





Determinants of Antiretroviral Treatment Success and Adherence in People With Human Immunodeficiency Virus Treated for Tuberculosis

Nathalie De Castro, ^{1,2,0} Corine Chazallon, ¹ Jean-Baptiste N'takpe, ^{1,3} Isabel Timana, ⁴ Rodrigo Escada, ⁵ Sandra Wagner, ⁵ Eugène Messou, ^{3,6} Serge Eholie, ^{3,7} Nilesh Bhatt, ⁴ Celso Khosa, ⁴ Didier Laureillard, ^{8,9} Giang Do Chau, ¹⁰ Valdilea G. Veloso, ⁴ Constance Delaugerre, ^{11,12,13} Xavier Anglaret, ¹ Jean-Michel Molina, ^{2,12,13} Beatriz Grinsztein, ⁴ Olivier Marcy, ^{1,0} for the ANRS 12300 Reflate TB2 Study Group

¹Bordeaux Population Health Centre, Research Institute for Sustainable Development (IRD) EMR 271, National Institute for Health and Medical Research (INSERM) UMR 1219, University of Bordeaux, Bordeaux, France, ²Infectious Diseases Department, AP-HP-Hôpital Saint-Louis Lariboisière, Paris, France, ³Programme PACCI/ANRS Research Center, Abidjan, Côte-d'Ivoire, ⁴Instituto Nacional de Saúde, Marracuene, Mozambique, ⁵National Institute of Infectious Diseases Evandro Chagas, Oswaldo Cruz Foundation, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, ⁶Centre de Prise en Charge de Recherche et de Formation, CePReF-Aconda-VS, Abidjan, Côte-d'Ivoire, ⁷Département de Dermatologie et d'Infectiologie, UFR des Sciences Médicales, Université Félix Houphouët Boigny, Abidjan, Cote d'Ivoire, ⁸Department of Infectious and Tropical Diseases, Nimes University Hospital, Nimes, France, ⁹Pathogenesis and Control of Chronic and Emerging Infections, INSERM UMR 1058, University of Montpellier, France, ¹⁰Pham Ngoc Thach Hospital, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam, ¹¹Virology Department, APHP-Hôpital Saint-Louis, Paris, ¹²INSERM U944, Paris, and ¹³Université de Paris

Background. In people with human immunodeficiency virus [HIV] presenting with advanced disease, rates of virologic success may be lower than expected. The Reflate TB2 trial did not show non-inferiority of raltegravir versus efavirenz in people with HIV (PWH) treated for tuberculosis. We aimed to identify factors associated with virologic success and higher adherence in the trial.

Methods. In this analysis, we included participants enrolled in the Reflate TB2 trial with adherence data available. The primary outcome was virologic success (HIV-1 ribonucleic acid [RNA] <50 copies/mL) at week 48, and the secondary outcome was adherence as assessed by the pill count adherence ratio. We used logistic regression to study determinants of virologic success and optimal adherence in 2 separate analyses.

Results. Four hundred forty-four participants were included in the present analysis. Over the 48-week follow-up period, 290 of 444 (65%) participants had a pill count adherence ratio ≥95%. At week 48, 288 of 444 (65%) participants were in virologic success. In the multivariate analysis, female sex (adjusted odds ratio [aOR], 1.77; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.16–2.72; P = .0084), lower baseline HIV-1 RNA levels (<100 000; aOR, 2.29; 95% CI, 1.33–3.96; P = .0087), and pill count adherence ratio ≥95% (aOR, 2.38; 95% CI, 1.56–3.62; P < .0001) were independently associated with virologic success. Antiretroviral pill burden was the only factor associated with pill count adherence ratio ≥95% (OR, 0.81; 95% CI, .71–.92; P = .0018).

Conclusions. In PWH with tuberculosis receiving raltegravir or efavirenz-based regimens, female sex, optimal adherence, and baseline HIV-1 RNA <100 000 copies/mL were associated with virologic success, and the number of antiretroviral tablets taken daily was a strong predictor of adherence.

Keywords. adherence; HIV viral load; raltegravir; tuberculosis.

Tuberculosis remains a major cause of morbidity and the leading cause of death in people with human immunodeficiency

Received 30 August 2022; editorial decision 08 November 2022; accepted 16 September 2022; published online 19 October 2022

Open Forum Infectious Diseases®

© The Author(s) 2022. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Infectious Diseases Society of America. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs licence (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/), which permits non-commercial reproduction and distribution of the work, in any medium, provided the original work is not altered or transformed in any way, and that the work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

https://doi.org/10.1093/ofid/ofac628

virus (PWH). In 2020, 214 000 of the 680 000 deaths reported in PWH were due to tuberculosis [1]. Better access to tuberculosis diagnosis, appropriate tuberculosis treatment, and early initiation of antiretroviral therapy (ART) are key to reduce tuberculosis-related mortality [2–4]. Antiretroviral therapy options are limited in PWH with tuberculosis due to drug-drug interactions with rifampicin, which lead to reduced plasma drug levels for most antiretrovirals.

Until recently, efavirenz, a nonnucleoside reverse-transcriptase inhibitor, was the preferred first-line ART recommended by the World Health Organization (WHO) in (1) PWH overall and in (2) those with tuberculosis due to less potential drug-drug interactions with rifampicin requiring no dose adaptation [5]. In 2017, the WHO recommended the second-generation integrase inhibitor dolutegravir at the standard dose of 50 mg in association with tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) and lamivudine (3TC) for all adults as first-line

Presented in part: 23rd International AIDS Conference, Virtual 6–10 July 2020; 18th European AIDS Conference, London, UK 27–30 October 2021.

Presented in part: International AIDS Conference 2020; European AIDS Clinical Society 2021.

Correspondence: Nathalie De Castro, MD, Service de Maladies Infectieuses et Tropicales, Hôpital Saint-Louis, 1 avenue Claude Vellefaux, 75010 Paris, France (nathalie.de-castro@aphp.fr).

