIRFm analysis shows that *Sp*RecA filament growth follows the same dynamics as that reported for *Ec*RecA. The two recombinases appear to differ in their intrinsic capacity to overcome some secondary structures to extend along the ssDNA matrix, but not in their elongation rate along ssDNA.

396 SpRecA ATP-dependent ssDNA binding mode

397 As we were not able to detect any *Sp*RecA assembly on DNA by TIRFm in the presence of 398 ATP, we used Fluorescence Correlation Spectroscopy (FCS) and Fluorescence Anisotropy 399 (FA) to measure the kinetics of formation of short *Sp*RecA polymers on ssDNA that could 400 not have been detected by TIRF microscopy.

401 FCS allows the detection of fluorescently labeled molecules that diffuse through a sub-402 femtoliter detection volume, giving rise to intensity fluctuations in real time and at the 403 millisecond scale (Figure 7a) and allowing to calculate their diffusion time within the 404 observation volume $\tau_{\rm D}$. We used ssDNA substrates with random sequences, labeled with 405 Alexa 488 at the 5' end. We tested several lengths of ssDNA substrates, i.e., 1000, 500 and 406 100 nucleotides long, and we were able to detect exploitable signal changes only for the small 407 100-mers. Upon addition of SpRecA or EcRecA and ATP, the diffusion time increased with 408 time prior reaching a plateau that reports on the ssDNA assembly kinetics of the two 409 recombinases (Figure 7b and 7c, for 250 nM and 400 nM of each RecA, respectively). Thus, 410 in these conditions, we were able to detect SpRecA and EcRecA assembly on ssDNA in the 411 presence of hydrolysable ATP and to compare their kinetics in those conditions. To this end, 412 we measured the average half-time to reach the plateau value in each condition. This was 413 slightly shorter for SpRecA in both conditions, i.e. 72 sec for SpRecA and 112 sec for 414 EcRecA at 250 nM, and 69 sec for SpRecA and 132 sec for EcRecA at 400 nM. In addition, 415 the kinetics of assembly on ssDNA appeared to be clearly different for the two recombinases. 416 Indeed, in the very early stage of *Ec*RecA assembly (Figure 7, blue curve, zoom), the curve 417 showed a cooperative mode, whereas SpRecA assembly was faster and showed no 418 cooperativity.

419 In these FCS experiments, ATP hydrolysis by both recombinases triggered by their binding to 420 ssDNA does not impact the stability of their interaction on ssDNA during such short periods 421 of time. Complementarily, we characterized ssDNA binding affinity of SpRecA and EcRecA 422 protein at steady state. To this end, we measured by fluorescence anisotropy (FA) their 423 affinities constants for a short 65 nucleotides long fluorescent ssDNA molecule (T65). FA 424 measurements were performed at 0.1 mM and 1 mM ATP, in large excess compared to the 425 EcRecA and SpRecA concentrations used (Figure 7d and 7e, respectively). In those 426 conditions, the measured apparent affinity for ssDNA (Kd) was 6 to 2-fold lower for SpRecA 427 than for *Ec*RecA at 0.1 mM ATP and 1 mM of ATP, respectively (Figure 7h). In addition, the

428 maximum FA value reached ~ 0.26 for EcRecA, whereas it was less than 0.2 for SpRecA, 429 pointing at a different apparent molecular size of the nucleoprotein complexes. Thus, while 430 the FCS analysis demonstrates that the two recombinases present a nearly equivalent half-431 time of association on ssDNA in the presence of ATP, the FA analysis indicates that they 432 display a different ssDNA binding mode. Notably, no difference in the binding of *Ec*RecA to 433 ssDNA was observed at the two ATP concentrations tested. In marked contrast, the plateau 434 value reached for SpRecA was found to be lower at 0.1 mM than at 1 mM ATP, and this latter 435 value was lower than the one measured for *Ec*RecA. To test the impact of ATP hydrolysis in 436 these differences, we reproduced these FA experiments in the presence of $ATP\gamma S$ or ATP-437 BeF₃. Interestingly, in those conditions the ssDNA binding curves obtained for SpRecA were 438 found identical whatever nucleotide concentration used, either 0,1 or 1 mM and the value of 439 the plateau matched with EcRecA curves generated in the presence of ATP (Figures 7f and 440 7g to compare with 7d). This FA analysis showed that ATP hydrolysis modulates differently 441 SpRecA and EcRecA interaction on ssDNA, despite both exhibit a similar ssDNA-induced 442 ATP hydrolysis rate (Figure Supplemental 6). Altogether, these results show that SpRecA 443 binding on ssDNA appears markedly less stable upon ATP hydrolysis, pointing at a distinct 444 and more dynamic mode of interaction with ssDNA for SpRecA in comparison with that of 445 EcRecA. Also, ssDNA binding activities of both RecA proteins measured by FCS and FA 446 revealed that ATP hydrolysis impacts differently the stability of their interaction on ssDNA, 447 while they display a similar rate of ssDNA-dependent ATP hydrolysis.

448

449 *Sp***RecA** is more efficient than *Ec***RecA** in a D-loop assay.

450 Then, we measured the intrinsic ATP-dependent DNA strand-exchange activity of SpRecA 451 and *Ec*RecA. To this end, we used the D-loop assay illustrated in Figure 8b. In this assay, a 452 100-nucleotides (nts) linear oligonucleotide, fluorescently labeled with Cy3 at its 5'end, was 453 incubated with increasing amounts of SpRecA or EcRecA and mixed with a homologous 454 supercoiled plasmid. Following protein denaturation, the fluorescent D-loop product was 455 separated from free ssDNA by agarose gel electrophoresis and quantified. SpRecA was found 456 to be up to three times more efficient than EcRecA (3.1%+/-1.15 versus 1.29% +/- 0.57, 457 respectively; Figure 1c). This result contrasts with a previous analysis reporting a less 458 efficient HR activity of SpRecA in comparison with EcRecA (Grove et al., 2012). However, 459 the HR assay used was markedly different. In this assay depicted in Figure 8a, the HR 460 reaction is initiated by DNA strand exchange at one end of a linear dsDNA molecule with its

461 complementary sequence on a long circular homologous ssDNA molecule (> 5000 nts) and is 462 followed by DNA branch migration over a long distance to get the final product. By contrast, 463 the D-loop product results from the invasive pairing between a short ssDNA molecule with 464 its complementary sequence in a supercoiled dsDNA molecule. Thus, SpRecA and EcRecA 465 appear to be oppositely and differently active in catalyzing the initial ssDNA pairing with a 466 complementary sequence and in extending ssDNA recombination by DNA branch migration. 467 Altogether, these functional divergences between these two bacterial RecA appear to stem 468 from the different stability of their presynaptic filaments independent of the ATP hydrolysis 469 rate.

470

471 Discussion

472 We report a comprehensive molecular study of SpRecA functional properties, which provides 473 important insights into its DNA interaction properties in relation with its DNA strand 474 exchange activities. This in vitro analysis shows that SpRecA markedly differs from the 475 *Ec*RecA paradigm in the early stages of HR. Our findings collectively concur to the 476 conclusion that the main deviation between the two HR recombinases mostly stems from 477 their ATP-dependent ssDNA binding mode and independently of their ATPase rates. Our 478 studies also highlight the lack of synergy between SpRecA and its two cognate and 479 paralogous SSB proteins in elongating its presynaptic filamentation, a defect revealed to be 480 compensated by the conserved RadA helicase, previously known to act coordinately with 481 RecA on postsynaptic HR intermediates. In addition, they support a model of HR mechanism 482 in which the SpRecA presynaptic filament would be more efficient than EcRecA in 483 homology search and ssDNA pairing within a recipient complementary dsDNA molecule.

