

Phenotypic characterization of seven individuals with Marbach–Schaaf neurodevelopmental syndrome



¹Institute of Human Genetics, Heidelberg University, Heidelberg, Germany

⁵CH-Chambéry, Génétique Chromosomique, Chambéry, France

⁶Unité Fonctionnelle Innovation en Diagnostic Génomique des maladies rares, CHU Dijon Bourgogne, Dijon, France

⁷INSERM UMR1231 Génétique des Anomalies du Développement GAD, Dijon, France

⁸Service de Génétique Clinique, Département de Génétique Médicale, Maladies Rares et Médecine Personnalisée, CHU de Montpellier, Montpellier, France

⁹Institute of Medical Genetics and Applied Genomics, University of Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany

¹⁰Departments of Neurology and Pediatrics, Connecticut Children's Medical Center and University of Connecticut School of Medicine, Farmington, Connecticut, USA

¹¹APHP, Sorbonne Université, Centre de Référence Déficiences Intellectuelles de Causes Rares, Département de Génétique et Embryologie Médicale, Hôpital Trousseau, Paris, France

¹²Sorbonne Université, Service de Neuropédiatrie-Pathologie du développement, Hôpital Trousseau AP-HP.SU, FHU I2D2, Paris, France

¹³APHP, Département de Génétique, Groupe Hospitalier Pitié Salpêtrière, Paris, France

¹⁴Department of Molecular and Human Genetics, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, USA

Correspondence

Felix Marbach, Institute of Human Genetics, Heidelberg University, Heidelberg, Germany. Email: felix.marbach@med.uni-heidelberg.de

Abstract

We present the phenotypes of seven previously unreported patients with Marbach-Schaaf neurodevelopmental syndrome, all carrying the same recurrent heterozygous missense variant c.1003C>T (p.Arg335Trp) in *PRKAR1B*. Clinical features of this cohort include global developmental delay and reduced sensitivity to pain, as well as behavioral anomalies. Only one of the seven patients reported here was formally diagnosed with autism spectrum disorder (ASD), while ASD-like features were described in others, overall indicating a lower prevalence of ASD in Marbach–Schaaf neurodevelopmental syndrome than previously assumed. The clinical spectrum of the current cohort is similar to that reported in the initial publication, delineating a complex developmental disorder with behavioral and neurologic features. *PRKAR1B* encodes the regulatory subunit R1 β of the protein kinase A complex (PKA), and is

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes. © 2022 The Authors. American Journal of Medical Genetics Part A published by Wiley Periodicals LLC.

²Centre for Rare Diseases, Clinical Genetics Unit, Department of Biology and Genetics, Medical University of Gdańsk, Gdańsk, Poland

³Department of Radiology, Medical University of Gdańsk, Gdańsk, Poland

⁴University of Bordeaux, MRGM INSERM U1211, CHU de Bordeaux, Service de Génétique Médicale, Bordeaux, France

expressed in the adult and embryonal central nervous system in humans. PKA is crucial to a plethora of cellular signaling pathways, and its composition of different regulatory and catalytic subunits is cell-type specific. We discuss potential molecular disease mechanisms underlying the patients' phenotypes with respect to the different known functions of PKA in neurons, and the phenotypes of existing R1 β -deficient animal models.

KEYWORDS

autism spectrum disorder, global developmental delay, neurodevelopmental disorder, pain insensitivity, *PRKAR1B*, protein kinase a complex

1 | INTRODUCTION

The genetic landscape of intellectual disability (ID) is diverse and still expanding, reflecting the complex developmental biology of the human central nervous system (CNS). Well over 1500 syndromic and nonsyndromic genetic disorders (with an additional >1250 candidate genes) with ID have been identified as of November 2021 (SysID database; Kochinke et al., 2016). The identification of new candidate genes is largely driven by the broad application of exome/genome sequencing in clinical practice. In 2021, our group characterized a neurodevelopmental disorder (subsequently named Marbach–Schaaf neurodevelopmental syndrome/MASNS, # 619680 by OMIM), reporting de novo missense variants in *PRKAR1B* in six patients, who were mostly recruited from a large cohort of patient/parent trio-exomes.

PRKAR1B encodes the R1 β subunit of the protein kinase A (PKA) complex, a heterotetramer of two regulatory and two catalytic subunits. As a principal mediator of cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP)-mediated downstream signaling, PKA is vital for a wide variety of signaling pathways in metazoan cells. While PKA complexes are present in all human tissues, the respective composition of PKA varies across cell types, depending on the expression of different subunits. Regulatory subunits mediate the localization of PKA to a cellular compartment by binding A-kinase-anchoring proteins (AKAPs; Pidoux & Tasken, 2010; Taylor, Keshwani et al., 2012b), and PKA kinase activity by releasing catalytic subunits in the presence of cAMP (Soberg & Skalhegg, 2018; Taylor, Ilouz et al., 2012a). The R1 β subunit is highly expressed in the central nervous system (CNS), and expression during embryonal development of the human brain could be demonstrated by RNAscope imaging at Carnergie stage 22 (estimated postfertilization age of 52-55 days). Functional studies found reduced basal PKA kinase activity in HEK293 cells transfected with PRKAR1B constructs harboring variants identified in patients from the initial publication (Marbach et al., 2021).