ART [5]. Due to drug-drug interactions with rifampicin, standard dose dolutegravir cannot be used in PWH with tuberculosis, and the WHO recommended double-dose dolutegravir (50 mg twice daily) as the first-line option to treat patients coinfected with tuberculosis and human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), based on the moderate certainty of evidence from a noncomparative randomized study [5–7].

The ANRS 12300 Reflate TB2 trial was a large, phase 3 trial that evaluated the use of another integrase inhibitor, raltegravir, in PWH with tuberculosis. This trial did not show the noninferiority at week 48 of twice-daily raltegravir 400 mg compared with once-daily efavirenz 600 mg, whereas a similar proportion of patients achieved HIV-1 RNA-1 <50 copies/mL at week 24 in the 2 arms [8]. Rates of virologic success at week 48 were lower in patients with baseline viral load \geq 100 000 copies/mL and when adherence to ART was suboptimal, which may have contributed to the results observed in trial, with significantly lower adherence in the raltegravir arm compared with the efavirenz arm throughout the study [8].

Adherence to ART is a main driver of virologic efficacy and suboptimal adherence is associated to virologic failure [9-12]. Various indirect measures of adherence have been used, including patients' self-reports, pill count adherence or medication possession ratio, pharmacy refill, physician evaluation, or electronic measures [13-17]. There is no gold standard measure of adherence; thus, addition of different measures may be more robust than 1 single evaluation (for example, self-reported adherence or pill-count) to correlate with virologic failure [18-22]. The threshold to define optimal adherence has been largely debated, but it is accepted that an adherence equal to or above 95% can be considered an optimal adherence level enabling to achieve virologic control [22, 23]. A further understanding of the determinants of adherence and measuring their influence on virologic efficacy is challenging because socio-demographic and economic factors are also involved [9-12].

Adherence issues at ART initiation might have been underestimated recently with better tolerated, convenient, and more robust once-daily fixed-dose ART combinations accessible. Those regimens may allow for more flexibility and nonoptimal adherence, notably in patients with less advanced disease starting ART at low HIV-1 ribonucleic acid (RNA) levels. Reflate TB2 and other recent studies conducted in Africa suggest that in patients with very high HIV-1 RNA at ART initiation, suboptimal adherence may be more of an issue and that lower adherence is a good predictor of virologic failure, even in patients receiving integrase inhibitors [8, 24, 25].

We hypothesized that high baseline HIV-1 RNA and suboptimal adherence in the context of twice-daily raltegravir might explain the negative results of the Reflate TB2 trial. We sought to further identify factors associated with virologic success and optimal adherence to ART.

METHODS

Study Design and Population

We conducted a secondary analysis of the ANRS 12.300 Reflate TB2. The trial design and study results have been reported previously [8]. Between September 2015 and January 2018, ART-naive HIV-1-infected adults age \geq 18 years with tuberculosis initiated on antituberculosis treatment within the prior 8 weeks were randomized to initiate ART with either raltegravir 400 mg 1 pill twice daily or efavirenz 600 mg once daily, both in association with TDF 300 mg once daily and 3TC 300 mg once daily. Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate/3TC/efavirenz or TDF/3TC were available as single pill fixed-dose combinations depending on countries and stock. Stock outs in some countries of fixed-dose combination for once-daily TDF/3TC led to temporarily use TDF 1 tablet per day once daily and 3TC 150 mg 1 tablet twice daily in association with raltegravir 1 tablet twice daily (total of 5 tablets daily).

In the present study, we included all patients enrolled in the Reflate TB2 trial in Brazil, Côte d'Ivoire, Mozambique, and Vietnam, excluding those with HIV-1 RNA <50 copies/mL at baseline (n=3) and those with missing data on ART accountability (n=9). We excluded patients enrolled in France (n=4) because we wished to restrict the analysis to low- and middle-income countries and because the low number of French participants would not allow for comparisons.

Patient Consent Statement

The Reflate TB2 study protocol was approved by relevant National and Local Ethics Committees in all participating countries and registered with ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT02273765). All participants provided signed informed consent before enrollment in the main trial.

Study Procedures

We performed clinical and laboratory assessments for all patients at all study visits. We monitored adherence to ART in patients using pill count; patients were thus requested to return their remaining pills to the pharmacy at all study visits from week 2 to week 48 (weeks 2, 4, 8, 12, 16, 20, 24, 32, 40, and 48). In addition, we evaluated self-reported adherence to ART with 4 days and 1 month recall periods at all study visits after week 2 (Supplementary Appendix) [14]. Adherence to tuberculosis treatment was also monitored using the Taxo INH Test Strips (Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ) to detect isoniazid metabolites in urines at weeks 0 and at study visits closest to months 2 and 5 of tuberculosis treatment [26]. Isoniazid (INH) metabolites are detected in urine up to 36 hours postdrug intake. The production of Taxo INH Test Strips was interrupted during the trial, and isoniazid urine strips were no longer used after March 3, 2017. Treatments were reported in the case report form for all participants, at each study visit, including the number of pills prescribed for antiretrovirals, antituberculosis drugs, and oral concomitant treatments, which allowed for computing treatments durations and pill burden.

Outcomes and Definitions

The primary outcome of the present study was virologic success at week 48, defined as plasma HIV-1 RNA <50 copies/mL on allocated study drugs per the US Food and Drug Administration Snapshot algorithm [27]. Our secondary outcome was adherence to ART as assessed by pill count adherence ratio (Supplementary Appendix). We defined high or optimal adherence as a pill count adherence ratio ≥95% and low or suboptimal adherence as a pill count adherence ratio <95% [22]. Self-reported nonoptimal adherence was defined as missing at least 1 dose of treatment during the recall time (4 days and/or 1 month self-reported nonadherence). We also calculated treatment related pill burden for ART, tuberculosis treatment, and other concomitant treatments (full definitions in Supplementary Appendix).

Statistical Analysis

We described baseline characteristics in each group for the 2 main analysis on virologic success, and optimal adherence using frequency and proportions for qualitative variables, and median and interquartile range (IQR) for quantitative variables. We compared characteristics across groups using Wilcoxon tests (comparison of medians) for quantitative variables, and we used the χ^2 or the Fisher's exact test for qualitative variables as appropriate.

