

Study and design of a remote and virtual air traffic control center using a Human Systems Integration approach

Alexandre Disdier, Dimitri Masson, Thomas Brethomé, Marija Jankovic, Guy Andre Boy

▶ To cite this version:

Alexandre Disdier, Dimitri Masson, Thomas Brethomé, Marija Jankovic, Guy Andre Boy. Study and design of a remote and virtual air traffic control center using a Human Systems Integration approach. Rencontres Académie-Industrie, Dec 2022, Toulouse, France. 2022. hal-03971460

HAL Id: hal-03971460

https://hal.science/hal-03971460

Submitted on 15 Mar 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Study and design of a remote and virtual air traffic control center using a **Human Systems Integration approach**

Alexandre Disdier ^{1,2,3,5}, Dimitri Masson ^{4,5}, Thomas Brethomé ^{3,5}, Marija Jankovic ^{1,5}, Guy André Boy ^{1,2,5}

Assistant advisor

Industrial advisor

Co-advisor Advisor

(1) Paris Saclay University, CentraleSupélec, LGI, 9 rue Joliot Curie, 91190 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

(2) ESTIA Institute of Technology, 90 allée Fauste d'Elhuyar, F-64210 Bidart, France

(3) CS Group, 22 Avenue Galilée, 92350 Le Plessis-Robinson, France

(4) Bordeaux University, ESTIA Institute of Technology, 90 allée Fauste d'Elhuyar, F-64210 Bidart, France

(5) FlexTech Chair, CentraleSupélec and ESTIA

Research objectives

- Study how virtualisation can help air traffic controllers in a remote center which replaces the traditional tower on the airfield
- Propose a design methodology consistent with the principles of Human Systems Integration (HSI) to support the acquisition of such a system





Remote and Virtual Towers (RVT)

PhD program from 10 January 2022 to 30 June 2025

RVTs have been studied since the late 90s as a new paradigm to Air Traffic Control (ATC). Most prototypes today aim to remove the physical tower and replace it with a remote center, potentially located hundreds of kilometers away, in which a screen wall is substituted for out-the-view windows. The screens display a video signal from cameras positioned around the target airfield (Fürstenau, 2016).



RVT advantages:

- Cost savings as construction and maintenance of the traditional tower is no longer required
- Pooling of resources from several low-traffic airfields into a single remote center
- Ease of deployment, particularly in military operations or isolated terrain

Problem:

RVTs as they are developed today require heavy equipment and infrastructures (wall of screens, camera sensors, broadband connections). Most of all, they only shift the controllers' difficulties into a remote location but do not reconsider the role of the human element (i.e. controllers, but also people like technicians, trainers, deployment personnel or pilots) within the system. In other words, issues regarding the controllers' trust in the system, focus, situation awareness, fatigue and comfort are not directly addressed.

Human Systems Integration (HSI)

HSI is an effort that strives to provide a set of methods, tools and processes as part of a wider systems engineering approach to ensure that humans are integrated in a cohesive manner into all stages of a system life cycle. In HSI, the human element is considered as being another component of a system along with traditional software and hardware components. The term "human" in HSI refers to all personnel involved with a given system, including not only end-users, but also owners, designers, test personnel, operators, maintenance personnel, support personnel, logistics suppliers and training personnel.

RVTs are complex, life-critical sociotechnical systems of systems. They are life-critical because an unanticipated or poorly managed event may result in severe injury and hazards. However, anticipating every potential event that may occur before a system is deployed is a difficult task. Human behavior during operation is also highly unpredictable. As such, an RVT system is likely to show emergent properties that may not have been expected during design time. This calls for measures to add flexibility: during operations so that the whole system should be able to restructure itself to cope with incidents and unusual events; during system design, so that we want to detect these emergent properties as soon as possible, especially before any substantial financial commitment has been made.

When and how can we implement HSI?

We conducted a series of semi-structured interviews with engineers and designers involved in air traffic control programs for the French Airforce. We asked general questions about the systems engineering processes and practices currently in place. Following a grounded theory-based approach (Strauss, 1990), we identified 4 categories of critical points that appropriate HSI practices may improve.

		Consider pos	t-deployment early
Increase flexibility in design		High-level o	bjectives
High-level objectives		Consider m	naintenance early
Circumvent rigidity of traditional SE m	nodels	Consider tr	raining early
Enablers	E	Ensure stea	ady operational readiness
Consider several alternative designs	Engage the right stakeholders	Ensure syst	tem performance in real conditions
Develop supporting digital tools	High-level objectives	Enablers	
Know when to reconsider decisions	Involve operatorsEnablers	Keep docu	mentation focused and short
Prioritize most needed features	Be transparent for customers	Motivate s	takeholders to use documentation
Raise frequency of exchanges	Ensure users are projected int		
Challenges	Ensure users trust system data		Grow an organization-wide culture
Late commitments from clients	Highlight scenario-based design		High-level objectives
Long-term requirement variability	Keep subject matter expert engaged		Sensitize internal teams to SE challenges
Imposed SE contraints and standards	Make stakeholders interact with the system		Enablers
Ambiguity on V&V baseline	Challenges		Make internal units cooperate
	Out-of-scope client expectations		Challenges
	Subject matter expert varying availability		Lack of trust on agility from collaborators
	Intermediary stakeholder interference		Lack of trust off agility from collaborators
	Conflicting views		


Our RVT desired characteristics

- Provide the same features than traditional towers
- Explore alternative interactions concepts (i.e. nonvisual only)
- Not just be a heavy camera-based restitution of the airfield (most prototypes today)
- Reconsider roles of controllers, technicians, pilots, and non-human elements

Be designed as a complex sociotechnical system, following a Human Systems Integration approach based on early virtual human-in-theloop simulations

Research methodology

We promote early user activity analysis, carried out through human-in the-loop simulations (HITLS) and virtual world storytelling (Madni, 2015), coupled with scenario-based design (Rosson, 2002). HITLS enable engineers to identify early patterns and behaviours that were not anticipated at design time (Boy, 2021). Scenarios are the procedural



References

- Fürstenau, N. (2016), Virtual and Remote Control Tower Research, Design, Development and Validation
 - Boy, G.A. (2021), Human Systems Integration and Design, chapter 2, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
 - Strauss, A. and Corbin, J. (1990), Basics of qualitative research, Sage publications
- Rosson, M.B. and Carroll, J.M. (2002), Scenario-Based Design, L. Erlbaum Associates Inc., p. 1032-1050
- Madni, A.M. (2015), "Expanding stakeholder participation in upfront system engineering through storytelling in virtual worlds", Systems Engineering, Vol. 18 No. 1, pp. 16–27