In the initial six patients, symptoms of MASNS included global developmental delay (GDD) and apraxia or clumsiness, without consistent facial dysmorphisms or organ malformations. In the case of patients carrying the recurring NM_001164760.2: c.1003C>T p. (Arg335Trp) variant, insensitivity to pain of varying degree was reported. The patients showed behavioral abnormalities associated with autism spectrum disorder (ASD), such as arm/hand flapping,

repetitive, and sensory-seeking behavior (Marbach et al., 2021). ASD was diagnosed based on DSM-5 criteria in all of the patients of that initial cohort, and four patients were diagnosed with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD).

Here, we report seven additional patients with MASNS, all carrying the *PRKAR1B* c.1003C>T variant, who were reported to our group since the initial publication.

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Ethics and data collection

Data collection was performed as part of human research study H-34578 (understanding the molecular causes of neuropsychiatric disease), which was approved by the Baylor College of Medicine Institutional Review Board (IRB). Written informed consent for publication of medical data and images was obtained from the parents or legal guardians of the respective individuals as required by the IRB. Initial contact with our group was usually was established by a patient's physician, who received the consent form and a comprehensive questionnaire (see Supporting Information Data). The physicians informed the patient's caretakers of the study and collected all clinical data presented in this publication.

2.2 | Molecular and cytogenetic diagnostics, segregation

Single exome analyses were performed on different platforms in patients #1, #3, and #5, while single genome analysis was performed in patient #4. De novo status of the respective *PRKAR1B* variants was determined by sanger sequencing of patient and parental samples. Trio-exome sequencing was performed in in the case of patient #2, #6, and #7.

Prior to exome or genome sequencing, patients #2–7 received chromosomal microarray analysis (CMA), and chromosome analysis was performed in patients #4 and #7. Additionally, molecular genetic testing for Myotonic dystrophy, Spinal muscular atrophy, and Prader-Willi syndrome was performed in patient #5 due to muscular