We used logistic regression to study determinants of virologic success and optimal adherence in 2 separate analyses (Supplementary Appendix). We selected variables based on previous evidence from the literature and clinical relevance. We derived a final model that resulted from a stepwise descending selection retaining all variables with a level of significance P = .05. We analyzed data with the SAS software (version 9.4M3).

RESULTS

Of 460 participants enrolled in the Reflate TB2 trial, we included 444 in the present analysis (Supplementary Figure 1). The study participants had advanced HIV disease with median CD4⁺ T-cell count of 102 (IQR, 38–242) cells per cubic millimeter, and median plasma HIV-1 RNA was 5.5 (IQR, 5.0–5.8) log₁₀ copies/mL, with 330 of 444 (74%) participants with HIV-1 RNA above 100 000 copies/mL (Table 1). Participants were started on ART at a median of 20 (IQR, 15–27) days after tuberculosis treatment initiation.

Overall, 222 of 444 (50%) patients received a raltegravirbased regimen for a median of 48 months and 222 of 444 (50%) received an efavirenz-based regimen for a median of 48 months (P = .3103). The median ART pill burden was 1.0 (IQR, 1.0–3.0) tablets per day, 1 (IQR, 1–1) tablet per day for patients on efavirenz, and 3.0 (IQR, 3.0–5.0) tablets per day for patients on raltegravir. Patients received tuberculosis treatment for a median duration of 26 (IQR, 26–27) weeks from randomization. During the trial, the median tuberculosis treatment pill burden was 4.0 (IQR, 3.0–4.2) tablets per day and concomitant treatment pill burden was 0.5 tablets per day (IQR, 0.1–1.5).

Over the 48-week follow-up period, the median ART pill count adherence ratio was 98.6% (IQR, 91.6%–101.6%); 290 (65%) of the participants had optimal adherence with a pill count adherence ratio \geq 95%. The proportion of optimal adherent participants decreased after week 24, from 72% during the first 24 weeks of the study to 66% during the last 24 weeks of the study.

At week 48, 288 (65%) participants had HIV-1 RNA <50 copies/mL on allocated therapy. Of the 156 (35%) participants who did not achieve virologic success, 20 (5%) died, 75 (17%) had HIV-1 RNA ≥50 copies/mL at week 48, and 39 (9%) had discontinued raltegravir or efavirenz treatment before week 48, including 22 (5%) who had been switched to secondline ART for lack of efficacy (9 on efavirenz and 13 on raltegravir-based ART). Considering other thresholds for virologic success at week 48, 319 of 444 (72%) and 326 of 444 (73%) participants had HIV-1 RNA of <200 copies/mL and <1000 copies/mL, respectively (Supplementary Table 1).

Patients with virologic success differed from those who did not achieve virologic success on 3 major characteristics: (1) the proportion of female participants was higher in the group achieving virologic success (44% vs 31%; P = .0091) (Table 1), (2) the median baseline HIV-1 RNA before ART was lower in those who achieved virologic success (5.4 log₁₀ copies/mL vs 5.6 \log_{10} copies/mL; P = .0009), and (3) the proportion of participants with optimal adherence and a pill count adherence ≥95% over the 48 weeks of the study was higher in those in virologic success (72% vs 53%; P < .0001) (Table 1). Hemoglobin levels and CD4 cell counts differed statistically between the 2 groups, but the differences were not clinically relevant. In the multivariate analysis, optimal adherence measured by pill count adherence ratio >95% (adjusted odds ratio [aOR], 2.38; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.56-3.62; P = .0084), lower baseline HIV-1 RNA levels (HIV-1 RNA < 100 000; aOR, 2.29; 95% CI, 1.33-3.96; P = .009), and female sex (aOR, 1.77; 95% CI, 1.16-2.72; $P \le .0001$) were independently associated with virologic success (Table 2).

In the analysis on factors associated with optimal ART adherence, a strong predictor of virologic success, we found no difference in terms of demographic characteristic and tobacco, alcohol or other substances use, baseline body mass index, and CD4 counts between participants with optimal adherence compared to those with non-optimal adherence (Table 3). The