484

485 Key variations in the ATP-dependent ssDNA interaction dynamics of *Sp*RecA and 486 *Ec*RecA.

487 A central intermediate of the HR mechanism is the presynaptic filament, which is 488 dynamically assembled and disassembled on ssDNA by ATP binding and hydrolysis between 489 the protomers of the recombinase (Liu et al., 2011). This ssDNA-dependent ATP cycle is not 490 uniformly conserved between bacterial RecA, leading to various lengths of presynaptic 491 filaments (Cox, 2007; Morrical, 2015). We report here that SpRecA interaction with ssDNA 492 in the presence of ATP evaluated by FA analysis is more dynamic than that of EcRecA 493 (Figure 7). This finding indicates that SpRecA forms shorter nucleofilaments than EcRecA, 494 as further supported by TEM analysis (Figure 2c and 2g, respectively). However, this marked

495 difference between the two recombinases is not due to a different affinity for ATP, nor to a 496 different ssDNA-dependent ATP hydrolysis rate, nor to a different kinetic in ATP-dependent 497 interaction with ssDNA (Supplemental figure 1). Thus, a possible cause of the limited 498 extension of the presynaptic filament of SpRecA would be a lower binding stability of its 499 protomers on ssDNA. Within the HR presynaptic filament, each protomer interacting with 500 ssDNA is further stabilized via interaction with two adjacent protomers through ATP 501 binding. Upon ATP hydrolysis, protomers located at the tips of the filament are less stably 502 bound to ssDNA, as they are engaged in only one interaction with an adjacent protomer. 503 Thus, it has been shown by biochemical and SM analysis that the EcRecA filament mainly 504 disassembles at the 5' side and grows in the 3' direction of the ssDNA (Bell and 505 Kowalczykowski, 2016b). In direct line with such a polymerization dynamic, the 5' terminal 506 protomer of the SpRecA filament might be less stable on ssDNA upon ATP hydrolysis than 507 in the case of the *Ec*RecA filament. In addition, the release of Pi from the ADP-Pi product 508 will change the interactions between the two protomers, which will alter their interaction with 509 ssDNA. Thus, a possible source of difference between SpRecA and EcRecA impacting the 510 length of their presynaptic filaments would be the ADP.Pi release and/or ATP turnover at the 511 interface of two protomers bound to ssDNA.

512 Furthermore, even under stabilizing conditions that restrain ATP hydrolysis (with the use of 513 ATP γ S or by adding BeF3 to ATP), SpRecA appears less prone than EcRecA to elongate on 514 ssDNA (Figure 2). We interpret this difference as a lower capability of SpRecA to melt 515 ssDNA secondary structures in comparison with EcRecA, limiting differentially their 516 filament growth. The longer SpRecA presynaptic filaments observed in TIRFm than in TEM 517 experiments supports this proposal (Figs2 and3). SM observation of SpRecA filamentation by 518 TIRFm is performed in real time in a microfluidic chamber on an attached DNA molecule 519 and under flux, which will physically extend the ssDNA and limit its self-pairing into 520 secondary structures. As a result, SpRecA could extend its polymerization, stabilized by 521 limiting ATP hydrolysis, on a longer distance than on an unstretched ssDNA molecule as in 522 the TEM experiments.

523 Another distinct ssDNA interaction property between these two recombinases has been 524 uncovered from the characterization by cryoEM of the *Sp*RecA nucleofilament structure 525 stabilized by ATP γ S. This structure is superimposed to a large extent on the *Ec*RecA filament 526 resolved by crystallization (Chen et al., 2008). In both *Sp*RecA and *Ec*RecA nucleofilaments, 527 the ssDNA molecule is bound into an identical helical and extended conformation organized

in triplets of nucleotides. However, the 3 bases of each triplet of nucleotides are fully exposed toward the exterior in the *Sp*RecA filament, contrasting with the *Ec*RecA filament where the third base of each nucleotide triplet is flipped inward (Chen et al., 2008). These characteristics suggest that the ssDNA conformation in the *Sp*RecA filament is potentially more favorable for the homology search.

533

534 Lack of SSB assistance in the extension of SpRecA presynaptic filamentation.

535 One of the key roles of SSB in the early HR steps is to assist presynaptic filament extension 536 by melting out ssDNA secondary structures (Bianco, 2017). This interplay originally 537 characterized between RecA and SSB of E. coli has been generalized to RecA of many other 538 species, with the marked exception of S. pneumoniae. Indeed, either of the two pneumococcal 539 SsbA and SsbB proteins, or *Ec*SSB were found to inhibit *Sp*RecA binding to ssDNA, as 540 deduced from their inhibition of the ssDNA dependent SpRecA ATPase activity (Grove and 541 Bryant, 2006). Here, we directly observed by TEM analysis that any of these SSB 542 outcompetes ATP-dependent SpRecA polymerization on long ssDNA molecules. 543 Furthermore, their addition to the short SpRecA filaments stabilized by ATP γ S simply 544 conduct to their binding on ssDNA portions unbound by SpRecA without promoting the 545 extension of SpRecA nucleofilaments as in the case of EcRecA (Figure 2). This result firmly 546 demonstrated the lack of assistance by any SSB in elongating SpRecA polymers on ssDNA. 547 They also indicate that the inhibition by SSB proteins of the ATP-dependent SpRecA 548 interaction on ssDNA is the result of a more stable binding of SSB in comparison to the 549 highly dynamic binding of SpRecA, leading to a full occupancy of the ssDNA by SSB in 550 these conditions. This also shows that SSB can bind to ssDNA parts that are inaccessible to 551 *Sp*RecA and inferred to be secondary structures.

552 An elegant genetic screen of *Ec*RecA mutants more efficient in conjugational recombination 553 resulted in the selection of several point mutants that were all found to exhibit in vitro a 554 greater persistence on ssDNA and a more efficient displacement of SSB than wild type 555 *Ec*RecA (Kim et al., 2015). The *Ec*RecA region randomly mutated in this screening 556 corresponds to the large N-ter region involved in RecA subunit-subunit interaction. This 557 shows that modulations in this interacting interface could impact the intrinsic ssDNA 558 interacting and polymerizing property of RecA on ssDNA. However, comparison of this 559 interaction surface between SpRecA and EcRecA could not highlight a particular difference 560 that would explain the lower persistence of SpRecA on ssDNA that we report here. In

addition, other subtle variations between RecA proteins might influence their intrinsic stability on ssDNA. Indeed, another possible source of variation could be the residues engaged in direct interaction with ssDNA, as we found here in the structure of the presynaptic filaments of SpRecA in comparison with EcRecA (see above). However, further studies are needed to establish whether this different organization of the presynaptic filament modifies their dynamism.

567

An unprecedented role of the RadA HR effector in extending RecA presynaptic filamentation.