SexMFMAge3 years 1 months5 years 8 months15 years 2 monthsAge3 years 1 months5 years 8 months15 years 2 monthsAnuelle def3 years 1 months10003C-T, p. (Arg33TTp)10003C-T, p. (Arg33TTp)Nuclout164760(Arg335TTp) de novoc. 1003C-T, p. (Arg333TTp)10003C-T, p. (Arg335TTp)Nuclout164760NoNoNoNoHypotoniaNoNoNoNoHypotoniaNoNoNoNoHypotoniaNoNoNoNoOther abnormalitiesNoNoNoOther abnormalitiesNoNoNoOther abnormalitiesNoNoNoOther abnormalitiesNoNoNoOther abnormalitiesNoNoNoMaking1 year 6 monthsNoNoMaking1 year 6 monthsNoNoMaking1 year 6 monthsNoNoMaking1 year 6 monthsNoNoMotor skill regression?Year 6 monthsNoMotor skill regression?Year 6 monthsNoMakingNoNoNoMotor skill regression?Year 6 monthsNoMotor skill regression?Year 6 monthsNoMotor skillNoNoNoMotor skillNoNoNoMotor skillNoNoNoMotor skillYear 6 monthsNoMotor skillN			0	7
Attable Varianti 3 years 1 months 5 years 8 months Attable Varianti c.1003C-T, p. (Arg.335Trp) c.1003C-T, p. (Arg.335Trp) Muo0011647600 c.1003C-T, p. (Arg.335Trp) c.1003C-T, p. (Arg.335Trp) Muode of heritance c.1003C-T, p. (Arg.335Trp) choove Muode of heritance No c.1003C-T, p. (Arg.335Trp) Muo011647600 No choove Muode of heritance No No Muotable of heritance No No Mutable of the norths No No <td< td=""><td>LL</td><td>Σ</td><td>ш</td><td>ш</td></td<>	LL	Σ	ш	ш
c.1003C>T, p.c.1003C>T, p. (Arg335Trp) de novo de novoNoNoNoNoNoNoNoNoSes11 months1 year 6 months11 months21 months11 months21 months1 year 8 months21 monthsNoNoNoVes (noted at age 6 m)NoVes (noted at age 6 m)NoNoNoVes (noted at age 6 m)NoVes (noted at age 6 m)NoNoNoVes (noted at age 6 m)NoNoNoNoNoNoNoHighHighHypersensitivity ^b NormalNormalNormalDelayed, only crawlingNormal	2 months 9 years 1 month	6 years 6 months	3 years 2 months	16 years 7 months
NoNoNoNoNoNoSa11 months1 year 6 months11 months21 months1 year 8 months21 months1 year 8 months2 years1 year 8 months1 year 6 monthsNoYes (noted at age 6 m)NoNoNoNoNoYes (noted at ageNoNoNoHighHighHighNormalNomalNormalNomalNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalNormal	c.1003C>T, p.(Arg335Trp) c.1003C>T, p.(Arg335Trp) unknown ^a de novo	<pre>frp) c.1003C>T, p. (Arg335Trp) de novo</pre>	c.1003C>T, p.(Arg335Trp) de novo	c.1003C>T, p. (Arg335Trp) de novo
NoNoNoNoNoNo1 year 6 months11 months1 year 6 months1 year 8 months21 months1 year 8 months21 months1 year 8 months21 months1 year 8 months21 monthsNo1 year 6 monthsNoYes (noted at age 6 m)NoNoNoYes (noted at age 6 m)NoNoNoHighHighHighNormalNormalNormalDelayed, only crawlingNormalNormalNormal				
NoNoSs11 monthsLater 6 months11 months1 year 6 months11 months21 months11 year 8 months21 months1 year 8 months21 months1 year 8 months21 monthsNo1 year 6 monthsNoNoNoNoNoVes (noted at age 6 m)NoNoNoNoNoNoNoVes (noted at agen.a.6 months)NoHighHighNormalNormalNormalNormalDelayed, only crawlingNormal	Yes (mild)	No	Yes	No
NoNo2511 months1 year 6 months11 months21 months21 months21 months1 year 8 months2 years1 year 8 months4 years1 year 8 months7 year 6 monthsNo1 year 7 yearNo1 yearNo1 yearNo1 yearNo1 yearNo1 yearNo1 yearNo1 yearNo1 yearNo	Poor suck (initially)	No	Poor suck (mild)	No
 ²⁵ 1 year 6 months 21 months 21 months 21 months 4 years 4 years 1 year 8 months 7 year 8 months 1 year 8 months No High High Normal Normal Normal Normal Normal Normal 	No	No	No	No
1 year 6 months11 months21 monthsPatient did not crawl4 years1 year 8 monthsYes (noted at age 6 m)NoYes (noted at age 6 m)NoNoNoNoNoYes (noted at age 6 m)NoNoNoYes (noted at age 6 m)NoYes (noted at age 6 m)NoHighHighNormalNormalNormalNormalDelayed, only crawlingNormal				
21 monthsPatient did not crawl21 wears1 years & months4 years1 years & monthsYes (noted at age 6 m)NoneNoNoneNoNoNoNoYes (noted at agen.a.6 months)NaHighHighHypersensitivity ^b NormalNormalNormalDelayed, only crawlingNormal	1 year 2 months	1 year 3 months	10 months	<9 months
4 years1 year 8 monthsYes (noted at age 6 m)No1 year 6 monthsNoneNoNoNoNoYes (noted at age 6 months)NoHighHighNormalNormalNormalNormalDelayed, only crawlingNormal	hs Patient did not crawl	Patient did not crawl	13 months	n.s.
Yes (noted at age 6 m) No 1 year 6 months None No No No No Ves (noted at age n.a. 6 months) No High High Hypersensitivity ^b Normal Normal Normal Normal	nonths 2 years	2 years 2 months	19 months	14 months
1 year 6 months None No No No No Yes (noted at age 6 months) No High High Normal Normal Normal Normal Delayed, only crawling Normal	No	No	No	No
No No No No Yes (noted at age n.a. 6 months) High High High Normal Normal Normal Normal	1 year	2 years	2 years 5 months	>3 years
No No Yes (noted at age n.a. 6 months) High High High Normal Normal Normal Normal	4 years	4 years	>2 years 5 months	4 years
Yes (noted at age n.a. 6 months) High High Nermal Normal Normal Normal Delayed, only crawling Normal	Yes	3-word sentences, not fluent	4-5 word sentences, not fluent	n.s.
High High h Hypersensitivity ^b Normal Normal Normal Delayed, only crawling Normal	OZ	No	No	oN
High High stem Hypersensitivity ^b Normal Normal Normal Delayed, only crawling Normal				
stem Hypersensitivity ^b Normal Normal Normal Delayed, only crawling Normal	Rather high (subjective observation by parents)	High hts)	High	Normal
Normal Normal Delayed, only crawling Normal	n.s.	Normal	n.s.	Normal
Delayed, only crawling Normal	n.s.	Normal	Normal	Normal
	Delayed	Delayed	Delayed	Normal
Fine motor skills Delayed Delayed Delayed	Delayed	Delayed	Delayed	Delayed
Dyspraxia/Apraxia No Yes	No	No, but notable clumsiness	No	Yes

MARBACH ET AL.