Table 1. Patients Characteristics by HIV-1 RNA at Week 48^a

		Virologic Success		Not in Virologic Success			
	N*	(N = 288)	N*	(N=156)	N*	Total (N = 444)	P Value
Antiretroviral Treatment		•••					
Efavirenz		150 (52%)		49 (31%)		199 (45%)	
Raltegravir		138 (48%)		65 (42%)		203 (46%)	
Country							
Ivory Coast		98 (34%)		66 (42%)		164 (37%)	P=.2646 (K)
Brazil		32 (11%)		11 (7%)		43 (10%)	
Vietnam		74 (26%)		36 (23%)		110 (25%)	
Mozambique		84 (29%)		43 (28%)		127 (29%)	
Age (years)		35 (29-43)		35 (29-44)		35 (29-43)	P=.9456 (W)
Female sex		127 (44%)		49 (31%)		176 (40%)	P=.0091 (K)
Body mass index (kg/m²)	287	19.1 (17.6-21.1)		18.9 (17.3-20.6)	443	19.1 (17.5-21.0)	P=.2055 (W)
Hemoglobin (g/dL)		9.8 (8.6-11.4)		9.8 (8.4-11.1)		9.8 (8.5-11.3)	P=.4260 (W)
CD4 ⁺ T-cell count (cells per mm ³)	287	117 (47–245)		80 (26-212)	443	102 (38–242)	P=.0259 (W)
≤200 cells per mm ³	287	186 (65%)		116 (74%)	443	302 (68%)	
≤50 cells per mm ³	287	89 (31%)		57 (37%)	443	146 (33%)	
Plasma HIV-1 viral load (log ₁₀ copies per mL)	287	5.4 (4.9-5.8)	154	5.6 (5.2-5.9)	441	5.5 (5.0-5.8)	P=.0009 (W)
< 100 000 copies per mL	287	82 (29%)	154	29 (19%)	441	111 (25%)	
[100 000; 500 000]	287	122 (43%)	154	58 (38%)	441	180 (41%)	
≥500 000 copies per mL	287	83 (29%)	154	67 (44%)	441	150 (34%)	
On cotrimoxazole prophylaxis		258 (90%)		134 (86%)		392 (88%)	P=.2489 (K)
Current anatomical site of tuberculosis disease							
Pulmonary only		196 (68%)		113 (72%)		309 (70%)	P=.5733 (K)
Extrapulmonary only		54 (19%)		27 (17%)		81 (18%)	
Pulmonary and extrapulmonary		38 (13%)		16 (10%)		54 (12%)	
Bacteriologically confirmed tuberculosis	286	197 (69%)	154	106 (69%)	440	303 (69%)	P=.9914 (K)
Time on tuberculosis treatment at enrollment (days)		20 (15–27)		20 (15–27)		20 (15–27)	P=.7075 (W)
Alanine aminotransferase level (UI/L)	287	25 (16–41)	154	22 (14–33)	441	24 (15–38)	P=.0409 (W)
Creatinine clearance (mL/min/1.73 m ²)		99.1 (81.8–122.8)		100.7 (78.4–125.6)		99.6 (80.7–123.1)	P=.9410 (W)
Pill count adherence ratio week 0-week 48		99.2% (94.4%-101.4%)		95.8% (85.1%-102.3%)		98.6% (91.6%–101.6%)	P=.0075 (W)
≥95%		208 (72%)		82 (53%)		290 (65%)	P < .0001 (K)
[80%–95%]		62 (22%)		45 (29%)		107 (24%)	
<80%		18 (6%)		29 (19%)		47 (11%)	
Pill count adherence ratio week 0-week 24		99.7% (96.1%-101.5%)	155	98.9% (86.9%-102.4%)	443	99.4% (94.1%-101.8%)	P=.0211 (W)
≥95%		228 (79%)	155	93 (60%)		321 (72%)	P < .0001 (K)
[80%–95%]		49 (17%)	155	33 (21%)		82 (18%)	
<80%		11 (4%)	155	30 (19%)		41 (9%)	
Pill count adherence ratio week 24-week 48			119	95.2% (73.6%–103.9%)		99.1% (88.8%–103.3%)	P=.0064 (W)
≥95%		207 (72%)	119	60 (50%)	407	267 (66%)	P<.0001 (K)
[80%–95%]		51 (18%)	119	22 (18%)	407	73 (18%)	
<80%		30 (10%)	119	37 (31%)	407	67 (16%)	

Abbreviations: F, Fisher's exact test; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; K, χ^2 test; RNA, ribonucleic acid; W, Wilcoxon test.

median ART pill burden differed significantly between participants with optimal adherence (1.0 [IQR, 1.0–3.0] tablet per day) and participants with lower adherence (3.0 [IQR, 1.0–3.0] tablets per day; $P\!=\!.0005$), but there was no difference in median tuberculosis treatment pill burden and concomitant treatment pill burden between the 2 groups (Table 3). In the multivariate analysis, only higher ART pill burden was associated with lower adherence (OR, 0.81; 95% CI, .71–.92; $P\!=\!.0018$) (Table 4).

Patients with pill count adherence ratio ≥95% also had better self-reported adherence (Table 5). The proportion of participants with 4-day, self-reported nonadherence throughout the

48 weeks of the study was lower in participants with pill count adherence ratio \geq 95%: 51 of 290 (18%) compared to 51 of 154 (33%) for participants with pill count adherence ratio <95% (P=.0002) (Table 5). One-month, self-reported nonadherence was also higher in participants with pill count adherence ratio <95%: 55 of 154 (36%) compared to 62 of 290 (21%) for participants with optimal adherence (P=.0011) (Table 5). Reported adherence to tuberculosis treatment was also higher in patient with optimal adherence to ART; 4-day, self-reported nonadherence to tuberculosis treatment was 4% and 10% in participants with optimal adherence and those with suboptimal adherence, respectively (P=.0187). There was no difference

^aData are n (%) or median (interquartile range).

Table 2. Factors Associated to Virologic Success

	Total	Virologic Success		Univaria	ate Analysis		Multivariate Analysis				
	TOtal	N (% N) or Median									
	Ν	(q1, q3)	OR	Lower 95% CI	Upper 95% CI	$Pr > \chi^2$	OR	Lower 95% CI	Upper 95% CI	$Pr > \chi^2$	
Treatment											
Efavirenz	222	150 (68%)	1			0.2332					
Raltegravir	222	138 (62%)	0.79	0.53	1.17						
Country											
Brazil	43	32 (74%)	1		***	0.2694		***			
Ivory Coast	164	98 (60%)	0.51	0.24	1.08						
Mozambique	127	84 (66%)	0.67	0.31	1.46						
Vietnam	110	74 (67%)	0.71	0.32	1.56						
Sex											
Male	268	161 (60%)	1			0.0094					
Female	176	127 (72%)	1.72	1.14	2.60		1.77	1.16	2.72	0.0084	
Age (Years)											
Age >35	223	149 (67%)	1			0.3871					
Age ≤35	221	139 (63%)	0.84	0.57	1.24						
BMI (kg/m²)											
BMI > 18.5	259	172 (66%)	1			0.3961					
BMI ≤ 18.5	184	115 (63%)	0.84	0.57	1.25						
CD4 counts (/mm ³)											
CD4 < 50	142	87 (61%)	1			0.1208					
CD4: [50 to 200]	160	99 (62%)	0.97	0.61	1.55						
CD4 ≥ 200	141	101 (72%)	0.63	0.38	1.03						
Viral Load at Baseline											
HIV-1 VL≥500 000	150	83 (55%)	1			0.0054					
<100 000	111	82 (74%)	2.28	1.34	3.88		2.29	1.33	3.96	0.0087	
100 000 to 500 000	180	122 (68%)	1.70	1.08	2.66		1.62	1.02	2.57	0.0087	
Pill count ratio											
Pill count ratio <95%	154	80 (52%)	1								
Pill count ratio ≥95%	290	208 (72%)	2.35	1.56	3.52	<.00001	2.38	1.56	3.62	0	

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; OR, odds ratio; VL, viral load.

between participants with optimal and suboptimal adherence regarding baseline detection of isoniazid metabolites in urines (Table 5).