570 The less stable ssDNA binding in SpRecA filament leads to their limited extension, which is 571 impeded by SSB proteins or ssDNA secondary structures and unfavorable for the branch 572 migration step in HR reaction (Grove and Bryant, 2006). SSB proteins are well known 573 effectors that assist RecA dynamics and filament length (Roy et al., 2009). For E. coli, 574 Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Neisseria gonorraheae, Herbaspirillum seropedicae or Bacillus 575 subtilis (Bs) RecA proteins, SSB proteins remove structures in ssDNA to facilitate formation 576 of EcRecA nucleoprotein filaments on ssDNA (Gruenig et al., 2010). In the experimental 577 conditions tested here, SpSsbA or SpSsbB protein improve only very slightly or compete with 578 the SpRecA filament extension. Like for DrRecA, SpRecA ATP hydrolysis is inhibited by 579 SpSsbA or EcSSB. So, regarding SSB proteins, SpRecA showed a distinct behavior shared 580 with DrRecA. In contrast, SpRadA helicase enhanced the SpRecA filament extension. It does so without co-polymerizing with it. The use of the ATP hydrolysis mutant of SpRadA 581 (SpRadA^{K101A}) showed that the ATP hydrolysis activity of SpRadA is required to enhance 582 583 SpRecA filament extension. This strongly suggests that helicase activity of SpRadA could 584 remove ssDNA secondary structures to help SpRecA extension. Interestingly, RecA filament 585 growth is well known to proceeds from 5' to 3' on ssDNA, which is also the translocation 586 directionality of SpRadA when acting as helicase (Marie et al., 2017). Thus, SpRadA not only 587 acts in HR mechanism at the post-synaptic step by promoting DNA branch migration 588 (Cooper and Lovett, 2016; Marie et al., 2017; Torres et al., 2019) but also at the presynaptic 589 step, by relieving the stem-loop structures that form on ssDNA and that impede RecA 590 polymerization. Interestingly, SpRecA is markedly inefficient in directing these two HR steps 591 by itself (this study; (Grove and Bryant, 2006)). By marked contrast, we found that SpRecA 592 is intrinsically highly efficient in promoting homologous ssDNA pairing in dsDNA template, 593 even more than the *Ec*RecA paradigm (Figure 8c).

594

Altogether, this detailed structural and biochemical analysis of ATP-dependent DNA interacting properties of SpRecA points at their balanced intrinsic efficiency by comparison with the EcRecA paradigm. Also, these activities could be differently compensated by accessory effectors. These key variations on the conserved RecA-directed HR mechanism points at its adaptation amongst bacterial species, which could reflect specific needs and/or its particular integration with other processes at work on their genome.

601

602 Material and methods

603

604 **Proteins**

SpRecA was purified as previously described (Marie et al., 2017). The *Ec*RecA protein was
purified in a similar manner and then compared to commercial *Ec*RecA (NEB). The protein
activity of commercial NEB and purified proteins was equivalent, so we used for this study
either commercial or purified *Ec*RecA.

609

610 **D-loop assay**

The basic reaction solution contained 10 mM Tris-Cl (pH 7,5), 0,1 mg/ml BSA, 8 % glycerol,
0,5 mM DTT or TCEP, 50 mM NaCl, 10 mM MgAc, 2 mM ATP, 10 nM of 5' Cy3 100-mer

(5'-

613 oligonucleotide

614 TGCTTCCGGCTCGTATGTTGTGTGGGAATTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTTCACACAGG 615 AAACAGCTATGACCATGATTACGAATTCGAGCTCGGTACCCGGGG-3') homologous 616 to pUC18 sequence, and RecA (150 to 600 nM). After incubation of RecA with the ssDNA 617 (oligonucleotide) for 10 min at 37 °C, we added 5 nM of pUC18 vector into the reaction and 618 further incubated 10 min at 37°C to allow oligonucleotide-pUC18 pairing (D-loop). The 619 reaction was then kept on ice. The reaction was quenched (or deproteinized) with 1% SDS / 620 10 mM EDTA (final concentrations). 0,5 l of loading buffer (Xylene cyanol in 30% glycerol) 621 was added and reactions analysed by electrophoresis on a 1,2 % agarose gel in a Tris-622 Acetate-EDTA buffer at room temperature, 6 V/cm for 1h in order to identify and estimate 623 properly the amount of D-loop created. We detected the free and the bound Cy3 labelled 624 oligonucleotides by a Fluor imager (Typhoon trio-Fuji-GE-healthcare) with an Abs/Em of 625 532/580 nm. Quantification of the proportion of D-loop created in this assay was performed 626 with the Multigauge and Excel softwares.

627

628 **TEM analysis**

For transmission electron microscopy studies, a fraction of the filament formation reactions described above was diluted and handled as previously described (Dupaigne et al., 2008). For statistical analysis of the length of filaments, 30 to 50 molecules were analyzed for each reaction. For RecA filament formation, 15 μ M (nucleotides) Φ X ssDNA were first incubated with 5 μ M *Sp*RecA or *Ec*RecA 3 minutes at 37°C in a buffer containing 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7,5, 50 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM DTT and either 1,5 mM ATP or 1 mM ATPgammaS or 1,5 mM ATP plus 1,5 mM BeF₃.

636

637 Fluorescence labeling

SpRecA^{A488} was made by covalently modifying primary amines (lysines or N-ter) of the 638 639 protein with Alexa 488-succinidimyl ester (Molecular Probes, ThermoFisher), in presence of 640 an excess of ssDNA (M13 mp18, NEB) and ATP γ S (Roche) in order to preserve both ATP 641 and ssDNA binding surfaces of the purified recombinant protein. The free fluorescent probe 642 was removed by a step of gel filtration chromatography (Superdex 200 Increase 10/300 GL; 643 GE Healthcare) in the reaction buffer 50mM Tris (pH7.5), 300mM NaCl, 1mM DTT. To 644 remove any ATP or DNA contaminant, a final step of Anion Exchange chromatography was performed (MonoQ column GE Healthcare). RecA^f was prepared as previously described 645 646 [20]. The ssDNA binding activity of RecA was determined by monitoring the ATP hydrolysis 647 rate of RecA at increasing concentrations of ATP.

648

649 **Production of DNA substrates**

650 Gapped DNA substrates were prepared as described previously (Bell et al., 2012). The short 651 fluorescent ssDNA substrate used in FCS experiments was prepared with synthetic 652 oligonucleotides (Eurogentec) labeled either with Biotin or Alexa-488 in 5' in order to 653 generate a Biotin-labeled DNA strand and a fluorescently-labeled DNA strand (Sequence : 654 Biotin-5'GCTTGCATGCCTGCAGGTCG3'; Alexa488-655 5'GCGGATAACAATTTCACACAGG3') by PCR-amplification using the pUC18 plasmid 656 as template. After PCR amplification (Volume =2 ml), the PCR reactions were loaded on Hi-657 Trap Streptavidin column (GE Healthcare). By addition of 60 mM NaOH, the fluorescent 658 DNA strand is eluted, while the Biotin DNA strand retains on the column. The fluorescent 659 ssDNA is then precipitated by Chloroform/Isopropyl alcohol, resuspended in 10 mM Tris-

- 660 HCl pH 7.5; 50 mM NaCl and gunatified using a Nanodrop spectrophotometer.
- 661

662 Direct Imaging of RecA assembly on single molecules of ssDNA.

663 A gapped ssDNA substrate was prepared and biotinylated as described in (Bell et al., 2012) 664 The gapped ssDNA molecules were injected into a flow cell and tethered to the surface of a 665 coverslip via biotin-streptavidin interactions. Flow cells (4 mm \times 0.4 mm \times 0.07 mm) were 666 assembled using a glass slide, a coverslip, and double-sided tape (3M Adhesive Transfer 667 Tape 9437). Ports were drilled into the glass microscope slide, and flow was controlled using 668 a motor-driven syringe pump (Amitani et al., 2010; Forget and Kowalczykowski, 2012). The 669 surface of the coverslip was cleaned by the subsequent injection of 1 M NaOH for 10 min, 670 rinsed with water and equilibrated in buffer containing 20 mM TrisOAc (pH 8.0), 20% 671 sucrose and 50 mM DTT. The surface was then functionalized by injecting the above buffer 672 containing 2mg/ml biotin-BSA (Pierce) and incubated for 10 min, rinsed with buffer, 673 equilibrated with 0.2 mg/ml streptavidin (Promega) for 10 min and then blocked with 1.5 674 mg/ml Roche Blocking Reagent (Roche) for 10 min. For imaging, the gapped DNA were 675 allowed to incubate in the flow cell in the absence of flow for approximately 5-15 min.