TABLE 1 (Continued)	(p						
Individual #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Hypotonia or otherwise abnormal muscular strength	Yes	No	Q	Q	Yes	No, but low muscle strength during the first 2 years.	oZ
Other abnormalities	No	No	No	Stereotypic movements of hands and feet	No	Mildly ataxic, wide-based gait	No
History of seizures	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Hearing impairment	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Vision impairment	Myopia –0.5 dpt Strabismus (left eye)	No	No	Strabismus	No	No	No
Sleep abnormalities	No	Ŷ	Abnormal circadian rhythm Mild sleep apnea	Mild sleep apnea	Yes, treated with melatonin	Difficulties initiating sleep, possible sleep apnea (not tested)	Q
Autism							
Any formal testing (ADOS, ADRI)	ADOS	oN	n.s.	No	No	No	No
Meets diagnostic criteria of autism	Yes	No	n.s.	n.s.	No	No	No
Autistic features (without formal testing)	Q	Stereotypic movement, flapping when happy, prefers to be alone	n.s.	Stereotypes, inflexibility to routine changes, social withdrawal	No	No	oZ
ADHD							
Attention deficit	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Hyperactivity	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Diagnosed ADHD	No	No	n.s.	Yes	No	No	No
Cognitive evaluation							
Formal cognitive evaluation (IQ or DQs)	ŝ	Brunet-Lézine R at 3 years 6 months: QIT 35 (motricity 20m, QD: 47.8, ocular coordination 13 months 18 days; QD: 32; language, 11 months, QD: 26.3; Sociability 15 months 5 days, QD: 37)	DD/moderate ID	K-ABC-II, SON-R 2½-7 (nonverbal intelligence evaluation)—moderate intellectual disability (IQ 49), speech and motor delay	Vineland-3 showed No weakness in all domains	Ŝ	Ŷ
Special education?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

2630 WILEY-medical genetics

MARBACH ET AL.

1552433, 2022, 9, Downloaded from https://unlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/ajing.a.62884 by Universite De Bordeaux, Wiley Online Library on [18/10/2022]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/ems

-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons License

Individual # 1	2	З	4 5	6 7	
Laboratory anomalies, other genetic test results	esults				
Ž	Ž	CMA: Duplication of 431.5 kb within 12q13.12 (49488125- 49919743) (hg19), maternally inherited. The variant was classified as VUS.	Elevated CK, TruSight One No (Illumina) multi-gene panel analysis: 2 heterozygous pathogenic CLCN1 variants in cis, likely contributing to CK anomalies. Likely pathogenic FOXH1 variant, no cardiac anomalies.	CMA: One duplication of at No least 794 kb within 5q35.3, and another duplication of at least 118 kb within 8p23.3. Both variants were classified as VUS.	
Abbreviations: n.s., not specified; n.a., not applicable.	applicable.				

(Continued)

TABLE 1

^aThe mother was tested negative; the father is deceased. ^bThe patient shows discomfort while nail clipping, when his head is touched, and with some fabrics of clothes medical genetics A WILEY 2631

hypotonia. Structural anomalies and additional sequence variants identified during diagnostic workup of patients #1-7 are listed in Table 1.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Patient cohort

We received clinical information of a total of seven patients (three males and four females), who were then included in our study. De novo status of the c.1003C>T variant could be established in all but one individual (patient #3, for whom one parent was deceased and the other was tested negative). All patients were delivered at term after uneventful pregnancies, with normal anthropometric measurements. The neonatal period was mostly uncomplicated, although muscular hypotonia and poor suck were noted in patients #4 and #6. The average age at the time of data collection was 8.5 years (SD 60 months), with an age range of 3-16 years. Two patients were taller than their peers (patients #1 and #6), while the others were within the normal height range at the time of last measurement. The body mass index of two patients (#2 and #5) was at or above the 97th percentile, and a lack of satiety was reported in patient #5. The occipitofrontal circumference of patient #4 was at the 1st percentile. A compilation of the patient's anthropometric data is shown in Table S1.

3.2 | Physical appearance

As in the first cohort of patients reported in 2021, the individuals of this study did not show a consistent pattern of physical malformations or facial dysmorphisms. A short or upturned nose was noted in four, and a short philtrum was seen in four patients. Four patients had thin upper lips or small lips in general, and two had (subtle) epicanthal folds. No congenital organ malformations were reported. Patient #3 had developed scoliosis and patient #4 had pes adductus. A compilation of patient images is shown in Figure 1.

3.3 | Developmental milestones

Language and motor development were generally delayed: Free sitting was achieved at an average age of 11.7 months (*SD* 4 months), and independent walking at an average age of 24.4 months (*SD* 10.3 months). Among the six verbal patients, first words were spoken at an average age of 23.8 months (SD 7.6 months), and patient #4 and #7 acquired fluent speech. Regression of motor skills was observed in one male patient (patient #1) beginning at the age of 6 months, which may resemble earlier reports of two male patients of the first cohort who lost previously acquired skills (Marbach et al., 2021). Among the two adolescent patients #3 and #7, the onset of puberty was delayed in patient #3 and normal in patient #7.