Self-reported adherence according to ART is shown in Supplementary Figure S2.

DISCUSSION

In this secondary analysis of the Reflate TB2 trial, we showed that optimal adherence to ART as well as lower baseline HIV-1 RNA and female sex were associated with virologic success. We also showed that the main determinant of optimal adherence was ART pill burden, hence hampering success in the raltegravir-based regimen that requires twice-daily intake of 3 tablets.

Virologic success was achieved in 65% of the patients, which was lower than expected 1 year after ART initiation in HIV-1-infected naive patients receiving efavirenz or raltegravir, and it contrasts with pivotal studies in which more than 80% of the participants achieved virologic suppression on both regimens [28, 29]. We found that 73% of the patients had HIV-1 RNA below 1000 copies/mL at week 48, much lower

than the UNAIDS/WHO 90-90-90 third target to have globally 90% of PWH with viral load below 1000 copies per mL 1 year after initiation of ART. One explanatory factor was that a high proportion of patients had baseline viral load above 100 000 copies/mL including 34% with baseline HIV-1 RNA above 500 000 copies/mL, and indeed in the multivariate model, HIV-1 RNA of less than 100 000 copies/mL was associated to virologic success. The impact of high baseline HIV-1 viral load on virologic success rates was also found in previous studies [25, 30].

It is interesting to note that our analysis showed that female sex was associated with better virologic outcomes but not with higher adherence. It was also reported previously that men were more likely to experience virologic failure than women, without clear explanation. Tuberculosis coinfection has been reported to be a factor associated with virologic failure in some studies [31–34]. The reasons for these associations remain unclear.

We found that only 65% of the participants had optimal adherence to ART, which was one other factor associated to virologic success. This finding is consistent with previous reports

Table 3. Patient Characteristics by Pill Count Adherence Ratio

N*		Pill Count Ratio ≥95% (N=290)	N*	Pill Count Ratio <95% (N=154)	
Antiretroviral Treatment					
Efavirenz		162 (56%)		60 (39%)	P=.0007 (K)
Raltegravir		128 (44%)		94 (61%)	
Country					
Ivory Coast		99 (34%)		65 (42%)	P=.2372 (K)
Brazil		30 (10%)		13 (8%)	
Vietnam		79 (27%)		31 (20%)	
Mozambique		82 (28%)		45 (29%)	
Sex					
Male		176 (61%)		92 (60%)	P=.8457 (K)
Female		114 (39%)		62 (40%)	
Age (years)		35 (29–43)		36 (30–43)	P=.3087 (W)
BMI (kg/m²)	289	19.2 (17.4–20.8)		18.8 (17.7–21.0)	P=.8783 (W)
Tobacco smoking					
Never	289	208 (72%)	153	114 (75%)	P=.3835 (F)
Yes, but stopped	289	70 (24%)	153	37 (24%)	
Yes, ongoing	289	11 (4%)	153	2 (1%)	
Alcohol Consumption					
Never		132 (46%)	153	56 (37%)	P=.0710 (K)
Yes, past or ongoing		158 (55%)	153	97 (63%)	
Drug use					
Never		265 (91%)	153	134 (88%)	P=.2038 (K)
Yes, past or ongoing		25 (9%)	153	19 (12%)	
CD4 (/mm³) at PI	289	111 (39–248)		89 (35–215)	P=.1505 (W)
ART pill burden ^a		1.0 (1.0–3.0)		3.0 (1.0–3.0)	P=.0005 (W)
		1.0–5.0		1.0–5.0	
TB pill burden ^b	289	4.0 (3.0–4.4)		3.9 (3.0–4.0)	P=.1534 (W)
Min-max		2.0–9.9		2.0-8.7	
CT pill burden ^c		0.5 (0.1–1.5)		0.5 (0.1–1 .7)	P=.5713 (W)
min-max		0.0–14.5		0.0-8.4	

Abbreviations: ART, antiretroviral treatment; BMI, body mass index; CT, concomitant treatment; F, Fisher's exact test; K, χ^2 test; max, maximum; min, minimum; PI, protease inhibitor; TB, tuberculosis; W, Wilcoxon test.

showing that lower ART adherence contributes to virologic failure [18, 31, 35, 36]. In our study, lower ART pill burden was the only factor associated to optimal adherence, hence disadvantaging the raltegravir-based regimen. Importantly, 28% of the patients on raltegravir received 5 pills per day because of stock outs of the coformulated 3TC/TDF pill, possibly further hampering adherence to the combination. We could not separately evaluate the effect of ART pill burden and daily dosing of ART regimen because the number of pills taken daily was de facto different according to the ART regimen prescribed. However, other studies showed that twice-daily dosing ART rather than pill burden was associated to lower adherence [37-41]. Lower patient satisfaction with the twice-daily regimen seems to explain this finding [41]. Although we did not evaluate participants' satisfaction about ART, our data also suggest the same impact of the twice-daily dosing of raltegravir regimen.

Optimal adherence defined as pill count adherence ratio >95% was concordant with other markers of adherence, especially with reporting at least 1 lapse in ART or tuberculosis treatment intake over the past 4 days or month. Also of note, we found that adherence decreased after week 24 when most patients were off tuberculosis treatment, which is difficult to interpret because we did not evaluate patients' motivations. For PWH presenting with advanced disease, the WHO now strongly recommends intensified adherence interventions during the first months of ART, including home- or communitybased follow-up and early tracing in case of missed visits, in addition to initial counseling at ART initiation [24, 42-45]. Our results, along with previous recent studies, show that using pill count adherence ratio and self-adherence questionnaires at each visit could help to target such interventions by identifying patients who may benefit the most from adherence support [16, 21, 42].

NOTE: Data are n (%) or median (interquartile range)

^aNumber of pills per day during allocated therapy treatment

^bNumber of pills per day during TB treatment.

^cNumber of pills per day during the study.

