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#### Abstract

The characterization of the porosity of ceramic materials is of prime importance for numerous applications. The work is being presented in two parts: A and B. In this part (Part A), several porous SiC samples exhibiting different sizes and porosity spectra were characterized by capillary infiltration of hexadecane at room temperature. The model materials contain a bimodal pore population generated by cracks and porogens. The monitoring of the weight increase in time for each sample evidence the occurrence of two successive filling stages with specific kinetics, except for the reference samples which contain only one kind of pore. The first kinetic is found clearly larger than the second. A new analytical function was used to identify the transition times and the kinetics of each stage. The various values of the kinetics revealed the occurrence of different infiltration mechanisms. The same model correlates silicon infiltration observations as well (Part B).
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## 1. Introduction

Liquids rising in porous media plays a determinant role in numerous fields such as geology, concrete, oil recovery, catalysis, textile, paper industry, food processing, biotechnology, and in the production of structural materials by melt-infiltration [1-9]. Structural composites (CMC and MMC) are advanced materials widely used for aerospace, automotive, defense, and general engineering applications [10]. Pressureless infiltration of liquid metals into packed ceramic particulates or fiber preforms is obviously an efficient process for the fabrication of this kind of composite [11-14]. It offers the potential to be an alternative, simple and low-cost processing route for the manufacture of ceramic reinforced metal matrix composites without remaining porosities. Recently, special interest has been focused on SiC ceramicreinforced metal-matrix composites. It was demonstrated that high SiC volume fraction composites can be produced by infiltrating liquid metals into packed SiC powder. This way was applied successfully at relative low temperatures with aluminum and copper [15,16]. MMC can be tailored to have superior properties such as enhanced high-temperature performance, high specific strength and stiffness, increased wear resistance, better thermal and mechanical fatigue, and creep resistance than those of unreinforced alloys. This way is also applied for the fabrication of $\mathrm{SiC} / \mathrm{Si}$ composites by capillary infiltration of molten silicon into porous silicon carbide [17-19]. Macroporous silicon carbide is widely used in various industrial applications including filtration for gas and water, absorption, catalyst supports, concentrated solar power, thermoelectric conversion, etc [20-21]. In broad terms, the mechanism of liquid transport involves capillary rise, but the precise details are lacking. In particular, it remains a lack of understanding about the filling of bimodal or even multimodal porous networks containing for example cracks and/or bubbles [22-23]. The Lucas-Washburn equation is a fundamental expression used to describe liquids rise in capillaries but also surprisingly in porous media [24-26]. In this case, this equation is based on the pore radius, the liquid viscosity, the surface tension, the contact angle, and the time. In a previous paper we confirm that the Washburn equation can be successfully applied to hexadecane filling of SiC compacts with narrow range porosities [27]. But these compacts are not always representative of real materials that commonly contain more complex porous networks with cracks and cavities. The aim of the present study is to investigate the infiltration kinetics of hexadecane at room temperature into SiC porous compacts with different pore distributions by the insitu measurement of the weight gain versus time. Then, the experimental measurements can be
mathematically analyzed to determine the effect of larger porosities on the capillary infiltration mechanism and kinetic.

## 2. Background on the capillary infiltration into porous SiC

Several methods can be applied to measure porosity: buoyancy, gas expansion (He porosimetry), gas adsorption (BET), mercury intrusion porosimetry and saturation or imbibition [28]. All these methods are complementary as they reveal different characteristics of the porosities. Particularly, the imbibition by capillary rise is a useful and simple tool to characterize porous materials as it measures the progression of the liquid in the pore network [26,29]. The Lucas-Washburn equation describes the front height evolution in porous materials during capillary infiltration [24-26] (Equation 1):

$$
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\pi \cdot r^{2} h \cdot \rho \cdot \frac{d h}{d t}\right)=\left(\frac{2 \cdot \sigma \cdot \cos \theta}{r}-\rho \cdot g \cdot h-\frac{8 \cdot \mu \cdot h}{r^{2}} \cdot \frac{d h}{d t}\right) \cdot \pi \cdot r^{2}
$$

Equation 1
where $t$ is the time, $r$ is the radius of the medium pore, $h$ is the infiltration height, $\rho$ is the density, $\sigma$ is the surface tension, and $\theta$ is the contact angle, which is assumed to be 0 due to the very low surface tension of hexadecane and $\mu$ its dynamic viscosity. This equation can be simplified in the case of small radii capillaries with creeping flow. Then, $\frac{d}{d t} \cdot\left(h \cdot \frac{d h}{d t}\right)$ is close to 0 . Equation 1 can be modified as follows [30]:

$$
\frac{8 . \mu \cdot h}{r^{2}} \cdot \frac{d h}{d t}=\frac{2 \cdot \sigma \cdot \cos \theta}{r}-\rho \cdot g \cdot h
$$