FIGURE 1 Facial phenotypes of patients #1, #2, #4, #6, and #7. The patient's age at the time of the photograph is indicated in the respective picture

3.4 | Neurologic phenotype

All patients showed impaired coordination of physical movements to some extent: gross motor skills were delayed in four of the seven patients and all patients showed delayed development of fine motor skills, such as joining puzzle pieces or drawing. However, dyspraxia was diagnosed in only one patient. Hearing and vision were mostly normal, albeit the diagnoses of myopia and strabismus in one, and strabismus in another patient. None of the patients had experienced seizures.

A distinctive feature of individuals carrying the c.1003C>T variant reported in the first publication was high pain tolerance, which was noted to a variable extent in all but one patient. Patient #1 did not react when hitting himself during activities at home, and grasped hot beverages without expression of discomfort. Patient #4 did not respond with pain when blood was drawn, or when she had blisters or small injuries, and instead showed distress when experiencing minor pressure such as handholding or hair combing, occasionally—despite the unpainful situation—responding with "ouch." Patient #6 was described by her parents as almost unresponsive to pain during the first 18 months of life. She slowly acquired an appropriate pain response from the age of 18 months onwards, with pain tolerance remaining subjectively high. It should be noted that no formal evaluation of pain sensitivity was performed, meaning that these reports reflect subjective observations of the patient's parents and/or physicians.

While most patients showed higher-than-normal pain tolerance, temperature perception was reported as normal in most patients. Magnetic resonance imaging of the head revealed structurally normal brains in most patients, although cisternomegaly was noted in patient #5, and white matter anomalies of the periventricular region were seen in patient #6 shortly after birth. Electroencephalograms, if performed, were normal or inconclusive. Formal cognitive evaluation was performed in four patients, which, depending on the type of test, revealed below average performance in most tested domains, or moderate ID (patient #3). All patients required some form of special education. A compilation of developmental milestones and the neurologic phenotypes is shown in Table 1.

3.5 | Behavioral profile

ASD was diagnosed using the Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule 2 (ADOS-2) only in patient #1 of the current cohort, and none of the other patients had received formal testing for ASD. Symptoms associated with ASD, such as stereotypic movements and hand flapping were reported in two additional patients. Formal evaluation of ASD was pending in the case of patient #2, and autism was suspected by the parents of patient #4, although her stereotypies and inflexibility to routine changes were classified in the context of ID rather than ASD by the child's psychologist. While displaying features of ASD, patient #2 was also described as social and affectionate, and as investing strongly in interpersonal relations. Patient #6 was also described as social and interactive. All patients displayed hyperactivity and restlessness, which was reported as challenging for parents and caregivers, and patient #4 was formally diagnosed with ADHD. Awareness of danger was reduced in most patients. Heightened anxiety was reported in four patients and social withdrawal in three. Table 2 summarizes the behavioral profile of individuals #1-7.

Trait ($1 = not$ present; $2 = rarely p$	resent, $3 =$ sometimes p	Trait (1 = not present; 2 = rarely present, 3 = sometimes present, 4 = frequently present, 5 = always present)	ways pr	esent)			
Individual #	1	2	e	4	5	6	7
Hyperactivity	5	5	5	5	5	5	<5 (decreased with age)
Underactivity	1	1	2	1	2	1	1
Stubbornness	4	4	5	3 (5 without medication)	2	4	2 (decreased with age)
Temper tantrums	1	З	5	4	4	ю	1
Aggression	1	1	2	4	3	4	1
Controlling and manipulative behavior	т	1	м	Ţ	3	4	Ţ
Compulsivity	1	3	2	4	4	5	1
Anxiety	1	2	4	4	4	З	1
Social withdrawal	3	1	4	4	3	1	1
Difficulty with change in routine	1	3	4	5	4	4	1
Absent awareness of danger	5	5	ю	5	5	3	2 (decreased with age)
Self-harm	1	1	1	1	5	2	1
Other traits	Cheerful, smiling, always happy.	Really social child, invests strongly in relations, very affectionate and sensitive			Smiling, cooperative, hyperactive	Bangs head when falling asleep	Echolalia

TABLE 2 Behavioral profile

4 | DISCUSSION

Including the six individuals published in the original article in April 2021, we have collected clinical information of a total of 13 patients carrying missense variants in *PRKAR1B*. The variants (NM_001164760.2) c.586G>A (p.Glu196Lys) and c.500_501inv (p.-Gln167Leu) were each reported once, while the variant c.1003C>T (p.Arg335Trp) was found a total of 11 individuals. All patients within the combined cohorts show GDD with speech delay. Five (38%) patients have been diagnosed with ADHD, and seven (54%) have been formally diagnosed with ASD. Muscular hypotonia was noted in 6 (46%) patients, and 9 of the 11 patients (82%) with the c.1003C>T variant (69% of all patients) have abnormally high pain tolerance. An overview of all individuals with de novo *PRKAR1B* variants can be found in Table S2.