Table 4. Factors Associated to Pill Count Ratio ≥95%

	Total N	Pill Count ≥95% N (%N) or Median (q1, q3)	Univariate Analysis					Multivariate Analysis			
			OR	Lower 95% Cl	Upper 95% Cl	$Pr > \chi^2$	OR	Lower 95% Cl	Upper 95% CI	$Pr > \chi^2$	
ART pill burden ^a	290	1.0 (1.0–3.0)	0.81	0.71	0.92	0.0018	0.81	0.71	0.92	0.0018	
Country											
Brazil	43	30 (70%)	1								
Ivory Coast	164	99 (60%)	0.69	0.34	1.43	0.2806					
Mozambique	127	82 (65%)	0.82	0.39	1.73	0.2806		***			
Vietnam	110	79 (72%)	1.14	0.53	2.48	0.2806					
Sex				***	***			***			
Male	268	176 (66%)	1								
Female	176	114 (65%)	0.98	0.66	1.47	0.9310					
Age (years)											
Age >35	223	141 (63%)	1	***	***			***			
Age ≤35	221	149 (67%)	1.18	0.80	1.75	0.4064					
BMI (kg/m²)											
BMI >18.5	259	170 (66%)	1								
BMI ≤18.5	184	119 (65%)	0.95	0.64	1.41	0.7906					
Alcohol Consumption											
Alcohol: yes (stopped or ongoing)	188	158 (62%)	1								
Alcohol: never	255	132 (70%)	1.44	0.96	2.15	0.0778					

Abbreviations: ART, antiretroviral treatment; BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio

Our study has limitations. First, we did not analyze baseline HIV-1 resistance and its contribution to virologic failure, but in patients who failed we had found in the Reflate TB2 trial that baseline resistance to non-nucleoside reverse-transcriptase inhibitors and integrase inhibitors was 10% and 3%, respectively [8]. Second, adherence measurements in the study may not have been very accurate: (1) pharmacy refills and patients self-reported adherence do not provide an exact measure of the number of pills taken by the patients, (2) we measured adherence over large periods of 6 or 12 months, and (3) we may not identify short treatment interruptions and thus overestimate adherence in some patients. Finally, we did not explore psychosocial determinants of adherence and how they might have contributed to our results.

CONCLUSIONS

Antiretroviral therapy remains challenging in PWH co-infected with tuberculosis, with a risk of reduced exposure to antiretroviral drugs due to drug-drug interactions with rifampicin or tolerance issues. This prompted the search of alternative regimens to efavirenz over the past decade and resulted in the use of better tolerated integrase inhibitor-based regimens. We found that during the first year after tuberculosis diagnosis, patients with optimal adherence and those with lower baseline HIV-1 viral load had higher odds of virologic success. The number of ART tablets taken daily was a strong predictor of

adherence and was due to the twice-daily dosing of the raltegravir-based ART regimen. We provide evidence that adherence monitoring using pill count adherence ratio and self-adherence questionnaires may help clinicians to identify patients at risk for virological failure; this group of patients needs targeted adherence counseling within the first months of ART. Despite the fact that dolutegravir is a more robust drug than raltegravir, with higher genetic barrier for resistance, our results raise concerns about adherence to the twice-daily, dolutegravir-based ART now recommended by the WHO for patients treated for tuberculosis. Future studies analyzing data from the national HIV and tuberculosis programs will be important to better describe outcomes in PWH coinfected with tuberculosis and treated with the twice-daily dosage of dolutegravir.

Supplementary Data

Supplementary materials are available at *Open Forum Infectious Diseases* online. Consisting of data provided by the authors to benefit the reader, the posted materials are not copyedited and are the sole responsibility of the authors, so questions or comments should be addressed to the corresponding author.

Acknowledgments

We thank all the patients who participated to the trial. We thank the members of the independent data and safety monitoring committee (François Raffi [chair], Jean-Pierre Aboulker, Vincent Calvez, and Esperança Sevene). We also thank the National HIV and Tuberculosis programs of participating countries for their support.

^aN, median (q1, q3) antiretroviral pill burden for pill count <95%: 154, 3.0 (1.0-3.0).

Table 5. Description of Measures of Nonadherence^a

	N*	Pill Count Ratio ≥95% (N=290)	N*	Pill Count Ratio <95% (N=154)	N*	Total (N= 444)	Р
Self-reported nonadherence to ART between week 0 and week 48							
In the last 4 d or 1 mo		68 (23%)		58 (38%)		126 (28%)	P=.0016 (K)
In the last 4 d		51 (18%)		51 (33%)		102 (23%)	P=.0002 (K)
In the last month		62 (21%)		55 (36%)		117 (26%)	P=.0011 (K)
Self-reported nonadherence to ART between week 0 and week 24							
In the last 4 d or 1 mo		48 (17%)		42 (27%)		90 (20%)	P=.0075 (K)
In the last 4 d		35 (12%)		33 (21%)		68 (15%)	P=.0091 (K)
In the last month		44 (15%)		40 (26%)		84 (19%)	P=.0057 (K)
Self-reported nonadherence to ART between week 24 and week 48							
In the last 4 d or 1 mo	278	27 (10%)	133	34 (26%)	411	61 (15%)	P<.0001 (K)
In the last 4 d	278	17 (6%)	133	31 (23%)	411	48 (12%)	P<.0001 (K)
In the last month	278	23 (8%)	133	30 (23%)	411	53 (13%)	P<.0001 (K)
Self-reported nonadherence to TB treatment between week 0 and end of TB treatment							
In the last 4 d or 1 mo		16 (6%)		19 (12%)		35 (8%)	P=.0111 (K)
In the last 4 d		12 (4%)		15 (10%)		27 (6%)	P=.0187 (K)
In the last month		14 (5%)		18 (12%)		32 (7%)	P=.0078 (K)
Inclusion: urine INH result, positive (blue)	160	151 (94%)	74	69 (93%)	234	220 (94%)	P=.7702 (F)

Abbreviations: ART, antiretroviral therapy; d, days; INH, isoniazid; F, Fisher's exact test; K, χ^2 test; mo, months; TB, tuberculosis; W, Wilcoxon test.