676

677 FCS measurements

Fluorescence correlation spectroscopy was performed on a custom-built setup with Pulse Interleaved Excitation (PIE) and Time Correlated Single Photon Counting (TCSPC) detection as described elsewhere (Olofsson and Margeat, 2013). The FCS measurements were performed in the presence of the indicated amount of RecA proteins, 5 nM fluorescently labeled ssDNA (fluorescent probe: Alexa-488; size: 100 bases), in a buffer containing10 mM Tris-HCl pH7.5; BSA 0,5 mg/ml; 4 mM MgCl₂; 50 mM NaCl; 0,5 mM DTT.

684

685 Equilibrium Anisotropy fluorescent binding assays

686 Titrations to monitor the binding of RecA to ssDNA were performed by monitoring the 687 anisotropy of fluorescence enhancement at 25°C, using a Horiba fluorescence 688 spectrophotometer set at an excitation wavelength of 495 nm and an emission wavelength of 689 520 nm. Excitation and emission slits were set to a bandwidth of 10 nm. Titrations were 690 performed in 25 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 1 mM DTT, 25mM NaCl, 2.5% glycerol, 10mM Mg 691 Cl₂ and the indicated concentration of nucleotide. The Anisotropy of fluorescence values were 692 corrected for dilution. An increased amount of RecA was added to the reaction solution 693 containing the 25nM of polydT of 65-mers. Data fitting using One-site-specific binding 694 model was performed using GraphPad Prism. All equilibrium titrations were performed 3 695 times and the curves shown are the average of three with SEM represented.

696

697 Presynaptic and postsynaptic complex assembling

698 All the reaction steps were carried out at 37 °C. For assembling presynaptic filaments, \Box X174 Virion single strand DNA (New England BioLabs) at 10 ATPyS g.mL⁻¹ was 699 incubated with RadA at 50 µg.mL⁻¹ for 1 min in the reaction buffer comprising of 10 mM 700 701 HEPES pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 50 mM KCl, 0.5 mM DTT and 1.5 mM ATPyS; 50 µM Mg Cl₂. Then, SpRecAwas added at final concentration of 200 μ g.mL⁻¹ for 3.5 h at 37°C. For 702 assembling postsynaptic filaments, Lambda double strand DNA (New England BioLabs) at 703 10 \Box g.mL⁻¹ was incubated with SpRecA at 200 µg.mL⁻¹ for 3.5 h at 37 °C in the same 704 reaction buffer. Complex formation was checked by negative stain on a CM120 electron 705 706 microscope (FEI/Thermo Ficher).

707

708 Cryo-EM specimen preparation and electron microscopy data acquisition

709 For cryo-EM analyses, 3.5 µl of sample were deposited on glow-discharged Lacey carbon 710 grids, blotted with filter paper to remove excess sample for 4 s, and plunge-frozen in liquid 711 ethane using a FEI Vitrobot Mark IV (FEI/Thermo Ficher) with a blotting force of 0 in an 712 environment with 100% humidity and 4 °C temperature. Cryo-EM images were acquired on 713 a Falcon 3 direct detector in counting mode for the presynaptic complex and in linear mode 714 for the postsynaptic complex on a FEI Talos Arctica at 200 kV. For the presynaptic complex, 715 a magnification of 190,000 x was applied to record 40 movie frames with an exposure time of 0.8 s using a dose rate of 0.9 electrons per $Å^2$ per frame for a total accumulated dose of 36 716 electrons per $Å^2$ at a pixel size of 0.76 Å. For the postsynaptic complex, a magnification of 717 718 120,000 x was applied to record 20 movie frames with an exposure time of 1 s using a dose rate of 3 electrons per $Å^2$ per frame, resulting in a total accumulated dose of 60 electrons per 719 720 $Å^2$ at a pixel size of 1.24 Å. The final datasets were composed of 2896 (for the presynaptic 721 complex) and 2364 (for the postsynaptic complex) micrographs with defocus values ranging 722 from -0.8 to -2.5 µm.

723

724 Helical reconstruction

Similar procedures were applied to the presynaptic complex and the postsynaptic complex datasets using helical reconstruction methods in RELION 2.1 (S and Shw, 2017). All frames were corrected for gain reference, binned by a factor of 2 only for the presynaptic complex, motion-corrected and dose-weighted using MOTIONCOR2 (Zheng et al., 2017) Contrast

transfer function (CTF) parameters were estimated by CTFind-4.1 (Rohou and Grigorieff,

730 2015).

731 Particles on micrographs of the presynaptic complex were picked manually in box sizes of 732 180 pixels and with an inter-box distance of 100 Å. Then, picked particles were classified 733 into two-dimensional class averages to identify homogeneous subsets using a regularization 734 value of T=2. Selected classes were used as references for autopicking in RELION 2.1 735 (Scheres, 2012). The total number of initial extracted segments (25,653) was reduced to 736 7,254 by subsequent rounds of two-dimensional classifications. After the best two-737 dimensional classes were selected, a first three-dimensional reconstruction was done using 738 featureless cylinder of 125 Å in diameter as an initial model (Chen et al., 2008). This was 739 achieved by refining without imposing any helical symmetry and. This yielded a map at 7.6Å 740 in which helical symmetry was already apparent.

741 Then, this map was used as reference for new autopicking on the micrographs of both 742 complexes. The total number of extracted particles (363,828 segments for the presynaptic and 743 1,109,194 segments for the postsynaptic) was reduced to 188,475 and 715,954 by subsequent 744 rounds of two-dimensional classifications. High-resolution refinements were performed in 745 RELION's 3D auto-refinement using the non-symmetrized map as a reference, optimizing 746 both the helical twist (58.46° and 58.62° respectively) and rise (15.38 Å and 14.97 Å 747 respectively) (S and Shw, 2017). The final resolution was 3.9 A \square for the presynaptic 748 complex and 3.8 Å for the postsynaptic complex, calculated with two masked half-maps 749 refined independently, according to the gold standard Fourier shell correlation (FSC) 0.143 750 criterion using RELION. Local resolution, calculated with RELION with a B-factor applied 751 of -141.9 and -153.07 respectively, retrieved a range between 3.7 and 7.7 A \square . All of the 752 densities obtained were subjected to Auto-sharpening (Afonine et al., 2018) in the Phenix 753 software package.