A notable difference between the first cohort of North American patients, and the second cohort of predominantly European patients, is the low frequency of formally diagnosed ASD in the latter. The disparity between both cohorts might be coincidental and explained by a higher variability of ASD among individuals with MASNS than the initial study suggested. On the other hand, only one of the European patients had received formal psychological assessment of ASD. The disparity might therefore also represent a lower clinical threshold to apply DSM V criteria in the United States, and a shift in the diagnostic categorization of patients with ID and ASD in favor of ASD (Polvak et al., 2015). More uniform assessment criteria for ASD exist in the United States in the form of DSM V, when compared to historically different assessment procedures in European countries, which may contribute to varying prevalence estimates for ASD across Europe (Chiarotti & Venerosi, 2020; Garcia-Primo et al., 2014). While ASDassociated features such as motor stereotypies are frequent among patients with MASNS, the generally social and contact-seeking behavior of some patients suggest that ASD should be regarded as one of the more variable features of MASNS, regardless of possible biases in assessment.

Rare germline variants of PRKAR1B have previously been observed in individuals with adrenal abnormalities: the variant c.200C>T, p.(A67V) was found in a patient with adrenal lesions and adrenocorticotropic hormone-independent Cushing syndrome, who was also diagnosed with Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome, and the variant c.898G>A, p.(A300T) was found in a patient with Cushing syndrome, micronodular adrenocortical disease, and liver focal nodular hyperplasia (Drougat et al., 2020). Both patients became symptomatic in childhood and underwent bilateral adrenalectomy at the age of 8 months and 9 years, respectively. Additionally, variants of PRKAR1A, which encodes the regulatory PKA subunit R1a, have been implicated in carney complex (# 160980; Kirschner et al., 2000), and primary pigmented nodular adrenocortical disease (# 610489; Groussin et al., 2002), where both disorders showed autosomal-dominant inheritance. None of the 13 MASNS patients has a clinical history of Cushing syndrome, adrenal tumors, or any other tumors. However, the patients are quite young (aged 3-16 years, with an average age of

 \sim 8.5 years), meaning that symptoms of adrenocortical disease could yet manifest. We therefore recommend a low clinical threshold for assessment by an endocrinologist and abdominal ultrasound in case of potential symptoms of adrenal disease.

It should be noted that the phenotypes of R1β-deficient (biallelic knockout) mice and rats seem to approximate some aspects of the phenotype of patients carrying monoallelic PRKAR1B missensevariants, such as deficits in spatial learning and memory formation in rats, and abnormal nociception in both species (Hoang Trung et al., 2021; Malmberg et al., 1997). As previously demonstrated in mice (Brandon et al., 1995), impaired long-term potentiation (LTP) at the Schaffer collateral-CA1 synapse was also observed in hippocampal slices of PRKAR1B-deficient rats. PRKAR1B-deficient animals also displayed longer latency to react when exposed to a painful stimulus (hot plate test), had impaired fear conditioning, and showed increased durations of vertical activity (i.e., exploratory behavior) and time spent in the center in an open field test, when compared to wild-type rats. The authors hypothesized that some of the behavioral abnormalities were linked to the deficits in LTP at the Schaffer collateral pathway, resulting in impaired spatial learning and contextual conditioning (Hoang Trung et al., 2021). These observations would be in line with reduced sensitivity to pain and GDD in patients with MASNS-although the underlying genetic changes differ (absence of functional $R1\beta$ in the animal model vs. co-occurrence of wild type and mutant R1 β in humans).

The majority of patients with MASNS and all individuals reported in this study carry the recurring c.1003C>T missense variant. On the molecular level, the variant leads to the substitution of an arginine residue by a tryptophan residue within one of the two cAMP-bindingdomains of R1 β (Figure S1) (Ilouz et al., 2012), which might impair the cAMP-sensing property of the mutant protein. If this holds true, PKA complexes containing mutant R1 β would be less sensitive to rising cAMP concentrations, affecting cellular signaling downstream of PKA in *PRKAR1B*-expressing cells of the CNS. This theory would be consistent with the observation of reduced cAMP-stimulated (total) PKA activity in HEK293 cells transfected with a *PRKAR1B* p.Arg335Trp expression construct, compared to cells transfected with the wild type *PRKAR1B* construct, although this reduction was not statistically significant (p = 0.06; Marbach et al., 2021).