Author contributions. N. D. C., O. M., and C. C. conceived and designed the study. N. D. C., O. M., B. G., J.-M. M., C. C., C. D., and D. L. contributed to the interpretation of the results. E. M., S. E., N. B., C. K., G. D. C., S. W., R. E., V. G. V., B. G., N. D. C., J.-M. M. implemented the study and enrolled participants. C. C. performed the statistical analysis. C. C. and O. M. prepared the report. N. D. C. wrote the first draft, and all authors reviewed and approved the final version of the report and the manuscript.

Disclaimer. The funders had no role in the analysis of the data nor in the manuscript writing.

Financial support. The ANRS 12300 Reflate TB2 trial was funded by the National French Research Agency on HIV/AIDS and emerging infectious diseases (ANRS|MIE), the Brazilian Ministry of Health in Brazil, and Merck and Co.

Potential conflicts of interest. N. D. C. has received a research grant from Gilead (Voice program 2015). J.-M. M. has acted as a consultant, participated in advisory boards, has received speaker fees, and has been an investigator for clinical trials for Janssen, ViiV Healthcare, Gilead Sciences, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Abbott Laboratories, Boehringer Ingelheim, and Merck, Sharp & Dohme. He has also received research grants from Merck. C. D. participated in advisory boards for ViiV Healthcare, Gilead Sciences, BMS, and Merck and has also received research grants from Gilead, and MSD. All authors have submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest. Conflicts that the editors consider relevant to the content of the manuscript have been disclosed.

References

- Global Tuberculosis Report 2021. Available at https://www.who.int/teams/global-tuberculosis-programme/tb-reports/global-tuberculosis-report-2021. Accessed 17 July 2022.
- Blanc F-X, Sok T, Laureillard D, et al. Earlier versus later start of antiretroviral therapy in HIV-infected adults with tuberculosis. N Engl J Med 2011; 365: 1471–81.
- INSIGHT START Study Group, Lundgren JD, Babiker AG, Gordin F, et al. Initiation of antiretroviral therapy in early asymptomatic HIV infection. N Engl I Med 2015: 373:795–807.
- TEMPRANO ANRS 12136 Study Group, Danel C, Moh R, Gabillard D, et al. A trial of early antiretrovirals and isoniazid preventive therapy in Africa. N Engl J Med 2015; 373: 808–22.
- World Health Organization. Updated recommendations on first-line and secondline antiretroviral regimens and post-exposure prophylaxis and recommendations on early infant diagnosis of HIV. Available at http://www.who.int/hiv/ pub/guidelines/ARV2018update/en/. Accessed 17 July 2022.
- 6. Dooley KE, Sayre P, Borland J, et al. Safety, tolerability, and pharmacokinetics of the HIV integrase inhibitor dolutegravir given twice daily with rifampin or once daily with rifabutin: results of a phase 1 study among healthy subjects. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2013; 62:21–7.
- Dooley KE, Kaplan R, Mwelase N, et al. Dolutegravir-based antiretroviral therapy for patients coinfected with tuberculosis and human immunodeficiency virus: a multicenter, noncomparative, open-label, randomized trial. Clin Infect Dis 2020; 70:549–56.

^aData are n (%).

- 8. De Castro N, Marcy O, Chazallon C, et al. Standard dose raltegravir or efavirenz-based antiretroviral treatment for patients co-infected with HIV and tuberculosis (ANRS 12 300 Reflate TB 2: an open-label, non-inferiority, randomised, phase 3 trial. Lancet Infect Dis 2021; 21:813–22.
- Azmach NN, Hamza TA, Husen AA. Socioeconomic and demographic statuses as determinants of adherence to antiretroviral treatment in HIV infected patients: a systematic review of the literature. Curr HIV Res 2019; 17:161–72.
- Davis A, Pala AN, Nguyen N, et al. Sociodemographic and psychosocial predictors of longitudinal antiretroviral therapy (ART adherence among first-time ART initiators in Cape Town, South Africa. AIDS Care 2021; 33:1394–403.
- Jaquet A, Ekouevi DK, Bashi J, et al. Alcohol use and non-adherence to antiretroviral therapy in HIV-infected patients in West Africa. Addiction 2010; 105: 1416-21
- Harris RA, Xue X, Selwyn PA. Housing stability and medication adherence among HIV-positive individuals in antiretroviral therapy: a meta-analysis of observational studies in the United States. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2017; 74: 309–17
- Chesney MA, Ickovics JR, Chambers DB, et al. Self-reported adherence to antiretroviral medications among participants in HIV clinical trials: the AACTG adherence instruments. AIDS Care 2000; 12:255–66.
- 14. Duong M, Piroth L, Grappin M, et al. Evaluation of the patient medication adherence questionnaire as a tool for self-reported adherence assessment in HIV-infected patients on antiretroviral regimens. HIV Clin Trials 2001; 2:128–35.
- Croome N, Ahluwalia M, Hughes LD, Abas M. Patient-reported barriers and facilitators to antiretroviral adherence in sub-Saharan Africa. AIDS 2017; 31: 995–1007.
- Rougemont M, Stoll BE, Elia N, Ngang P. Antiretroviral treatment adherence and its determinants in sub-Saharan Africa: a prospective study at Yaounde Central Hospital, Cameroon. AIDS Res Ther 2009; 6:21.
- Zewude SB, Ajebe TM. Magnitude of optimal adherence and predictors for a low level of adherence among HIV/AIDS-infected adults in South Gondar zone, Northwest Ethiopia: a multifacility cross-sectional study. BMJ Open 2022; 12: e056009
- Almeida-Brasil CC, Moodie EE, Cardoso TS, Nascimento ED, Ceccato MDGB. Comparison of the predictive performance of adherence measures for virologic failure detection in people living with HIV: a systematic review and pairwise meta-analysis. AIDS Care 2019; 31:647–59.
- Bezabhe WM, Chalmers L, Bereznicki LR, Peterson GM. Adherence to antiretroviral therapy and virologic failure: a meta-analysis. Medicine (Baltimore) 2016; 95:e3361.
- Wu P, Johnson BA, Nachega JB, et al. The combination of pill count and selfreported adherence is a strong predictor of first-line ART failure for adults in South Africa. Curr HIV Res 2014; 12:366–75.
- Parker RA, Rabideau DJ, Sax PE, et al. Impact of medication adherence on virologic failure in A5202: a randomized, partially blinded, phase 3B study. Clin Infect Dis 2017; 64:1612–4.
- Messou E, Kouakou M, Gabillard D, et al. Medication possession ratio: predicting and decreasing loss to follow-up in antiretroviral treatment programs in Côte d'Ivoire. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2011; 57:S34–9.
- Mudhune V, Gvetadze R, Girde S, et al. Correlation of adherence by pill count, self-report, MEMS and plasma drug levels to treatment response among women receiving ARV therapy for PMTCT in Kenya. AIDS Behav 2018; 22:918–28.
- 24. Calmy A, Tovar Sanchez T, Kouanfack C, et al. Dolutegravir-based and low-dose efavirenz-based regimen for the initial treatment of HIV-1 infection (NAMSAL: week 96 results from a two-group, multicentre, randomised, open label, phase 3 non-inferiority trial in Cameroon. Lancet HIV 2020; 7:e677–87.
- Boyd MA, Moore CL, Molina J-M, et al. Baseline HIV-1 resistance, virological outcomes, and emergent resistance in the SECOND-LINE trial: an exploratory analysis. Lancet HIV 2015; 2:e42–51.
- Hanifa Y, Mngadi K, Lewis J, Fielding K, Churchyard G, Grant AD. Evaluation of the Arkansas method of urine testing for isoniazid in South Africa. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2007; 11:1232–6.
- 27. Human immunodeficiency virus-1 infection: developing antiretroviral drugs for treatment guidance for industry. U.S. Department of Health and Human