754

755 Model building and refinement

The initial atomic model of *Sp*RecA protomers in both presynaptic and post-synaptic complexes were generated from the crystal structure of *E.coli* RecA (PDB ID: 3cmw and 3cmx) by SWISS-MODEL (Schwede et al., 2003). Rigid-bodies, comprising four molecules of ATP γ S and the DNA, were docked into the autosharpened electron density map in UCSF-Chimera (Pettersen et al., 2004). The coordinates of the obtained single-chain model were modified manually using Coot and refined with repeated rounds of Phenix real-space refine

function. The structure was further refined in real-space in PHENIX with secondary structure
 restraint (Adams et al., 2010). The atomic models were validated using the Cryo-EM

validation tools of Phenix (Afonine et al., 2018). Briefly, each model was firstly refined

against the sharpened map (Supplemental data). To monitor the refinement of the model and

avoid over-fitting, the final model was refined against one half map and tested against the

767 other half map by calculating the Fourier Shell Correlation curves (not reported), which

indicated that the refinement of the atomic coordinates did not suffer from over-fitting.

- 769
- 770

771

- Adams PD, Afonine PV, Bunkóczi G, Chen VB, Davis IW, Echols N, Headd JJ, Hung L-W,
- 773 Kapral GJ, Grosse-Kunstleve RW, McCoy AJ, Moriarty NW, Oeffner R, Read RJ,
- 774 Richardson DC, Richardson JS, Terwilliger TC, Zwart PH. 2010. PHENIX: a comprehensive
- 775 Python-based system for macromolecular structure solution. Acta Crystallogr D Biol
- 776 *Crystallogr* **66**:213–221. doi:10.1107/S0907444909052925
- Afonine PV, Poon BK, Read RJ, Sobolev OV, Terwilliger TC, Urzhumtsev A, Adams PD.
- 2018. Real-space refinement in PHENIX for cryo-EM and crystallography. Acta Cryst D
- 779 **74**:531–544. doi:10.1107/S2059798318006551
- 780 Amitani I, Liu B, Dombrowski CC, Baskin RJ, Kowalczykowski SC. 2010. Watching
- 781 Individual Proteins Acting on Single Molecules of DNA. *Methods Enzymol* **472**:261–291.
- 782 doi:10.1016/S0076-6879(10)72007-3
- Antony E, Lohman TM. 2019. Dynamics of E. coli single stranded DNA binding (SSB)
- 784 protein-DNA complexes. Semin Cell Dev Biol 86:102–111.
- 785 doi:10.1016/j.semcdb.2018.03.017
- 786 Attaiech L, Olivier A, Mortier-Barrière I, Soulet A-L, Granadel C, Martin B, Polard P,
- 787 Claverys J-P. 2011. Role of the single-stranded DNA-binding protein SsbB in pneumococcal
- transformation: maintenance of a reservoir for genetic plasticity. *PLoS Genet* **7**:e1002156.
- 789 doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1002156
- 790 Baitin DM, Bakhlanova IV, Kil YV, Cox MM, Lanzov VA. 2006. Distinguishing
- 791 characteristics of hyperrecombinogenic RecA protein from Pseudomonas aeruginosa acting
- 792 in Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol 188:5812–5820. doi:10.1128/JB.00358-06
- 793 Bell JC, Kowalczykowski SC. 2016a. Mechanics and Single-Molecule Interrogation of DNA
- 794 Recombination. Annu Rev Biochem 85:193–226. doi:10.1146/annurev-biochem-060614-
- 795 034352
- 796 Bell JC, Kowalczykowski SC. 2016b. RecA: Regulation and Mechanism of a Molecular
- 797 Search Engine. Trends Biochem Sci 41:491–507. doi:10.1016/j.tibs.2016.04.002
- 798 Bell JC, Plank JL, Dombrowski CC, Kowalczykowski SC. 2012. Direct imaging of RecA
- nucleation and growth on single molecules of SSB-coated ssDNA. *Nature* **491**:274–278.
- 800 doi:10.1038/nature11598
- 801 Bianco PR. 2017. The tale of SSB. *Prog Biophys Mol Biol* 127:111–118.
- 802 doi:10.1016/j.pbiomolbio.2016.11.001
- 803 Chen Z, Yang H, Pavletich NP. 2008. Mechanism of homologous recombination from the
- 804 RecA-ssDNA/dsDNA structures. *Nature* **453**:489–484. doi:10.1038/nature06971
- 805 Cooper DL, Lovett ST. 2016. Recombinational branch migration by the RadA/Sms paralog

- 806 of RecA in Escherichia coli. *eLife* **5**:e10807. doi:10.7554/eLife.10807
- 807 Cox MM. 2007. Regulation of bacterial RecA protein function. Crit Rev Biochem Mol Biol
- 808 **42**:41–63. doi:10.1080/10409230701260258
- 809 Cox MM, Battista JR. 2005. Deinococcus radiodurans the consummate survivor. Nat Rev
- 810 *Microbiol* **3**:882–892. doi:10.1038/nrmicro1264
- 811 Dupaigne P, Le Breton C, Fabre F, Gangloff S, Le Cam E, Veaute X. 2008. The Srs2
- 812 Helicase Activity Is Stimulated by Rad51 Filaments on dsDNA: Implications for Crossover
- 813 Incidence during Mitotic Recombination. *Molecular Cell* 29:243–254.
- 814 doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2007.11.033
- 815 Forget AL, Kowalczykowski SC. 2012. Single-Molecule Imaging of DNA Pairing by RecA
- 816 Reveals a 3-Dimensional Homology Search. *Nature* 482:423–427. doi:10.1038/nature10782
- 817 Grove DE, Anne G, Hedayati MA, Bryant FR. 2012. Stimulation of the Streptococcus
- 818 pneumoniae RecA protein-promoted three-strand exchange reaction by the competence-
- 819 specific SsbB protein. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **424**:40–44.
- 820 doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2012.06.059
- 821 Grove DE, Bryant FR. 2006. Effect of Mg2+ on the DNA binding modes of the
- 822 Streptococcus pneumoniae SsbA and SsbB proteins. *J Biol Chem* **281**:2087–2094.
- 823 doi:10.1074/jbc.M510884200
- 824 Gruenig MC, Stohl EA, Chitteni-Pattu S, Seifert HS, Cox MM. 2010. Less is more: Neisseria
- 825 gonorrhoeae RecX protein stimulates recombination by inhibiting RecA. J Biol Chem
- 826 **285**:37188–37197. doi:10.1074/jbc.M110.171967
- 827 Johnston C, Martin B, Fichant G, Polard P, Claverys J-P. 2014. Bacterial transformation:
- distribution, shared mechanisms and divergent control. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **12**:181–196.
- 829 doi:10.1038/nrmicro3199
- 830 Joo C, McKinney SA, Nakamura M, Rasnik I, Myong S, Ha T. 2006. Real-time observation
- of RecA filament dynamics with single monomer resolution. *Cell* **126**:515–527.
- 832 doi:10.1016/j.cell.2006.06.042
- 833 Kim T, Chitteni-Pattu S, Cox BL, Wood EA, Sandler SJ, Cox MM. 2015. Directed Evolution
- 834 of RecA Variants with Enhanced Capacity for Conjugational Recombination. PLOS Genetics
- 835 **11**:e1005278. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1005278
- 836 Kowalczykowski SC. 2015. An Overview of the Molecular Mechanisms of Recombinational
- 837 DNA Repair. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 7. doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a016410
- 838 Kowalczykowski SC, Clow J, Somani R, Varghese A. 1987. Effects of the Escherichia coli
- 839 SSB protein on the binding of Escherichia coli RecA protein to single-stranded DNA.