Equation 2
For short durations, $\rho . g . h \ll \frac{8 . \mu . h}{r^{2}} \cdot \frac{d h}{d t}$, then the equation can be written as:

$$
h^{2}=\frac{r \cdot \sigma \cdot \cos \theta}{2 \cdot \mu} \cdot t
$$

Equation 3
or:

$$
\frac{h^{2}}{t}=\frac{\sigma \cdot \cos \theta}{4 \cdot \mu} \cdot d_{e f f}
$$

where $d_{e f f}$ is the effective diameter of the pores equal to 2.r. From the linear fit of the curves $h^{2}=f(t)$, it is possible to determine the effective diameter $d_{\text {eff }}$ of the pores. Maximum capillary height $h_{\infty}$, called Jurin height, which may be attained by a liquid by capillary rise can be determined from Equation 5 [5]:

$$
h_{\infty}=\frac{4 \cdot \mu \cdot \cos \theta}{\rho \cdot \sigma \cdot d_{e f f}}
$$

Equation 5
The mass increase during the infiltration of the liquid can also be used to calculate the value of the effective diameter $d_{e f f}$ by modifying Equation 4:

$$
\frac{m^{2}}{t}=\frac{\sigma \cdot \cos \theta}{4 \cdot \mu} \cdot \rho^{2} \cdot \varepsilon^{2} \cdot S^{2} \cdot d_{e f f}
$$

Equation 6
where $m$ is the infiltrated mass of the liquid, $\rho$ is the density of the liquid, $\varepsilon$ is the porosity rate of the sample, and $S$ is the infiltration section of the sample. According to Equation 6, the weight increase varies linearly with time as the effective diameter $d_{\text {eff }}$ is constant for a narrow pore distribution as found in our previous work [27]. The Darcy'law describes the volumetric flow rate $Q$ of a porous media of length $L$ :

$$
Q=\frac{p}{\mu} \cdot \frac{S \cdot \Delta P}{L}
$$

Equation 7
where $p$ is the permeability, $\Delta P$ is the capillary pressure. If the pores are all of the same diameter $d_{e f f}$, the flow rate can be expressed with Equation 8 [31]:

$$
p=\frac{\varepsilon . d_{e f f}{ }^{2}}{32}
$$

Equation 8
Then Equation 6 can be modified to:

$$
p=\frac{m^{4}}{t^{2}} \frac{\mu^{2}}{2 \cdot \sigma^{2} \cdot(\cos \theta)^{2} \cdot \rho^{4} \cdot \varepsilon^{3} \cdot S^{4}}
$$

Equation 9
Few recent studies were focused on the effect of bimodal pore distribution and cracks on the capillary rise and the saturation time [32-34]. To our knowledge, no analysis method was developed to examine and understand the capillary infiltration of liquid in porous media containing a multimodal pore size
distribution with or without cracks. Such a method could be useful to the production of porous or composite materials of SiC [35].