- Services Food and Drug Administration Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER). 2015; Available at: https://www.fda.gov/media/86284/download (accessed 23 Oct 2022).
- Lennox JL, DeJesus E, Lazzarin A, et al. Safety and efficacy of raltegravir-based versus efavirenz-based combination therapy in treatment-naive patients with HIV-1 infection: a multicentre, double-blind randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2009; 374:796–806.
- Cahn P, Pozniak AL, Mingrone H, et al. Dolutegravir versus raltegravir in antiretroviral-experienced, integrase-inhibitor-naive adults with HIV: week 48 results from the randomised, double-blind, non-inferiority SAILING study. Lancet 2013; 382:700-8.
- NAMSAL ANRS 12313 Study Group, Kouanfack C, Mpoudi-Etame M, Eymard-Duvernay S, et al. Dolutegravir-based or low-dose efavirenz-based regimen for the treatment of HIV-1. N Engl J Med 2019; 381:816–26.
- Louis FJ, Buteau J, François K, et al. Virologic outcome among patients receiving antiretroviral therapy at five hospitals in Haiti. PLoS One 2018; 13:e0192077.
- Sterling TR, Vlahov D, Astemborski J, Hoover DR, Margolick JB, Quinn TC. Initial plasma HIV-1 RNA levels and progression to AIDS in women and men. N Engl J Med 2001; 344:720–5.
- Rezza G, Lepri AC, d'Arminio Monforte A, et al. Plasma viral load concentrations in women and men from different exposure categories and with known duration of HIV infection. I.CO.N.A. Study Group. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2000; 25: 56–62
- Gross R, Bilker WB, Friedman HM, Strom BL. Effect of adherence to newly initiated antiretroviral therapy on plasma viral load. AIDS 2001; 15:2109–17.
- Kiweewa F, Esber A, Musingye E, et al. HIV virologic failure and its predictors among HIV-infected adults on antiretroviral therapy in the African cohort study. PLoS One 2019; 14:e0211344.
- El-Khatib Z, Katzenstein D, Marrone G, et al. Adherence to drug-refill is a useful early warning indicator of virologic and immunologic failure among HIV patients on first-line ART in South Africa. PLoS One 2011; 6:e17518.
- Davey DJ, Abrahams Z, Feinberg M, et al. Factors associated with recent unsuppressed viral load in HIV-1-infected patients in care on first-line antiretroviral therapy in South Africa. Int J STD AIDS 2018; 29:603–10.
- Parienti J-J, Bangsberg DR, Verdon R, Gardner EM. Better adherence with once-daily antiretroviral regimens: a meta-analysis. Clin Infect Dis 2009; 48: 484–8.
- Buscher A, Hartman C, Kallen MA, Giordano TP. Impact of antiretroviral dosing frequency and pill burden on adherence among newly diagnosed, antiretroviralnaive HIV patients. Int J STD AIDS 2012; 23:351–5.
- Gras G, Schneider M-P, Cavassini M, et al. Patterns of adherence to raltegravirbased regimens and the risk of virological failure among HIV-infected patients: the RALTECAPS cohort study. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2012; 61:265–9.
- Ammassari A, Stöhr W, Antinori A, et al. Patient self-reported adherence to ritonavir-boosted darunavir combined with either raltegravir or tenofovir disoproxil fumarate/emtricitabine in the NEAT001/ANRS143 trial. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2018; 79:481–90.
- McCluskey SM, Pepperrell T, Hill A, Venter WDF, Gupta RK, Siedner MJ. Adherence, resistance, and viral suppression on dolutegravir in sub-Saharan Africa: implications for the TLD era. AIDS 2021; 35:S127–35.
- 43. Venter WDF, Sokhela S, Simmons B, et al. Dolutegravir with emtricitabine and tenofovir alafenamide or tenofovir disoproxil fumarate versus efavirenz, emtricitabine, and tenofovir disoproxil fumarate for initial treatment of HIV-1 infection (ADVANCE: week 96 results from a randomised, phase 3, non-inferiority trial. Lancet HIV 2020: 7:e666-76.
- 44. World Health Organization. Guidelines for managing advanced HIV disease and rapid initiation of antiretroviral therapy. Available at http://www.who.int/hiv/ pub/guidelines/advanced-HIV-disease/en/. Accessed 17 July 2022.
- Mfinanga S, Chanda D, Kivuyo SL, et al. Cryptococcal meningitis screening and community-based early adherence support in people with advanced HIV infection starting antiretroviral therapy in Tanzania and Zambia: an open-label, randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2015; 385:2173–82.