- 840 Demonstration of competitive binding and the lack of a specific protein-protein interaction. J
- 841 *Mol Biol* **193**:81–95. doi:10.1016/0022-2836(87)90629-2
- Lee JY, Terakawa T, Qi Z, Steinfeld JB, Redding S, Kwon Y, Gaines WA, Zhao W, Sung P,
- 843 Greene EC. 2015. DNA RECOMBINATION. Base triplet stepping by the Rad51/RecA
- family of recombinases. *Science* **349**:977–981. doi:10.1126/science.aab2666
- Liu J, Ehmsen KT, Heyer W-D, Morrical SW. 2011. Presynaptic filament dynamics in
- homologous recombination and DNA repair. Crit Rev Biochem Mol Biol 46:240–270.
- 847 doi:10.3109/10409238.2011.576007
- 848 Marie L, Rapisarda C, Morales V, Bergé M, Perry T, Soulet A-L, Gruget C, Remaut H,
- 849 Fronzes R, Polard P. 2017. Bacterial RadA is a DnaB-type helicase interacting with RecA to
- promote bidirectional D-loop extension. *Nat Commun* **8**:15638. doi:10.1038/ncomms15638
- 851 Michel B, Leach D. 2012. Homologous Recombination-Enzymes and Pathways. *EcoSal Plus*
- 852 **5**. doi:10.1128/ecosalplus.7.2.7
- 853 Morrical SW. 2015. DNA-pairing and annealing processes in homologous recombination and
- homology-directed repair. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 7:a016444.
- 855 doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a016444
- 856 Nayak S, Bryant FR. 2015. Kinetics of the ATP and dATP-mediated formation of a
- functionally-active RecA-ssDNA complex. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **463**:1257–1261.
- 858 doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2015.06.097
- 859 Olofsson L, Margeat E. 2013. Pulsed interleaved excitation fluorescence spectroscopy with a
- 860 supercontinuum source. *Opt Express* **21**:3370–3378. doi:10.1364/OE.21.003370
- 861 Pettersen EF, Goddard TD, Huang CC, Couch GS, Greenblatt DM, Meng EC, Ferrin TE.
- 862 2004. UCSF Chimera?A visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. J
- 863 Comput Chem 25:1605–1612. doi:10.1002/jcc.20084
- Phenix CP, Rempel BP, Colobong K, Doudet DJ, Adam MJ, Clarke LA, Withers SG. 2010.
- 865 Imaging of enzyme replacement therapy using PET. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 107:10842–
- 866 10847. doi:10.1073/pnas.1003247107
- 867 Renkawitz J, Lademann CA, Jentsch S. 2014. Mechanisms and principles of homology
- search during recombination. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **15**:369–383. doi:10.1038/nrm3805
- 869 Rohou A, Grigorieff N. 2015. CTFFIND4: Fast and accurate defocus estimation from
- 870 electron micrographs. J Struct Biol 192:216–221. doi:10.1016/j.jsb.2015.08.008
- 871 Roy R, Kozlov AG, Lohman TM, Ha T. 2009. SSB protein diffusion on single-stranded DNA
- stimulates RecA filament formation. *Nature* **461**:1092–1097. doi:10.1038/nature08442
- 873 S H, Shw S. 2017. Helical reconstruction in RELION. Journal of structural biology.

874 doi:10.1016/j.jsb.2017.02.003

- 875 Scheres SHW. 2012. RELION: Implementation of a Bayesian approach to cryo-EM structure
- 876 determination. J Struct Biol 180:519–530. doi:10.1016/j.jsb.2012.09.006
- 877 Schwede T, Kopp J, Guex N, Peitsch MC. 2003. SWISS-MODEL: an automated protein
- homology-modeling server. *Nucleic Acids Res* **31**:3381–3385.
- 879 Steffen SE, Bryant FR. 2001. Purification and characterization of the single-stranded DNA
- binding protein from Streptococcus pneumoniae. Arch Biochem Biophys 388:165–170.
- 881 doi:10.1006/abbi.2001.2286
- 882 Torres R, Serrano E, Alonso JC. 2019. Bacillus subtilis RecA interacts with and loads
- 883 RadA/Sms to unwind recombination intermediates during natural chromosomal
- transformation. Nucleic Acids Res 47:9198–9215. doi:10.1093/nar/gkz647
- van Mameren J, Modesti M, Kanaar R, Wyman C, Peterman EJG, Wuite GJL. 2009.
- 886 Counting RAD51 proteins disassembling from nucleoprotein filaments under tension. Nature
- 887 **457**:745–748. doi:10.1038/nature07581
- 888 Waterhouse A, Bertoni M, Bienert S, Studer G, Tauriello G, Gumienny R, Heer FT, de Beer
- 889 TAP, Rempfer C, Bordoli L, Lepore R, Schwede T. 2018. SWISS-MODEL: homology
- 890 modelling of protein structures and complexes. *Nucleic Acids Res* **46**:W296–W303.
- 891 doi:10.1093/nar/gky427
- 892 Yang H, Zhou C, Dhar A, Pavletich NP. 2020. Mechanism of strand exchange from RecA-
- 893 DNA synaptic and D-loop structures. *Nature* 586:801–806. doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2820-9
- 894 Zheng SQ, Palovcak E, Armache J-P, Verba KA, Cheng Y, Agard DA. 2017. MotionCor2 -
- anisotropic correction of beam-induced motion for improved cryo-electron microscopy. Nat
- 896 *Methods* 14:331–332. doi:10.1038/nmeth.4193
- 897
- 898

899 ACKOWLEDGMENTS

900 This work was funded by: the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, University Paul 901 Sabatier; the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (grant ANR-10-BLAN-1331); the IdeX 902 Toulouse funding for Emergence with the SMART project 'Single Molecule Analysis of 903 Homologous Recombination' attributed to MH and for Equipment with the 'Go ahead in life 904 sciences in Toulouse' project; the Fondation de la Recherche Médicale (FRM N° 905 ING20150532556) for the salary of SS; the European Research Council (ERC) consolidator 906 grant TransfoPneumo attributed to RF; the France Bio Imaging (FBI) funding attributed to 907 EM & MH. We thank Chantal Prevost for helpful discussions

908

909 Conflict of Interests

910 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

911

912 FIGURE LEGENDS

913

Figure 1. TEM analysis of *Sp*RecAand *Ec*RecA polymerisation on ssDNA.

915 From a to h, representative electron micrographs images of ΦX ssDNA alone (a) or with

- 916 SpRecA and ATP (b), SpRecA, ATP and an ATP regenerating system (c), SpRecA and
- 917 ATPgS (d), SpRecA and ATP-BeF₃ (e), with EcRecA and ATP (f), $RecA_{Ec}$, ATP and an ATP
- 918 regenerating system (g), RecA_{Ec} and ATPgS (h). In i: measured length of the filaments made
- 919 with *Ec*RecA or *Sp*RecA in presence of ATPgS. All scale bars represent 200 nm.
- 920

Figure 2. TEM analysis of SpRecA and EcRecA assembly on ssDNA in presence of SpSsbA and SpSsbB, or EcSSB.

923 Representative electron micrographs images of ΦX ssDNA incubated either with saturating 924 amount of SsbA (a) or SsbB (b), or pre-incubated with *Sp*RecA and, next, incubated with 925 saturating amount of SsbA (c) or SsbB (e), or pre-incubated with *Ec*RecA and, next, 926 incubated with saturating amount of SSB (d). All scale bars represent 200 nm.