## 3. Experimental

### 3.1 Starting materials

Commercial green $\beta$-SiC powder ( $99.8 \%$ purity, Alfa Aesar GmbH \& Co KG, Germany) was used as raw material. This powder exhibits a bimodal distribution with a population centered at $0.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and a larger population centered between 1 and $2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ as shown in Figure 1-a,b). As reported elsewhere, this SiC powder was found to contain mainly cubic 3C-SiC polytype ( $\beta-\mathrm{SiC}$ ) [27]. The porous SiC compacts were obtained from mixtures composed of 3 g of freshly prepared or 1 -year-aged $\beta-\mathrm{SiC}$ powder and $1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ of commercial ethanol as lubricant which were uniaxially pressed into rectangular specimens of about $50 \times 5 \times 5 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ at 100 MPa using stainless steel die. The use of aged powder is known to create cracks because of the agglomeration of the grains; the height of these samples was limited to 36 mm because of their mechanical weakness. The compacts from fresh, R\#, and aged powders, C\#, were labeled R1, R2, R3, C1, C2, C3, and C4. Porous SiC ceramics with bimodal and multimodal pore size distributions were also prepared from the mixture of fresh SiC powder with porogens: i) PMMA micro balls (Goodfellow) with a mean size of $131 \pm 46 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (Figure 1-c)); or ii) with pyrolyzed LLDPE fibers (CANOE Technology Center, France) with a mean diameter of $32 \pm 3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and a length of $1.0 \pm 0.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ (Figure 1-d)). These pore agents and the SiC powder were slowly mixed by a planet ball miller at a low speed of 300 rpm (Emax, Retsch, France) with zirconia balls as milling medium and ethanol as dispersion medium. The slurry was dried to remove the ethanol. The contents of PMMA balls (B\#) or LLDPE fibers (F\#) additives were $2.5 \%$ vol., $5.0 \%$ vol., $7.5 \%$ vol., and $10 \%$ vol., and the corresponding samples were labeled as B2.5, B5.0, B7.5, B10, F5.0, F7.5 and F10, the figures indicating the percentage of additive. Compacts were obtained from these powders following the method described herein. Then, the compacts were fired at $600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h in air atmosphere to decompose the PMMA balls and LLDPE fibers. All green $\beta-\mathrm{SiC}$ compacts were presintered at $1400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h under high vacuum $\left(2 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{mbar}\right)$ to obtain strengthened and manipulable porous SiC ceramics. Industrial porous SiC compacts (Galtenco Solutions, France) were considered in this study, they are labeled as G1, G2, G3 and G4. Commercial foams of SiC (SiC4 grade, SICAT, France) were also used in this study, they are labeled as F1, F2, F3 and F4. These foams
contain less than 500 ppm of total impurities. Industrial compacts and commercial foams were cut into rectangular specimens of about $50 \times 5 \times 5 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ and $45 \times 8 \times 5 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$, respectively. All compacts were settled by polishing with a precision of at least $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to homogenize their section. This stage is of importance as the capillary infiltration kinetic of a liquid depends on the cross section. Laboratory grade hexadecane provided by Sigma Aldrich Co. (USA) was used for the capillary infiltration experiments at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In Table 1 we give the contact angle on SiC , the dynamic viscosity, the density and the surface tension of hexadecane [36].

### 3.2 Characterization of the materials

Pore-size distributions of each type of sample were obtained from mercury intrusion porosimetry (Autopore IV, Micromeritics Instrument Corp., USA) for a sample volume between 0.6 and $1.2 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$. The porosity $\varepsilon$ of the samples was calculated by applying the formula $\varepsilon=1-\frac{d_{a}}{d_{t}}$ with the apparent density $d_{a}$ obtained from mercury intrusion porosimetry and the true density $d_{t}$ measured from helium pycnometry (AccuPyc 1340, Micromeritics Instrument Corp., USA). The pore size distributions of the SiC consolidated compacts are shown in Figure 2. The pore size distribution of the porous SiC compacts presents a single peak with a narrow width, signifying a uniform pore size distribution centered on $0.22 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. The dimensions and the porosity percentage of all compacts are given in Table 2. The capillary infiltration experiments were performed on a commercial modified tensiometer (TensioCad W TE-5200 MO, CAD Instrument, France) operating at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a temperature-regulated room. Figure 3-a) shows the experimental setup of the infiltration experiments and the picture of a compact. The SiC compacts were hanged along their longest dimension to a modified analytical balance, which was connected to a computer. A crystallizer containing the solvent (hexadecane) was automatically raised until the contact with the solvent. The mass variations were recorded every second. Losses due to solvent evaporation were estimated to be less than $1 \%$ of the imbibed solvent and were hence considered as negligible. Indeed, the saturatation vapor pressure of hexadecane at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is very low since equal to $4.88 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~Pa}$ [37], so its evaporation can be neglected. Hexadecane was selected because of its low volatility. The time dependence of hexadecane infiltration in the SiC compacts was recorded by monitoring the weight gain increase. A typical curve of a compact is plotted in Figure 3-b). One can note that for the shortest durations, hexadecane rises very quickly by capillary action. Then, the infiltration kinetic is lower for
longer durations and the weight of the meniscus can be deduced after the breakage of the contact with the liquid. The computed tomography X-ray scans of the compacts were performed using a 140 kV Xray source with an intensity of $60 \mu \mathrm{~A}$ (GE vltomelx s research edition, General Electrics, USA). A 500 ms exposure time and a spatial resolution of $8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ per voxel unit: this is the highest achievable resolution as dictated by the dimension of the specimens and the performance of the CT machine. These measurements give views of the size and distribution of the largest porosities (cracks, cavities), as shown in Figures 4 and 5. The reconstructed images were obtained with Image Analysis System (ImageJ) [38]. It can be seen in these figures that, compared to the reference compact, more or less large cracks and/or holes are present. It must be noted that the large pores do not connect directly to each other.