Possible disturbances of several PKA-dependent functions could contribute to the reduced sensitivity to pain in most patients carrying the p.(Arg335Trp) variant, although it is currently unknown whether these pathways obligatory require the R1 β subunit. For example, Gs alpha subunit (G α_s) signaling via adenylyl cyclase/cAMP/PKA in response to pain, itch, and inflammation could be impaired, resulting in reduced sensitization of transient receptor potential channels (TRP) and reduced excitability of primary sensory nerves (Geppetti et al., 2015). PKA is also involved in spinal cord central sensitization in response to repeated nociceptive stimuli through phosphorylation of NMDA (N-methyl-D-aspartic acid) and AMPA (α -amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid) receptors, recruitment of AMPAR to the cell membrane, and persistent strengthening of synapses by phosphorylation of the transcription factor CREB (cAMP response element-binding protein; Basbaum et al., 2009; Latremoliere & Woolf, 2009). *PRKAR1B* mRNA expression has been detected in human dorsal root ganglia (Hall et al., 2022), and R1 β protein expression has been demonstrated in the spinal cord of mice (Cadd & McKnight, 1989). While molecular and cellular disease mechanisms of MASNS remain to be elucidated, the Arg 335 residue is emerging as a "hot-spot" for pathogenic missense variant(s) in the *PRKAR1B* gene.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors express their gratitude toward the patients and their families for making this study possible. We thank Dr Katarzyna Łaskawiec-Orlikowska (Provincial Integrated Hospital in Elbląg, Poland) for providing medical information on patient #1, and Prof Monika Gos (Department of Medical Genetics, Institute of Mother of Child in Warsaw, Poland), who identified the causative *PRKAR1B* variant in this patient. Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that supports the findings of this study are available in the supplementary material of this article.

ORCID

Louisa Kalsner b https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0484-2013 Anne-Sophie Denommé-Pichon b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8986-8222

Christian P. Schaaf b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2148-7490

REFERENCES

- Basbaum, A. I., Bautista, D. M., Scherrer, G., & Julius, D. (2009). Cellular and molecular mechanisms of pain. *Cell*, 139(2), 267–284. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.cell.2009.09.028
- Brandon, E. P., Zhuo, M., Huang, Y. Y., Qi, M., Gerhold, K. A., Burton, K. A., Kandel, E. R., McKnight, G., & Idzerda, R. L. (1995). Hippocampal longterm depression and depotentiation are defective in mice carrying a targeted disruption of the gene encoding the RI beta subunit of cAMP-dependent protein kinase. *Proceedings of the National Academy* of Sciences of the United States of America, 92(19), 8851–8855. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.92.19.8851
- Cadd, G., & McKnight, G. S. (1989). Distinct patterns of cAMP-dependent protein kinase gene expression in mouse brain. *Neuron*, *3*(1), 71–79. https://doi.org/10.1016/0896-6273(89)90116-5
- Chiarotti, F., & Venerosi, A. (2020). Epidemiology of autism spectrum disorders: A review of worldwide prevalence estimates since 2014. Brain Sciences, 10(5), 274. https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci10050274
- Drougat, L., Settas, N., Ronchi, C. L., Bathon, K., Calebiro, D., Maria, A. G., Haydar, S., Voutetakis, A., London, E., Faucz, F. R., & Stratakis, C. A. (2021). Genomic and sequence variants of protein kinase A regulatory subunit type 1beta (PRKAR1B) in patients with adrenocortical disease and Cushing syndrome. *Genetics in Medicine*, 23, 174–182. https://doi. org/10.1038/s41436-020-00958-1
- García-Primo, P., Hellendoorn, A., Charman, T., Roeyers, H., Dereu, M., Roge, B., Baduel, S., Muratori, F., Narzisi, A., van Daalen, E.,

Moilanen, I., de la Paz, M. P., & Canal-Bedia, R. (2014). Screening for autism spectrum disorders: State of the art in Europe. *European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, *23*(11), 1005–1021. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00787-014-0555-6