927

928 Figure 3. SpRecA extends SpRecA polymerization along ssDNA.

929a and b. Negatively stained EM images of presynaptic filaments in presence of RadA_{FL} (a)930and of RadA_{K101A} (b), the presynaptic filaments and RadA proteins are shown by black931arrows and circles respectively. The insets on the right show a zoom of presynaptic filaments932and RadA_{FL} (a), and RadA_{K101A} (b) self-assembled into ring-shaped hexamers. c. Histogram933of SpRecA presynaptic filaments average length in the presence of RadA_{FL} and RadA_{K101A}.

934

Figure 4: Structure comparison of the presynaptic nucleoprotein filaments from Sp and *Ec.*

937 a and c. Structure of the RecA-ATP γ S-dT complex from SpRecA (a) and EcRecA (c). Four 938 RecA protomers are numbered from the N-terminus of the first protomer to the C-terminus of 939 the last protomer, coloured in orange, green, blue and purple respectively. A single stranded 940 DNA (ssDNA) molecule composed of 8 thymidine nucleotides bound to SpRecA and 941 EcRecA are represented in red and blue respectively. Four ATPyS molecules are shown in 942 gold. b and d. Zoom on the single strand B-form DNA from S. pneumoniae (b) and E. coli (d) 943 presynaptic filaments. The ssDNA is numbered starting with the 5'-most nucleotide in each 944 nucleotide triplet. The ssDNA binds with a stoichiometry of exactly three nucleotides per

945 RecA, and the repeating unit of the DNA structure is a group of three nucleotides with a 3.5–

946 4.2 □ Å spacing.

947

Figure 5: Interaction comparison between ssDNA and RecA protomers from *Sp*and *Ec*.

950 Surface representation comparaison of the RecA-ATPyS-dT complex from S. pneumoniae 951 (SpRecA) on the left and from E. coli (EcRecA) on the right. Four RecA protomers are 952 numbered from the N-terminus of the first protomer to the C-terminus of the last protomer, 953 coloured in different grey. A single stranded DNA (ssDNA) molecule composed of 8 954 thimidine nucleotides bound to SpRecA and EcRecA are represented in red and blue 955 respectively. b and c. Zoom of the RecA-ssDNA contacts from S. pneumoniae (b) and E. coli 956 (c) presynaptic filaments. Each nucleotide triplet is bound by three consecutive RecA 957 protomers. RecA protomers and ssDNA are numbered and coloured as the Figure 4.a. 958 Residues V177, R182, and V212 from S. pneumoniae presynaptic filament (b) and residues 959 M164, R169, and I199 from E. coli presynaptic filament (c) are coloured in red. d. 960 Superimposition of the nucleotide triplets bound to SpRecA (red) and EcRecA (blue). The 961 two first nucleotides can be superimposed while the last nucleotide of each triplet shows a 962 difference in orientation, represented by a dotted line of 6.5Å long. e. Top view of the last 963 nucleotide of each triplet superimposed and numbered dT3. The superimposition shows a 964 shift of 53°.

965

966 Figure 6. TIRFm analysis of *Sp*RecA assembly on single molecules of ssDNA.

a. Schematic of the experimental set up combining TIRFm and microfluidics for direct imaging of $SpRecA^{A488}$ filament assembly in presence of ATP γ S on a single molecule of ssDNA tethered within a microfluidic flow chamber. b. Sequential images of $SpRecA^{A488}$ filament assembly in presence of ATP γ S. Scale bars represent 1 μ m and the time interval in minutes (min) is indicated in the images. c. The length of $SpRecA^{A488}$ filament clusters increases linearly with time. The plots are the average of 3 experiments and the standard error of the mean (sem) is represented.

974

975 Figure 7. FCS analysis of *Sp*RecA and *Ec*RecA assembly on single molecules of ssDNA.

a. Schematic of the experimental set up using FCS for the direct measurement of the change

977 of diffusion time of fluorescently labeled (A488) ssDNA of 100 nucleotides length upon

978 binding of SpRecA and EcRecA. b. and c. Averaged curve of 3 measurements of diffusion 979 time at 250 nM of protein (b) showing a mean of half-time polymerization of $72,54 \pm 11,85$ 980 sec for SpRecA and 111,99 +/- 18,24 sec for EcRecA and at 400 nM of protein (c) showing a 981 mean of half-time polymerization of 69+/- 6,0 sec for SpRecA and 132, 35+/- 29,8 sec for 982 *Ec*RecA. d-h. Equilibrium binding of *Ec*RecA (d.) of *Sp*RecA (e.) of *Ec*RecA in the presence 983 of ATP. Fluorescence anisotropy (FA) variation with 1 mM ATP (black circles) and 0,1mM 984 ATP (red circles) with a K_d of 65nM and 35nM in presence of 1 mM and 0,1 mM ATP, 985 respectively. The plots are the average of 3 experiments and the standard error of the mean 986 (sem) is represented. In the presence of ATP_YS (f); ATP-BeF₃ (g); Parameters table (K_d) 987 obtained from the above measurements (h).

988

989 Figure 8. Comparison of *Sp*RecA and *Ec*RecA recombination activity in a D-loop assay.

990 a and b. Schematics of *in vitro* DNA strands exchange assays commonly used to measure the 991 recombination activity of HR recombinases; the D-loop assay is depicted in b. c. Left: 992 deproteinized agarose gel of the D-loop reaction performed in presence of 10 nM of cy3 993 oligonucleotide (100 mers) 5 nM (1 ml) of pUC18 vector, and increased amount (150 to 600 994 nM) of SpRecA or EcRecA as indicated above the gel (Sp and Ec, respectively). Right: 995 quantification of the D-loop product generated at 600 nM of SpRecA and EcRecA 996 concentration. The percentage of D-loop formed is given as mean values +/- standard error of 997 the mean (sem) of three reactions.

998

999











d





Figure 4

C







Figure 6

С



h	Nucleotide, mM	ATP Sp Ec	ATPγS <i>Sp</i>	ATP-BeF Sp	Kd, nM
	0,1	222 35	145	258	
	1	147 65	154	250	



Sp Ec

1'