## 4. Results and discussion

### 4.1 Infiltration of hexadecane

It is assumed that the infiltration of the liquid through the porous SiC compacts is isothermal. No interfacial chemical reaction occurs in the process and the SiC compacts are considered as rigid bodies. The curves plotted in Figures 6-a) show the time dependence of the hexadecane filling percentage of compacts representative of the six types of SiC compacts studied. Theses curves indicate that after 14400 s, i.e. 4 h , the complete filling is only obtained for Reference $\operatorname{SiC}(1)$, called R1, which is free of macro porosities. The squared weight gains corrected from the meniscus weight and normalized to the infiltration section and to the pore fraction, i.e. $\left(\frac{m-m_{\text {meniscus }}}{\varepsilon . S}\right)^{2}=f(t)$, are plotted in Figure 6-b). It can be seen that all the different types of samples exhibit similar behaviors with a first linear variation follows by a second linear variation but with a noticeable lower slope. For R1, the second slope is found null. It must be noted that the first slopes of the compacts made from aged SiC powder or with balls or with fibers are higher than the slope of the reference SiC compact. At the opposite, the first linear slopes of the foam and SiC from Galtenco are lower. The second slopes of all samples seem also practically linear, this second slope for SiC Galtenco appears later and is not visible in Figure 6-b). The transition between the two filling rates occurs at various durations without apparent relationship with the nature of the porosity and their quantity. On a general manner, after 4 h , the compacts with cracks and voids are far from the complete filling. The first linear variations are in good agreement with the Washburn equation, but this law cannot describe the second linear variations. These behaviors are confirmed on the graphs
in Figure 7 which show the $\left(\frac{m-m_{\text {meniscus }}}{\varepsilon . S}\right)^{2}=f(t)$ curves for all the samples of this study compared to R1 compact. Three reference SiC compacts are considered with the higher (R2) and lower (R3) slopes obtained with these compacts, R1 has an intermediate slope (Figure 7-a)). These three compacts have similar behaviors with a relative rapid saturation, the main difference lies in the values of their slopes which are more or less elevated. It must be noted that all these measurements are totally reproducible. To our opinion, this variation is induced by the microstructure of the area in contact with the liquid. The shapes of the curves of the four compacts made from aged SiC powder, called $\mathrm{C} 1, \mathrm{C} 2, \mathrm{C} 3$ and C 4 , are relatively similar with two successive linear variations (Figure 7-b)). The main differences lie: i) in the values of their slopes, which are close ( $\mathrm{C} 1, \mathrm{C} 2$ ) or higher ( $\mathrm{C} 3, \mathrm{C} 4$ ) than the references, and ii) in the transition time between the two-filling kinetics. The curves of the four compacts obtained from PMMA balls addition are shown in Figure 7-c). They exhibit also two successive rates of filling and their first respective slopes are also close (B10) or higher (B2.5, B5.0, B7.5) than the reference compacts, and there is no evidence of any influence of the added balls content. Similar observations can be deduced from Figure 7-d) showing the curves of the compacts elaborated with fibers. The slopes are clearly larger than the references and no effect of the fraction of fibers added is evidenced. The curve shapes of the SiC foams (F1 to F4) are close during the two successive filling kinetics (Figure 7-e)). The first slope is within the range of the reference compacts but the second one is very low. The squared normalized weight gain curves of the SiC from Galtenco exhibit also two filling kinetics, the first being clearly slower than the reference compacts (Figure 7-f)). Two kinds of behaviors are identified for these compacts with a faster initial kinetic and a sooner transition time leading to a more important filling rate for G1 and G2 samples than for the G3 and G4 samples. On a general manner, these experimental results show variations of the filling kinetics induced by different filling mechanisms. The reference compacts (R1 to R3) are the only ones that strictly verify the Washburn equation as they exhibit a unique filling slope until saturation. The filling mechanisms of all the others compacts involve apparently two consecutive stages. The first kinetics are relatively rapid and close, higher or lower than the filling kinetic of the references. The second kinetics are blatantly sluggish with kinetics around ten times lower. All these different observations confirm the determinant role plays by cracks and large porosities on the filling kinetic by capillary infiltration. But such complex behaviors cannot be described by the Washburn equation. That is why, an analytical analysis is proposed below.