- Geppetti, P., Veldhuis, N. A., Lieu, T., & Bunnett, N. W. (2015). G proteincoupled receptors: Dynamic machines for signaling pain and itch. *Neuron*, 88(4), 635–649. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2015.11.001
- Groussin, L., Jullian, E., Perlemoine, K., Louvel, A., Leheup, B., Luton, J. P., Bertagna, X., & Bertherat, J. (2002). Mutations of the PRKAR1A gene in Cushing's syndrome due to sporadic primary pigmented nodular adrenocortical disease. *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*, 87(9), 4324–4329. https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2002-020592
- Hall, B. E., Macdonald, E., Cassidy, M., Yun, S., Sapio, M. R., Ray, P., Doty, M., Nara, P., Burton, M. D., Shiers, S., Ray-Chaudhury, A., Mannes, A. J., Price, T. J., Iadarola, M. J., & Kulkarni, A. B. (2022). Transcriptomic analysis of human sensory neurons in painful diabetic neuropathy reveals inflammation and neuronal loss. *Scientific Reports*, 12(1), 4729. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-08100-8
- Hoang Trung, H., Yoshihara, T., Nakao, A., Hayashida, K., Hirata, Y., Shirasuna, K., Kuwamura, M., Nakagawa, Y., Kaneko, T., Mori, Y., Asano, M., & Kuramoto, T. (2021). Deficiency of the RIbeta subunit of protein kinase A causes body tremor and impaired fear conditioning memory in rats. *Scientific Reports*, 11(1), 2039. https://doi.org/10. 1038/s41598-021-81515-x
- Ilouz, R., Bubis, J., Wu, J., Yim, Y. Y., Deal, M. S., Kornev, A. P., Ma, Y., Blumenthal, D. K., & Taylor, S. S. (2012). Localization and quaternary structure of the PKA RIbeta holoenzyme. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 109(31), 12443– 12448. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1209538109
- Kirschner, L. S., Carney, J. A., Pack, S. D., Taymans, S. E., Giatzakis, C., Cho, Y. S., Cho-Chung, Y. S., & Stratakis, C. A. (2000). Mutations of the gene encoding the protein kinase A type I-alpha regulatory subunit in patients with the Carney complex. *Nature Genetics*, 26(1), 89–92. https://doi.org/10.1038/79238
- Kochinke, K., Zweier, C., Nijhof, B., Fenckova, M., Cizek, P., Honti, F., Keerthikumar, S., Oortveld, M. A., Kleefstra, T., Kramer, J. M., Webber, C., Huynen, M. A., & Schenck, A. (2016). Systematic phenomics analysis deconvolutes genes mutated in intellectual disability into biologically coherent modules. *American Journal of Human Genetics*, 98(1), 149–164. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.2015.11.024
- Latremoliere, A., & Woolf, C. J. (2009). Central sensitization: A generator of pain hypersensitivity by central neural plasticity. *The Journal of Pain*, 10(9), 895–926. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpain.2009.06.012
- Malmberg, A. B., Brandon, E. P., Idzerda, R. L., Liu, H., McKnight, G. S., & Basbaum, A. I. (1997). Diminished inflammation and nociceptive pain with preservation of neuropathic pain in mice with a targeted mutation of the type I regulatory subunit of cAMP-dependent protein kinase. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, 17(19), 7462–7470.
- Marbach, F., Stoyanov, G., Erger, F., Stratakis, C. A., Settas, N., London, E., Rosenfeld, J. A., Torti, E., Haldeman-Englert, C., Sklirou, E., Kessler, E., Ceulemans, S., Nelson, S. F., Martinez-Agosto, J. A., Palmer, C. G. S., Signer, R. H., Undiagnosed Diseases Network, Andrews, M. V., Grange, D. K., ... Schaaf, C. P. (2021). Variants in PRKAR1B cause a neurodevelopmental disorder with autism spectrum disorder, apraxia, and insensitivity to pain. *Genetics in Medicine*, 23(8), 1465–1473. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41436-021-01152-7
- Pidoux, G., & Tasken, K. (2010). Specificity and spatial dynamics of protein kinase a signaling organized by A-kinase-anchoring proteins. *Journal of Molecular Endocrinology*, 44(5), 271–284. https://doi.org/10.1677/ JME-10-0010
- Polyak, A., Kubina, R. M., & Girirajan, S. (2015). Comorbidity of intellectual disability confounds ascertainment of autism: Implications for genetic diagnosis. American Journal of Medical Genetics. Part B, Neuropsychiatric Genetics, 168(7), 600–608. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.b.32338

medical genetics A WILEY 2635

WILEY-medical genetics

- Soberg, K., & Skalhegg, B. S. (2018). The molecular basis for specificity at the level of the protein kinase a catalytic subunit. Frontiers in Endocrinology (Lausanne), 9, 538. https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2018.00538
- Taylor, S. S., Ilouz, R., Zhang, P., & Kornev, A. P. (2012a). Assembly of allosteric macromolecular switches: Lessons from PKA. *Nature Reviews*. *Molecular Cell Biology*, 13(10), 646–658. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nrm3432
- Taylor, S. S., Keshwani, M. M., Steichen, J. M., & Kornev, A. P. (2012b). Evolution of the eukaryotic protein kinases as dynamic molecular switches. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London*. *Series B, Biological Sciences*, 367(1602), 2517–2528. https://doi.org/ 10.1098/rstb.2012.0054

WEB RESOURCES

2636

SysID Database. Retrieved from https://www.sysid.dbmr.unibe.ch/. AlphaFold Protein Structure Database. Retrieved from https://alphafold. ebi.ac.uk/.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

How to cite this article: Marbach, F., Lipska-Ziętkiewicz, B. S., Knurowska, A., Michaud, V., Margot, H., Lespinasse, J., Tran Mau Them, F., Coubes, C., Park, J., Grosch, S., Roggia, C., Grasshoff, U., Kalsner, L., Denommé-Pichon, A.-S., Afenjar, A., Héron, B., Keren, B., Caro, P., & Schaaf, C. P. (2022). Phenotypic characterization of seven individuals with Marbach–Schaaf neurodevelopmental syndrome. *American Journal of Medical Genetics Part A*, 188A:2627–2636. <u>https://</u> doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.a.62884