EtBr

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

Cy3

Data Collection	SpRecA-ssDNA	<i>Sp</i> RecA-dsDNA	
	complex	complex	
Magnification	X190,000	X120,000	
Defocus range (µm)	-0.8 to -2.5	-0.8 to -2.5	
Voltage (kV)	200	200	
Microscope	Talos	Talos	
Camera	Falcon 3	Falcon 3	
Frame exposure time (s)	0.8	1	
# movie frames	40	20	
Total electron dose (e ⁻ /Å ⁻²)	36	60	
Reconstruction	RecA-ssDNA complex	RecA-dsDNA complex	
Boxe size (pixel)	180	180	
Inter-box distance (Å)	100	100	
<pre># segments extracted</pre>	363 828	1 109 194	
<pre># segements after Class2D</pre>	188 475	715 954	
Resolution (Å)	3.9	3.8	
Map sharpening B-factor (Å ⁻²)	-141.9	-153.07	
Helical rise (Å)	15.38	14.97	
Helical twist (゜)	58.46	58.62	
Atomic model	RecA-ssDNA complex	RecA-dsDNA complex	
Atomic model Chains	RecA-ssDNA complex 9	RecA-dsDNA complex 10	
Atomic model Chains # unique non-hydrogen atoms	RecA-ssDNA complex 9 10 381	RecA-dsDNA complex 10 10 515	
Atomic model Chains # unique non-hydrogen atoms R.m.s.d. Length (Å)	RecA-ssDNA complex 9 10 381 0.006	RecA-dsDNA complex 10 10 515 0.007	
Atomic model Chains # unique non-hydrogen atoms R.m.s.d. Length (Å) R.m.s.d. Angles (°)	SecA-ssDNA complex 9 10 381 0.006 0.993	RecA-dsDNA complex 10 10 515 0.007 0.963	
Atomic model Chains # unique non-hydrogen atoms R.m.s.d. Length (Å) R.m.s.d. Angles (°) Molprobity score	RecA-ssDNA complex 9 10 381 0.006 0.993 1.84	RecA-dsDNA complex 10 10,515 0.007 0.963 1.86	
Atomic model Chains # unique non-hydrogen atoms R.m.s.d. Length (Å) R.m.s.d. Angles (°) Molprobity score Molprobity clashscore, all atoms	RecA-ssDNA complex 9 10 381 0.006 0.993 1.84 5.10	RecA-dsDNA complex 10 10,515 0.007 0.963 1.86 6.90	
Atomic model Chains # unique non-hydrogen atoms R.m.s.d. Length (Å) R.m.s.d. Angles (°) Molprobity score Molprobity clashscore, all atoms Ramachandran outliers (%)	RecA-ssDNA complex 9 10 381 0.006 0.993 1.84 5.10 0	RecA-dsDNA complex 10 10 515 0.007 0.963 1.86 6.90 0	
Atomic model Chains # unique non-hydrogen atoms R.m.s.d. Length (Å) R.m.s.d. Angles (°) Molprobity score Molprobity clashscore, all atoms Ramachandran outliers (%) Ramachandran allowed (%)	RecA-ssDNA complex 9 10 381 0.006 0.993 1.84 5.10 0 10.73	RecA-dsDNA complex 10 10 515 0.007 0.963 1.86 6.90 0 7.85	
Atomic modelChains# unique non-hydrogen atomsR.m.s.d. Length (Å)R.m.s.d. Angles (°)Molprobity scoreMolprobity clashscore, all atomsRamachandran outliers (%)Ramachandran allowed (%)Ramachandran Favored (%)	RecA-ssDNA complex 9 10 381 0.006 0.993 1.84 5.10 0 10.73 89.27	RecA-dsDNA complex 10 10 515 0.007 0.963 1.86 6.90 0 7.85 92.15	
Atomic modelChains# unique non-hydrogen atomsR.m.s.d. Length (Å)R.m.s.d. Angles (°)Molprobity scoreMolprobity clashscore, all atomsRamachandran outliers (%)Ramachandran allowed (%)Ramachandran Favored (%)Rotamer outliers (%)	RecA-ssDNA complex 9 10 381 0.006 0.993 1.84 5.10 0 10.73 89.27 0.77	RecA-dsDNA complex 10 10 515 0.007 0.963 1.86 6.90 0 7.85 92.15 0.77	
Atomic modelChains# unique non-hydrogen atomsR.m.s.d. Length (Å)R.m.s.d. Angles (°)Molprobity scoreMolprobity clashscore, all atomsRamachandran outliers (%)Ramachandran allowed (%)Ramachandran Favored (%)Rotamer outliers (%)Cβ outliers (%)	RecA-ssDNA complex 9 10 381 0.006 0.993 1.84 5.10 0 10.73 89.27 0.77 0 0	RecA-dsDNA complex 10 10 10 0.007 0.963 1.86 6.90 0 7.85 92.15 0.77 0 0	
Atomic modelChains# unique non-hydrogen atomsR.m.s.d. Length (Å)R.m.s.d. Angles (°)Molprobity scoreMolprobity clashscore, all atomsRamachandran outliers (%)Ramachandran allowed (%)Ramachandran Favored (%)Rotamer outliers (%)Cβ outliers (%)Model vs Map	RecA-ssDNA complex 9 10 381 0.006 0.993 1.84 5.10 0 10.73 89.27 0.77 0 0 0 0 0.77 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	RecA-dsDNA complex 10 10 515 0.007 0.963 1.86 6.90 0 7.85 92.15 0.77 0 0 7.85 92.15 0.77 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
Atomic modelChains# unique non-hydrogen atomsR.m.s.d. Length (Å)R.m.s.d. Angles (°)Molprobity scoreMolprobity clashscore, all atomsRamachandran outliers (%)Ramachandran allowed (%)Ramachandran Favored (%)Rotamer outliers (%)Cβ outliers (%)Model vs MapCorrelation Coefficient (mask)	RecA-ssDNA complex 9 10 381 0.006 0.993 1.84 5.10 0 10.73 89.27 0.77 0 0 0.77 0.77 0 0.80	RecA-dsDNA complex 10 10 515 0.007 0.963 1.86 6.90 0 7.85 92.15 0.77 0 0 0 0.77 0 0.84	
Atomic modelChains# unique non-hydrogen atomsR.m.s.d. Length (Å)R.m.s.d. Angles (°)Molprobity scoreMolprobity clashscore, all atomsRamachandran outliers (%)Ramachandran allowed (%)Ramachandran Favored (%)Rotamer outliers (%)Cβ outliers (%)Correlation Coefficient (mask)Correlation Coefficient (box)	RecA-ssDNA complex 9 10 381 0.006 0.993 1.84 5.10 0 10.73 89.27 0.77 0 0 0.77 0 0.77 0 0.77 0 0.51	RecA-dsDNA complex 10 10 515 0.007 0.963 1.86 6.90 0 7.85 92.15 0.77 0 0 0.77 0 0.77 0.55	
Atomic modelChains# unique non-hydrogen atomsR.m.s.d. Length (Å)R.m.s.d. Angles (°)Molprobity scoreMolprobity clashscore, all atomsRamachandran outliers (%)Ramachandran allowed (%)Ramachandran Favored (%)Rotamer outliers (%)Correlation Coefficient (mask)Correlation Coefficient (box)Correlation Coefficient (peaks)	P 9 10381 0.006 0.933 1.84 5.10 0 10.73 89.27 0.77	RecA-dsDNA complex 10 10 10 0.007 0.963 1.86 0.90 6.90 0 7.85 92.15 0.777 0 7.85 0.77 0.77 0.77 0.77 0.77 0.77 0.55 0.25	
Atomic modelChains# unique non-hydrogen atomsR.m.s.d. Length (Å)R.m.s.d. Angles (°)Molprobity scoreMolprobity clashscore, all atomsRamachandran outliers (%)Ramachandran allowed (%)Ramachandran Favored (%)Rotamer outliers (%)Correlation Coefficient (mask)Correlation Coefficient (peaks)Correlation Coefficient (volume)	RecA-ssDNA complex 9 10 381 0.006 0.993 1.84 5.10 0 10.73 89.27 0.77 0.77 0.77 0.77 0.77 0.77 0.77 0.77 0.77 0.77 0.77 0.77 0.80 0.51 0.80 0.51 0.25 0.80	RecA-dsDNA complex 10 10 10 10 10 10 0.007 0.963 1.86 6.90 0 7.85 92.15 0.77 0 7.85 0.77 0.77 0 0.84 0.55 0.25 0.84	

Table 1: Cryo-EM structure determination and modelstatistics for SpRecA-ssDNA and SpRecA-dsDNA complexes.