### 4.2 Analytical analysis of hexadecane infiltration

As mentioned above, many interesting studies were realized about the capillary infiltration of liquid in capillaries and some works deal with the infiltration in porous materials. This last case is more complex as the porosities may be irregular and some large defects can easily occur which may have a significant effect on the kinetic of saturation. Thus, it was previously identified in porous materials that a difference of time occurs between the filling of quickly penetrated capillaries and the complete filling of slow penetrating capillaries which implies the presence of at least two characteristic pore sizes [26]. Kumar et al. also consider the effect of two types of pore with different sizes on the infiltration of 3D-stitched C-C preforms [30]. In those two cases, a modification of the Washburn equation is made to explain the measurements with two pore sizes based on one infiltration kinetic. These considerations are not directly applicable to the results reported herein as two filling kinetic are clearly identified. This can be justified by the elevated difference in size between the small pores and the large defects in our model materials. Others classical analytical theories can be considered depending on the limiting phenomenon. Particularly, the asymptotic solution describing the saturation of porous materials can be expressed by a relaxation law which consists in an exponential variation of the front height in time [39]. Another interesting analytic solution to describe the saturation effect implies the Lambert equation [40]. In our opinion, no one of these theories can accurately model the two-staged weight increases reported in the present work. Consequently, a new model is proposed here. As two successive linear variations of the squared weight gain with time are observed, it can be considered that two kinetics, called $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$, occur successively in time. For a given solvent $i$, the kinetic $k_{1}^{i}$ relates to the fast filling of the smaller pores, and the kinetic $k_{2}^{i}$ describes the slow filling of the larger and isolated pores. In a first step, the squared weight gain, $\Delta m^{2}$, increases linearly in time according to the $k_{1}^{i}$ kinetic $\left(\mathrm{g}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{~mm}^{-4} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$. Then, in a second step, it is supposed to increase slowly according to the $k_{2}^{i}$ kinetic $\left(\mathrm{g}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{~mm}^{-4} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$. The overall squared-weight gain $\Delta m^{2}$ is normalized to the squared porous section (S. $\varepsilon$ ) and its evolution is calculated with Equation 6:

$$
\frac{\Delta m^{2}}{S^{2} \cdot \varepsilon^{2}}=\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ t_{0}=0}}^{j} k_{1}^{i} \cdot\left(t_{i}-t_{i-1}\right) \cdot f\left(t_{i}\right)+k_{2}^{i} \cdot\left(t_{i}-t_{i-1}\right) \cdot\left(1-f\left(t_{i}\right)\right)
$$

The expression of $f\left(t_{i}\right)$ function is given in Equation 7 which varies between 0 and 1. It describes the more or less rapid transition between the two filling processes:

$$
f\left(t_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{1+\left(\alpha+\beta \cdot t_{i}+\gamma \cdot t_{i}{ }^{3}\right) \cdot \exp \left(\frac{\delta}{t_{i}}\right)}
$$

Equation 7
where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ and $\delta$ are adjustment coefficients. The validity of Equation 6 was tested by fitting the experimental curves with this equation by adjusting the values of $k_{1}^{i}, k_{2}^{i}, \alpha, \beta, \gamma$ and $\delta$ with the help of a numerical solver. The obtained values of $k_{1}^{\text {Hex }}$ and $k_{1}^{\text {Hex }}$ kinetics with hexadecane (Hex) are given is Table 2 for the six main compacts ( $\mathrm{R} 1, \mathrm{C} 1, \mathrm{~B} 10, \mathrm{~F} 7.5, \mathrm{G} 1$ and F 1 ). The correlation percentages are quite acceptable since they are greater than $99.9 \%$. All the samples have a non-null $k_{2}^{\text {Hex }}$ kinetic except Reference SiC (1). This confirms that the filling of the reference occurs only with one mechanism, contrary to all the others samples. The experimental and fitted curves giving the mass increase versus time for these six compacts are shown in Figures $8-\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{f})$. These figures show also the fitted variations of the mixing functions $f(t)$ and $1-f(t)$ for the six samples. As expected from the elevated correlation percentages, all the fitted weight gain curves are very close to the experimental measurements. The fit of the reference compact (R1) describes pretty well the saturation of this sample which occurs after a duration of about 14100 seconds, accordingly with visual observations. This value is very close to the crossing time of the $f(t)$ and $1-f(t)$ functions at 13500 seconds, i.e. $f(t)=1-$ $f(t)=0.5$. The S-like shape of the $f(t)$ is only due to the sudden variation of the experimental slope which cannot easily be described mathematically, even with the function considered here. The fits could be improved by adding a weighting scheme to increase the weight of the points close to the transition. However, it seems that the fitting of the curves provides not only significant values of $k_{1}^{\text {Hex }}$ and $k_{2}^{\text {Hex }}$ kinetics, but also the duration for saturation of the smallest porosities. Indeed, for all the others samples, it is found that the crossing times of the $f(t)$ and $1-f(t)$ functions are also in good agreement with the experimental transition times, as shown in Figures 8 -b,c,d,e,f). These values are not directly comparable as they depend on the height of each sample. The $k_{1}^{\text {Hex }}$ and $k_{2}^{\text {Hex }}$ kinetics are compared in Figure 9. It can be seen that the $k_{1}^{\text {Hex }}$ values are relatively different. On a general manner, the $k_{1}^{\text {Hex }}$ values of C 1 and B 10 compacts are close and slightly higher than for the references, as already seen in Figure 7. Much higher values are obtained with the compacts prepared by fibers addition, the calculated
kinetic is almost twice as high as the kinetic of the reference R1. The $k_{1}^{\text {Hex }}$ kinetics of the Galtenco samples are almost half of the reference R1, and the kinetics of the foams are close to the kinetic of the reference R1. The $k_{2}^{\text {Hex }}$ kinetics are clearly lower than the $k_{1}^{\text {Hex }}$ kinetics and are less than one-tenth of the corresponding $k_{1}^{\text {Hex }}$ value. This second kinetic is clearly correlated to the presence of larger porosities (cracks, holes). A scrupulous examination of the fittings confirms their relevance. Indeed, the calculated values of the weight gains at the transition durations with the $k_{1}^{\text {Hex }}$ kinetics differ from the experimental data by a maximum value of $1.3 \%$ ( $\mathrm{R} 1: 1.3 \% ; \mathrm{C} 1: 1.0 \% ; \mathrm{B} 10: 1.2 \% ; \mathrm{F} 7.5: 1.0 \%$; $\mathrm{G} 1: 1.0 \%$ and F1: $1.0 \%$ ). The deviations of the weight gains at the last measured points are equal to or less than $1.3 \%$ (R1: $1.3 \%$; C1: $1.0 \%$; B10: $0.2 \%$; F7.5: $0.4 \%$; G1: $0.9 \%$ and F1: $0.2 \%$ ). It can be then considered that the fitted values as significant and can be relevant for a better understanding of the filling mechanisms. It is then clear that the largest porosities can have a positive and a negative effect on the filling kinetic and, consequently, on the filling time. In accordance with the porosimetry measurements (Figure 2-a)), the presence of cracks or holes in the SiC compact slightly increases the mean size of the smaller pores, so that the filling kinetics are increased as experimentally measured. At the opposite, a lower mean size of the smaller pores induces a lower kinetic of filling as measured for Galtenco compacts and SiC foams (Figure 2-b)). The very low values of the $k_{2}^{\text {Hex }}$ kinetics are dramatically detrimental to the completeness of the filling. There are clearly correlated to the filling of the largest pores. But it depends also on the interconnectivity of these large pores as evidenced by the SiC+balls compacts. Indeed, the holes generated by the balls are large and poorly interconnected, consequently, the direct and relative rapid filling from the liquid source is almost impossible. The Galtenco compacts have a particular behavior induced by their heterogeneity: a part of the compacts contains no large pores and another part contains the large pores. This can probably justify the particular and unique shape of the $f(t)$ curve in Figure 8 -e) with a very slow decrease to 0 . It occurs probably a rapid filling of the small pores in the area containing the large pores and, in parallel, a slower progression in the area without these large pores. A slow decrease of the $f(t)$ curve below 0.5 could reveal the highly heterogeneous character of a material evidenced by the rounded shape of the squared weight gain in time. The low $k_{1}^{\text {Hex }}$ kinetics obtained with the Galtenco compacts are correlated to the low size of their smallest pores, which confirms that the smallest pores are filled first. From the fitting equations, one can deduce also that: i) the time needed for the saturation of each samples, as shown in Figure 10-a), and ii) the
percentage of filling associated to each kinetic, as shown in Figure 10-b). The comparison of these figures reveals that the duration for the complete filling increase in the presence of large porosities, as the reference samples need the shortest durations for full filling. The presence of large and practically isolated porosities induced a large increase of the saturation time as highlighted by the compacts prepared with balls. It should be noted that the isolated large pores cannot be completely filled because of the presence of air trapped in these pores. The estimation of the filling volumes during the two kinetic stages can be compared with mercury porosimetry analyses to determine the limiting size of pores filled during the first stage assuming that the smaller porosities are filled first. In this way, the transition occurs for pores close to $1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ for C 1 and B10 compacts. The upper limit size of filled pores during the first stage is found close to $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ for F 7.5 and $3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ for F1 samples. A limit value of $0.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ is identified for G1 sample. The findings reported herein could be highly useful for a better understanding of the liquid filling of porous materials with bimodal or multimodal pores distribution. From these results, a partial permeability value can be obtained for each stage. Indeed, for each kinetic a value of the filled porosity can be estimated and these values can be used in Equation 9 to calculate the two partial permeabilities $p_{1}^{\text {Hex }}$ and $p_{2}^{\text {Hex }}$. These values are reported in Table 3. The $p_{1}^{\text {Hex }}$ values of R1, C1 and B10 samples are close, which confirms the filling of the smaller pores. While the values of F7.5 and F1 are respectively 4 and 16 times greater than the reference R1. This difference can be correlated to the complex pore network of F7.5 and F1, which promotes the flow of the liquid. The $p_{2}^{\text {Hex }}$ values are clearly lower than the corresponding $p_{1}^{\text {Hex }}$ values which demonstrates that the filling of the larger pores is slower. It can be considered that the ratios $p_{1}^{\text {Hex }} / p_{2}^{\text {Hex }}$ are all the more elevated than the larger pores are large. Further works are expected to improve the analysis of the capillary rise measurements and to establish precisely the filling mechanisms and their limitations. Others solvents can be used in this aim on the condition that their saturation vapor pressure remains sufficiently low to limit the losses by evaporation. A solution to work around this limitation consists in operating in a closed and temperate setup under saturatation pressure of the solvent. It was also recently demonstrated that the penetration velocity is sensitive to the geometry of the porous media, and can be tuned by varying the evaporation rate for a given geometry [41]. The inhibition of liquid penetration by evaporation can be offset by varying the geometry of the porous layer. Consequently, the application of the capillary infiltration to the characterization of a porous object with complex shape and relatively large surface is possible on the condition of operating with a
solvent having a suitable saturation vapor pressure to limit the losses. This parameter must be considered carefully before any test under penalty of erroneous measurements.

## 5. Conclusion

The infiltration of several different porous SiC materials by hexadecane have been investigated. These model materials contain at least a bimodal pore population generated by cracks and holes. Their filling by the liquid is compared to reference compacts containing only one pore population. The capillary infiltration experiments reveal two successive stages with different kinetics of the weight gain. The first kinetic is clearly larger than the second kinetic. These kinetics depend on the size of the filled pores, the smallest pores being impregnated first. The saturation time is consequently more or less strongly increased in the presence of these large defects. A new analytical function was used successfully to analyze the experimental measurements. The transition times between the two stages and the kinetics of each stage are determined with this function. It was evidenced different values of the kinetics revealing the occurrence of different infiltration mechanisms. Extrapolations were also realized to estimate the duration for the complete filling of each sample. This analysis method of the infiltration data is an interesting way to characterize porous materials and to reveal their microstructure defects. In Part B, studies on molten silicon capillary infiltration have been reported.
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Figure 1: Starting materials: a) grain size distribution of the SiC powder, b) secondary electrons image (magnification $\times 30000$ ) of the SiC powder; c) optical microscopy (magnification $\times 5$ ) of the PMMA balls and d) optical microscopy (magnification $x 5$ ) of the LLDPE fibers.


Figure 2: Pore-size distribution of the six SiC compacts types: a) for reference SiC , cracked SiC and SiC +10\%vol. balls, SiC+7.5\%vol. fibers; and b) reference $\mathrm{SiC}, \mathrm{SiC}$ foam and SiC Galtenco.


Figure 3: Capillary rise tests of hexadecane in SiC compacts:
a) schematics of the experimental set-up and b) Typical time dependence of weight gain.


Figure 4: Representative 3D reconstructed computed tomography X-ray image of some of the samples tested in this study: a) reference SiC $\left(5 \times 5 \times 5 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}\right)$; b) cracked SiC $\left(10 \times 10 \times 5 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}\right)$; c) SiC $+10 \%$ vol. balls ( $5 \times 5 \times 8 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ ); d) SiC $+7.5 \%$ vol. fibers $\left(5 \times 5 \times 6 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}\right)$; e) SiC Galtenco ( $5 \times 5 \times 5 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ ); f) SiC foam ( $5 \times 5 \times 5 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ ).


Figure 5: Cross-section images reconstructed from computed X-ray tomography of some of the samples tested in this study: a) reference $\mathrm{SiC} ; \mathrm{b}$ ) cracked $\mathrm{SiC} ; \mathrm{c}) \mathrm{SiC}+10 \%$ vol. balls; d) SiC+7.5\%vol. fibers; e) SiC Galtenco; f) SiC foam.


Figure 6: Time dependence of the weight gain during hexadecane capillary rise in one compact of each family: a) percentage of filling curves and b) squared weight gain curves normalized to the section.


Figure 7: Time dependence of the squared weight gain curves normalized to the section during hexadecane capillary rise compared to reference $\mathrm{SiC}(1)$ : a) reference $\mathrm{SiC}, \mathrm{b}$ ) cracked $\mathrm{SiC}, \mathrm{c}$ ) $\mathrm{SiC}+\mathrm{balls}, \mathrm{d}$ ) SiC + fibers, e) SiC supplied by Galtenco, and f) SiC foams supplied by SICAT.


Figure 8: Experimental and fitted time dependence of the weight gain during hexadecane capillary rise and fitted values of $f(t)$ and $1-f(t)$ : a) reference $\mathrm{SiC}(\mathrm{R} 1)$, b) Cracked $\mathrm{SiC}(\mathrm{C} 1), \mathrm{c}) \mathrm{SiC}+$ balls (B10), d) $\mathrm{SiC}+$ fibers (F7.5), e) SiC supplied by Galtenco (G1), and f) SiC foams supplied by SICAT (F1).


Figure 9: Graphic comparison of the $k_{1}^{\text {Hex }}$ and $k_{2}^{\text {Hex }}$ kinetics for the six main samples.


Figure 10: Extrapolations for the six main samples: a) estimated saturation times and b) estimated ratio of the filled volumes with $k_{1}^{\text {Hex }}$ and $k_{2}^{\text {Hex }}$ kinetics.

Table 1: Physical properties of hexadecane at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ [36]

| Physical properties | Hexadecane (20 $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Contact angle on $\beta$-SiC ( $\left.{ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 0 |
| Dynamic viscosity $\mu\left(\mathrm{g} . \mathrm{cm}^{-1} . \mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$ | $3.04 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| Density $\rho\left(\mathrm{g} . \mathrm{cm}^{-3}\right)$ | 0.77 |
| Surface tension $\sigma\left(\mathrm{g} . \mathrm{s}^{-2}\right)$ | 27.42 |

Table 2: Porosity, dimensions and filling kinetics of the six main samples

| Samples | Reference | Dimensions (mm) | Porosity $\varepsilon$ $(\%)( \pm 1)$ | $\begin{aligned} & k_{1}^{\text {Hex }} \\ & \quad\left(\mathrm{g}^{2} .\right. \end{aligned}$ | $\left(\mathrm{g}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{~mm}^{-4} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ | Correlation percentages (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reference SiC (1) | R1 | $50 \times 6 \times 5$ | 47 | $8.774 \times 10^{-8}$ | - | 99.973 |
| Cracked SiC (1) | C1 | $36 \times 11 \times 10$ | 53 | $1.009 \times 10^{-7}$ | $4.388 \times 10^{-9}$ | 99.968 |
| SiC + 10\%vol. balls | B10 | $47 \times 7 \times 5$ | 59 | $1.037 \times 10^{-7}$ | $2.070 \times 10^{-9}$ | 99.941 |
| SiC + 7.5\%vol fibers | F7.5 | $46 \times 5 \times 5$ | 57 | $1.825 \times 10^{-7}$ | $1.110 \times 10^{-8}$ | 99.914 |
| SiC Galtenco (1) | G1 | $50 \times 5 \times 5$ | 45 | $5.831 \times 10^{-8}$ | $3.614 \times 10^{-9}$ | 99.974 |
| SiC foam (1) | F1 | $45 \times 8 \times 5$ | 73 | $9.700 \times 10^{-8}$ | $8.346 \times 10^{-9}$ | 99.986 |

Table 3: Experimental partial permeabilities $\boldsymbol{p}_{1}^{\text {Hex }}$ and $\boldsymbol{p}_{2}^{\text {Hex }}$, and their ratio for the six main samples

| Samples | Reference | $p_{1}^{H e x}$ | $p_{2}^{H e x}$ | $p_{1}^{H e x} / p_{2}^{\text {Hex }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reference SiC (1) | R1 | $6.331 \times 10^{-15}$ | - | - |
| Cracked SiC (1) | C1 | $6.860 \times 10^{-15}$ | $4.878 \times 10^{-18}$ | 1406 |
| SiC + 10\%vol. balls | B10 | $7.789 \times 10^{-15}$ | $5.687 \times 10^{-19}$ | 13696 |
| SiC + 7.5\%vol fibers | F7.5 | $2.820 \times 10^{-14}$ | $1.858 \times 10^{-19}$ | 1518 |
| SiC Galtenco (1) | G1 | $1.875 \times 10^{-15}$ | $3.079 \times 10^{-18}$ | 609 |
| SiC foam (1) | F1 | $1.034 \times 10^{-14}$ | $1.239 \times 10^{-17}$ | 835 |

